

# Wiltshire & Swindon Waste Core Strategy Examination

## Session 3: Location

### AGENDA

The issues:

- Should the WCS explicitly incorporate a sequential approach to the location of waste management sites?
- Would the simple adoption of the 16km-radius area of search lead to distortion in the interpretation of RSS Policy W2 and/or lead to over-emphasis on the rural area as a location for waste development? Would it accord with RSS?
- Should the WCS explicitly explain its view that *greater flexibility than is provided by RSS Policy W2 is necessary* in the location of waste development, given the 3 AONBs covering more than 50% of the Wilts and Swindon area? To what extent is that view justified?
- How (broadly) accurate is the Key Diagram in representing the location of future waste provision?
- Would the inclusion of existing sites (particularly strategic sites) on the Key Diagram, without annotation, lead to misinterpretation of the Core Strategy?

Items for Discussion:

1. Proposed Modifications to draft RSS; the aims of RSS Policy W2.
2. The sequential approach to site selection; the emphasis of the sequential approach on urban areas; the constraints of AONB; the basis of DPD site allocations; the assessment of waste development proposals on non-allocated sites.
3. Guidance on the content of the Key Diagram.