



# South Wiltshire Core Strategy

Evolved Preferred Options Methodology and Output Report

July 2009

**Wiltshire Local Development Framework  
South Wiltshire Core Strategy  
Evolved Preferred Options**

**Methodology and Draft Output Report**

**CONTENTS OF THE REPORT**

- 1.0 PURPOSE
- 2.0 BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT
- 3.0 OBJECTIVES AND BENEFITS
- 4.0 CONSULTATION METHODOLOGY
- 5.0 QUALITY CONTROL
- 6.0 RESULTS
- 7.0 USE OF DATA AND NEXT STEPS
- 8.0 CONCLUSIONS

**APPENDICES**

Appendix 1: A numerical summary of responses to each specific question asked in the Evolved Preferred Options document.

Appendix 2: A list of all respondents to the Evolved Preferred Options consultation sorted by surname (where given).

Appendix 3: A list of all respondents to the Evolved Preferred Options consultation sorted by Correspondent's Unique ID number.

Appendices 4 to 9: Responses to the consultation.

## **1.0 PURPOSE**

- 1.1 The purpose of this document is to set out the information we received during the Evolved Preferred Options consultation, which was conducted over a period of eight weeks from 1 September to 24 October 2008, under the banner of 'Our Place In The Future', in accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement ("SCI"). It represents a simple write up of the process and includes Appendices showing the responses to the Evolved Preferred Options from stakeholders.
- 1.2 The consultation was designed to gain responses on a number of Preferred Options, evolved from a previous set of Preferred Options, which had in turn emerged from an initial 'Our Place In The Future' Issues and Options consultation and subsequent Topic Paper Addenda.
- 1.3 This document represents a qualitative resource, forms part of an evidence base and can be used to inform a series of plans and strategies. Its key function has been to identify the level of support or objection for each Evolved Preferred Option.
- 1.4 Section 2.0 of this document sets out the background to the Local Development Framework ("LDF") while section 3.0 sets out the objectives and benefits of the South Wiltshire Core Strategy. Sections 4.0 and 5.0 of the document cover the consultation methodology and quality assurance protocols that have been employed during the Evolved Preferred Options stage and section 6.0 gives a brief description of the results of the consultation. Section 7.0 indicates the next steps in the process. Section 8.0 draws some conclusions from this stage of the consultation.

## **2.0 BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT**

- 2.1 The new planning system of LDFs, which is replacing the old system of Local Plans, is divided into a series of documents. One of the key documents in the LDF system is the Core Strategy, which sets out the overarching principles that development in south Wiltshire will need to conform to. It will also establish the number of houses and the amount of employment land that the area will need to provide and will reinforce the principle of “sustainable communities” and identify broadly where development will be directed.
- 2.2 As part of this new process, in the first stage, Salisbury District Council (“SDC”) gathered evidence to see what the key issues facing south Wiltshire were and from this put forward a range of options to tackle them. These ‘Issues and Options’ were contained within a document called ‘Our Place In The Future’ and on which consultation took place during the summer of 2007.
- 2.3 From the consultation responses received, along with Government guidance at national, regional and local level, and SDC’s own evidence base, a series of Topic Paper Addenda, focusing on specific issues identified within the area was produced. From this a number of Preferred Options on how the area could develop over the next twenty years were produced. These options were contained within the Core Strategy Preferred Options document, on which consultation took place during the spring of 2008.
- 2.4 In April 2008, the SDC Full Council resolved to carry out a further period of consultation. From the consultation responses to the Preferred Options, along with Government guidance at national, regional and local level, and SDC’s own evidence base, a series of Evolved Preferred Options on how the area could develop over the next twenty years were produced. These options were contained within the document entitled ‘Our Place In The Future’, on which consultation took place from 1 September 2008 to 24 October 2008.

### **3.0 OBJECTIVES AND BENEFITS**

- 3.1 In conjunction with the previous Preferred Options, the Evolved Preferred Options document set out a spatial planning framework for the long-term development of south Wiltshire, ensuring that investment decisions are not made in isolation, but are properly coordinated with a focus on promoting the principles of sustainable development.
- 3.2 Both stages of Preferred Options were prepared taking into account the views of all sections of the community and stakeholders, as well as maintaining consistency with national and regional guidance. Both stages also provided a spatial expression of the Community Strategy, as well as the area's other key strategies.
- 3.3 The Evolved Preferred Options document was published in September 2008, under the name of 'Our Place In The Future', taking into account the results received from the Preferred Options consultation carried out during the spring of 2008.
- 3.4 The Core Strategy is fundamental to the future of our communities and consultation has provided a number of benefits, in that it:
- Accords with best practice and Government guidance – *Planning Together Local Strategic Partnerships (LSPs) and Spatial Planning: a practical guide*.
  - Ensures the essential tests of soundness were passed as set out in National Planning Guidance.
  - Complies with the Council's adopted SCI and all other adopted procedures, including the Wiltshire Compact.
  - Allows public opinion to be better understood and will ensure that the final Core Strategy reflects the views, needs and aspirations of the public.
  - Raises awareness of the issues affecting the future of the district and how these can best be planned for.
  - Allows individual expertise to be gained from the local community, which is essential to creating environments that meet the needs of the users.
  - Provides people with a greater understanding of planning issues can increase the efficiency of the planning process.
- 3.5 It should be understood that the writing of planning policies is not done in isolation. National and Regional planning policies set out a framework, which all local planning authorities must adhere to. In certain areas there may be flexibility, which will allow for local circumstances to be reflected and SDC pursued these where possible. However, more often than not these policies can appear rigid and unresponsive to particular circumstances. By promoting greater dialogue in the policy-making process it is hoped that all stakeholders gain a better understanding of the difficult issues to be faced and can then understand why certain options have to be considered, and ultimately why decisions are made as they are.

## **4.0 CONSULTATION METHODOLOGY**

### **Who was Consulted?**

4.1 The consultation aimed to involve the very broadest range of stakeholders and included all of the following:

- All known residential addressees in the district.
- Statutory and non-statutory consultees.
- Government departments and agencies.
- Regional agencies.
- All elected representatives (MP, County, District and Parish Councillors).
- Strategic partners such as the South Wiltshire Strategic Alliance.
- Community groups and residents associations.
- Developers and agents.
- The business sector through such as the Chambers of Trade and Commerce.
- All parties on the LDF database.
- Voluntary groups.
- Pressure groups (such as CPRE).
- Adjoining Local Authorities (including Parish Councils)
- Availability of consultation document at libraries and Council Offices.

### **Design of Document**

4.2 The previous Preferred Options document had been designed in an attempt to make a quite complex process easy to understand and as accessible as possible. However, concerns were expressed, including by SDC Members, that the document was still too large and technical to be easily comprehended.

4.3 A further simplified approach was therefore taken at the Evolved Preferred Options stage, focusing on issues that had caused concern, predominantly the distribution of housing and employment growth in south Wiltshire. Issues that had reached a general consensus of support (for example proposals to protect built heritage and tackle climate change) were addressed briefly but the previous, more detailed Options on these topics remained open for comment.

4.4 The Evolved Preferred Options document was presented in a magazine format, using a design, layout and jargon free language aimed at the 'layperson' rather than those with a more technical knowledge of the planning process, in order to try and properly engage with as wide a range of people as possible.

4.5 In accordance with government guidance the magazine was framed in a manner that identified the key issues affecting south Wiltshire and, in conjunction with the previous document, presented a number of Preferred Options for tackling them.

## **Consultation Techniques**

- 4.6 The consultation was designed to be very comprehensive and was fully compliant with the adopted SCI. Indeed, as with previous stages of consultation, a conscious decision was made to far exceed the requirements of the SCI, in order to try and maximise engagement in the process. The consultation encompassed a wide range of techniques as set out in the following paragraphs.

### **Direct Consultation**

- 4.7 The Evolved Preferred Options document (which incorporated details of a how to respond to the consultation) was sent to all households and business addresses in the district. This was also sent to all consultees on the LDF database: a total of over 850 individuals, businesses, interested groups, Councillors, Parish Councils and statutory consultees.

### **Statutory Notices**

- 4.8 A Statements of Proposal Matters for the Evolved Preferred Options was published in the local press: Avon Advertiser (27 August 2008), Salisbury Journal (28 August 2008) and Blackmore Vale Magazine (29 August 2008).

### **Indirect Notification**

- 4.9 Informal or 'friendly' advertisements informing the public of the Evolved Preferred Options consultation were placed in the Avon Advertiser (27 August 2008), Salisbury Journal (28 August 2008) and Blackmore Vale Magazine (29 August 2008).
- 4.10 Throughout the consultation period the Evolved Preferred Options were covered in a range of local publications including: Salisbury Journal, Avon Advertiser, Blackmore Vale Magazine, village and community newsletters and the SDC publication South Wiltshire Citizen, which was distributed to every address in south Wiltshire.

### **Consultative Technical Group**

- 4.11 During the Issues and Options consultation stage, the Forward Planning Team set up a Consultative Technical Group ("CTG"). The CTG includes representatives from specialist bodies such as the Environment Agency, English Heritage, Natural England, utility companies etc, and was intended to compliment the statutory consultation process, with the added benefit of discussing topics raised during the creation of the LDF in an open forum with a multitude of agencies.
- 4.12 The CTG continued to meet during key stages during the production of the LDF including on 4 June 2008, shortly before the Evolved Preferred Options consultation. Although a number of the agencies invited were unable to attend on that occasion, each organisation was sent a copy of the Evolved Preferred Options directly. The main focus of the discussion was on the highway and

nature implications of the development sites proposed in the Evolved Preferred Options.

### **Member and Parish Briefings**

- 4.13 Consultation briefings were held at the Guildhall in Salisbury for SDC Members (5 August 2008) and Town and Parish Councils (6 August 2008). In each case a power point presentation explained the Evolved Preferred Options and the consultation process.
- 4.14 A seminar pack was supplied to each attendee (and posted to those Members and Councils who were unable to attend), containing the consultation magazine, a copy of the presentation, a sheet of Frequently Asked Questions, posters and flyers advertising forthcoming public exhibitions (see below) and generic posters and flyers that could be used to publicise independent events, should Members or Councils wish to organise them.

### **Public Exhibitions**

- 4.15 A number of public exhibitions were held across the district to engage members of the public within all of south Wiltshire's communities. Two exhibitions were held in Salisbury city as (a) the city is the main focus for strategic development proposals and (b) this approach allowed a 'second opportunity' for persons who were unable to attend the exhibitions held in the other Community Areas. The venues, dates and times of the exhibitions are listed below:

Salisbury, Guildhall, 1 September 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)  
Mere, Grove Buildings, 2 September 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)  
Tisbury, Victoria Hall, 3 September 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)  
Amesbury, Antrobus House, 4 September 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)  
Downton, St Laurence's Church Hall, 5 September 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)  
Wilton, Michael Herbert Hall, 8 September 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)  
Salisbury, Guildhall, 7 October 2008 (12.30 to 7pm)

- 4.16 As well as the material handed out at the Briefing Seminars (see paragraph 4.14), further pre-publicity was carried out to try and make members of the public aware of these exhibitions. The consultation magazine, informal press advertisements (see paragraph 4.9) and SDC website all carried full and clear details of where and when the exhibitions would take place.
- 4.17 Boards were displayed at the exhibitions, summarising development proposals and related issues, both on a district-wide and Community Area-specific basis. The Evidence Base, including responses to the Preferred Options consultation, was made available and planning officers attended to answer questions raised.

### **Community Forums**

- 4.18 Community Forums, facilitated by external specialist consultants, were also held across the district. Local Council Members and Town and Parish Councils were invited to the forums in order to discuss issues of concern to their particular area. The venues, dates and times of the forums are listed below:

Amesbury, Antrobus House, 14 October 2008 (7 to 9pm)  
Downton, Sherwood Rooms, Downton, 15 October 2008 (7 to 9pm)  
Wilton, Michael Herbert Hall, 17 October 2008 (7 to 9pm)  
Tisbury, Nadder Hall, 22 October 2008 (7 to 9pm)  
Mere, Lecture Hall, 24 October 2008 (7 to 9pm)  
Salisbury, Guildhall, 27 October 2008 (7 to 9pm)

- 4.19 A report of the outcome of the forums was produced by the external consultants and considered as a consultation response.

### **Council Committees**

- 4.20 All Council Members were consulted individually. The following Council Committees were also consulted:

Cabinet (15 July 2008)  
Planning and Economic Development (15 September 2008)  
Northern Area (25 September 2008)  
Southern Area (9 October 2008)  
Western Area (16 October 2008)  
City Area (21 October 2008)

### **Public Meetings**

- 4.21 Alongside the scheduled public exhibitions held throughout the district, Forward Planning Officers attended the following meetings in order to answer questions and explain area-specific Evolved Preferred Options, where particular issues had arisen during the earliest stage of the consultation:

Harnham, Rose and Crown Hotel, 10 September 2008  
Zeals, Village Hall, 11 September 2008  
Bishopdown Farm, Greentrees Primary School, 8 October 2008  
Mere, First School, 8 October 2008

### **Web Pages**

- 4.22 A dedicated set of web pages was created, which included downloadable copies of all documents including the Evolved Preferred Options, Preferred Options, Sustainability Appraisal, Soundness Testing, Topic Papers and Addenda and all other background documents and evidence used to inform the emerging Core Strategy. Information on how to get involved in the consultation, the representation form and what happens next was included on the web pages.

## **5.0 QUALITY CONTROL**

- 5.1 When planning and implementing the consultation process it was essential that due process was followed. We put in place measures to manage this risk and to ensure that all Regulatory requirements are satisfied as we move through the LDF process, rather than wait until the end. The measures we have implemented include those outlined in the paragraphs below.

### **Counsel Advice**

- 5.2 We have taken steps to seek specialist legal advice throughout the delivery period of the LDS. We have appointed Counsel from the leading environmental/legal practice, Landmark Chambers, to perform this advisory and quality control function. The rationale is that it is better to revise processes as they go along rather than to wait until adoption stage and find that problems have become embedded within the process. Counsel gave advice on the Preferred Options and put forward recommendations, which were taken account of before the consultation period commenced.

### **Planning Advisory Service**

- 5.3 Local planning authorities can satisfy themselves throughout the process of DPD preparation that they are complying with the various requirements. The checks are geared to recognisable stages in DPD production. We have used the Planning Advisory Service ("PAS") Soundness Self Assessment Toolkit at all stages and have also drawn on other advice from PAS.

### **Benchmarking**

- 5.4 We have carried out a detailed scan of the external environment, especially on learning lessons from those Core Strategies that have been through inquiry. We have taken on board the advice of the Government Office of the South West and the Planning Inspectorate, and have ensured that national and regional planning guidance and other publications have been fully taken account of.

## **6.0 RESULTS**

- 6.1 The Evolved Preferred Options consultation received representations from 1792 individuals, groups, Councillors, Parish Councils and Statutory Consultees. Together these respondents made almost 3800 responses and comments on the individual questions asked within the document.
- 6.2 The previous Preferred Options consultation had received representations from 1556 individuals, groups, Councillors, Parish Councils and Statutory Consultees. Together these respondents made over 7000 individual comments on the preferred options, maps and tables contained within the preferred options.
- 6.3 The Issues and Options consultation yielded 6131 responses from individuals groups, Councillors, Parish Councils and Statutory Consultees. This means that, in total, more than 9000 individual representations were made during the three stages of consultation.
- 6.4 The majority of responses were received on the specially designed response form, incorporated within the consultation magazine, but many respondents also chose to respond via e-mail, letter or petition. A unique reference number was assigned to each respondent. This number can be used to locate all of their individual responses and comments. The comments received during all three stages of consultation form an integral part of the evidence base for the production of the future planning policies in the district.
- 6.5 As was the case at the Preferred Options consultation stage, due to the volume of responses received to the Evolved Preferred Options consultation, information has been separated into a number of appendices as follows.

Appendix 1: A numerical summary of responses to each specific question asked in the Evolved Preferred Options document.

Appendix 2: A list of all respondents to the Evolved Preferred Options consultation. This list is sorted by surname (where given) to enable interested parties to identify any respondent's unique reference number. The unique reference number can then be used to trace all responses made by that person or organisation. In order to assist in identifying the unique reference numbers, this list also contains postcodes where given.

Appendix 3: This appendix holds the same information as Appendix 2 but has been sorted by unique reference number. Again this includes a list of the surnames, initial and postcodes of all to the respondents to the consultation.

Appendices 4 to 9 show the responses made to the consultation. Each table is sorted by unique reference number and can be cross-referenced with the information in Appendices 2 and 3 to find out who has made the comments.

## **7.0 USE OF DATA AND NEXT STEPS**

7.1 The data collected during the consultation has been analysed by the Spatial Planning Team and, together with that collected during the previous stage of Preferred Options consultation, has been taken into account during the production of the Core Strategy. The data has been compiled in a logical way in order to provide a resource to be used in a range of plans and strategies and form part of a clear audit trail, showing the steps we have taken throughout the LDF process.

7.2 As with any raw data, caution must be exercised over how the information is used. It can be easy to extract feedback in isolation and out of context to make a compelling argument for a particular course of action. This must be avoided and the data collected must be used in a considered and measured manner against the myriad of other issues that need to be considered.

### **Reviewing the LDF evidence base**

7.3 Following the Preferred Options and Evolved Preferred Options consultations, extensive analysis and interpretation of the results was undertaken, alongside national and regional planning guidance and other publications, the existing evidence base, topic papers and other local strategies. Using all of this evidence, a series of Addenda to the topic papers were produced (together with two topic papers on fresh subjects), which focused on specific issues identified within the district, as shown below:

- Topic Paper 1 Addendum 2: Climate Change
- Topic Paper 2 Addendum 2: Housing
- Topic Paper 3 Addendum 2: Sustainable Settlement Strategy
- Topic Paper 4 Addendum 2: Supporting Communities
- Topic Paper 5 Addendum 2: Biodiversity
- Topic Paper 6 Addendum 2: Flooding
- Topic Paper 7 Addendum 2: Agriculture, Farm Diversification and Rural Economy
- Topic Paper 8 Addendum 2: Retail and Leisure
- Topic Paper 9 Addendum 2: The Economy
- Topic Paper 10 Addendum 2: Tourism, Leisure and Night Time Economy
- Topic Paper 12 Addendum 2: Waste and Pollution
- Topic Paper 13 Addendum 2: Conservation
- Topic Paper 14 Addendum 2: Design
- Topic Paper 15 Addendum 2: Transport
- Topic Paper 16 Addendum 1: Inclusive Design
- Topic Paper 17: Infrastructure
- Topic Paper 18: Water Management

7.4 The addenda and new topic papers reviewed the evidence base and consultation responses and used this to refine draft policies for inclusion within the Core Strategy and identify those matters that would be more appropriately dealt with in subsequent DPDs.

## **Spatial interpretation of the information**

- 7.5 It is recognised that south Wiltshire is a rich and varied part of the country and the issues, challenges and opportunities within it vary from place to place. Both stages of Preferred Options reflected this through the production of both district wide preferred options and more spatially distinctive area-specific options for each community area. Where appropriate these options have been carried forward into Core Strategy policies on the same basis.

## **Next Steps**

- 7.6 It should be noted that during the South Wiltshire Core Strategy process, new regulations affecting the LDF system have come into force. Under the old regulations consultation took place immediately after submission with representations at this stage being made to the Inspector. The new regulations require further consultation on the submission draft by the Council **prior** to submission to the Secretary of State. This consultation will take place from 10 August to 30 September 2009.

## **Weighting of consultation feedback**

- 7.7 This subject has arisen frequently during all stages of consultation and is an issue that needs to be addressed. It has been queried whether the views of specialist or elected groups such as Parish Councils would be given more weight as a consideration than an individual. We have considered this very carefully and have concluded that assessment must be based on the content and merit of each representation made and not via an arbitrary ranking of the source.
- 7.8 However, particular attention will of course be paid to the views of specialists and community representatives. For example the Environment Agency has specialist knowledge of flooding and will therefore be accorded particular weight with regard to that issue. It must be highlighted that it is still equally important to pay close attention to the voice of communities via Parish Councils and partnerships such as the South Wiltshire Strategic Alliance, when a particular policy, if pursued would have a particular significant impact on that community.
- 7.9 The consultation results, while a key consideration in agreeing future strategic allocation and direction of development, should not be the only consideration. Representations will need to be assessed in conjunction with other key factors, such as alignment with national and regional policy, tested against our adopted Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment Framework, and deliverability criteria.

## **8.0 CONCLUSIONS**

- 8.1 The responses received on the Evolved Preferred Options consultation shows that it has been successful in engaging a wide variety of individuals, organisations and Statutory Consultees and has resulted in a large number of detailed comments. It is fair to say that the consultation has been successful in engaging the public and raising awareness, as well as stimulating debate on the future of the district.

8.2 The responses to the Preferred Options and Evolved Preferred Options consultations will be used to consider the level of support for, or opposition to, each option alongside national and regional guidance and the Council's own evidence base. From this the Core Strategy Submission Draft will be produced and submitted to the Secretary of State for consideration.

## **SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT**

### **APPENDIX 1 – SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

1. Should we take the suggested steps to meet the identified needs?

Yes: 347  
No: 309

2. Do you agree with the 'dispersed growth' approach?

Yes: 365  
No: 307

3. Do you agree that the sites are appropriate to meet our needs?

Yes: 315  
No: 329

4. Do you agree that the sites are appropriate to meet our employment needs?

Yes: 407  
No: 155

5. If the sites are developed, what type of business activity would you like to see?

	Offices	Research and Development	Light Industry	General Industry
Harnham Business Park	181	151	201	108
Churchfields	179	144	179	105
UKLF, Wilton	196	200	203	120
Solstice Park, Amesbury	149	161	223	175
Fugglestone Red	168	127	134	46
Old Sarum	176	161	191	98
Hampton Park	162	106	112	37
Archers Gate, Amesbury	152	131	166	71

NB: 661 respondents made no selections

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

ID	ORGANISATION	TITLE	INITIAL	SURNAME	POST CODE
1801	Blanefield Property Company				SN1 4BJ
2213					SP1 1NU
2265	OSSL				SO21 2LD
2270		Mrs	C F		SP5 1JF
2282					SP4 7YA
2299	Federation of Small Businesses				
2311	Tollard Royal Parish Council				SP5 5PP
2325					SP4 8DD
2345	British Waterways (South West Business Unit)				
2351					SP7 9DZ
2393	Hale Parish Council				SP6 2NN
2406					BA12 6JE
2428					SP3 6AL
2464					BA12 6DF
2499					BA12 6DF
2515					SP1 3YH
2559	South Wiltshire Agenda 21				SP2 8LW
2582	Shrewton Parish Council				SP3 4RP
2586			H		BA12 7HW
2600		Mrs	V		SP2 0LU
2628	West Tisbury Parish Council				SP3 6LD
2735			P		
2748			I		

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2772	Porton Bioscience and Technology Centre Ltd				SP4 0JQ
2787	Landford Parish Council				
2793	Pegasus Planning Group				
2522		B J			SP2 9DD
2343		Mrs	L A		SP3 5DB
2537			C and G		BA12 6FG
2789	Pegasus Planning Group				
2794	Montagagu Evans				
2788	Pegasus Planning Group				
2147		Mr	D M		sp3 6ar
2790	Pegasus Planning Group				
2487			G and S		SP3 6BW
2163		S J		3A Cornwall Road	sp13nh
2162		P M		57 Bouverie Avenue	sp2 8dn
1199		Mr & Mrs	R	Abbott	SP5 4BU
2505			D and E	Abbott	BA12 6QB
1364		Mr	D	Adam	SP1 3QQ
2048		Mr	D	Adeley	SP5 4AT
2476			M	Alexander	BA12 6JB
2490			A	Allan	BA12 6BR
2543			H J and S	Allard	BA126JW
23		Mr	D C	Allen	SP2 7NH
1705		Mrs	C	Allen	SP5 2SZ
2216			Y P	Allen -Fox	SP1 3LB
2681			A	Ambrose	SP3 6BN

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2173		Mrs		Anderson	sp5 4au
2494			P H	Andrew	BA12 6NH
2292			G	Ardani	ASP4 7YA
2699				Arney	BA12
2535			K M	Arthur	BA12 6DA
2592			J	Ashenden	SP5 4LR
2326				Ashford	sp2 9dz
2557		Mrs	J	Ashman	BS1 6HA
2773	Highways Agency	Mrs	J	Ashman	BS1 6HA
269		Mrs	R	Ashton-Brown	SP2 0JA
2243			S	Ashworth	Sp3 6BP
1858	Defence Estate		J	Aston	
2563	R Roe Esq. And others			Atfield	BH8 8DY
2401			M J	Avery	BA12 6JX
2430			J	Avery	BA12 6JX
771		Mr	G	Avory	BA12 6DG
2509	Hampshire County Council	Mr	T	Ayling	SO23 8UD
2348			S	Baber	SP1 3UA
2337		Mrs	J F	Back	SP5 3HE
2287		Mr		Badge	SP4 7YH
2436		Mrs	C A	Baguley	BA12 7JT
2341			H	Bailey	SP2 8Hy
2057			P	Baker	SP2 7TD
2112		Mr	P	Baker	sp13rp
2059		Mr	S	Baldock	SP2 8JL

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1599	Mrs	J M	Baley	SP5 2ST
20	Revd	H P	Barkham	BA12 6HQ
2785			Barnes	SP2 8JT
2749	Miss	S	Barry	SP2 8HY
2804		T	Barter	SP3 5BQ
2313		S	Bartholomew	
202	Mrs	E	Bartlett	SP1 3YU
1114	Mr	T	Battle	SP3 6RZ
2060	Dr		Beales	SP2 8NZ
2061	Mr	D E	Bealing	SP1 3UH
1743	Mr	S	Beattie	SP5 2SG
2291	Mr	T	Bebbington	SP4 7WQ
2473		P	Bedford	PO16 7HT
2390		H C	Beeson	SP2 8AT
2309		S	Belcher	SP5 3AA
2310	Mr	I	Belcher	SP5 3AA
2645		S	Bennett	BA12 6QU
2624		A	Bessey	SP5 2SP
2844		R E	Beswick	SP2 8EA
972	Mr	M	Bevan	
2471	Mrs	W.A	Bevington	01747861725
2273		J	Bevis	SP4 6LA
2355		P	Bevis	SP4 6La
2384		P R P	Bialek	SP1 3SU
1368	Ms	C J	Bingham	SP4 6LU

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2090	Mr	I D	Bingham	SP4 7UA
2426		G	Binstead	BA12 6LT
2056	Mrs		Bishop	SP5 1SW
2502	Mrs	C M	Bixby	BA12 6DB
2148	Mr	D	Blackborrow	sp3 4sz
229	Mr	K	Blake	SP1 3SE
2361		D	Blake	SP7 9HQ
2305	Mrs	M	Blakeborough	SP4 7WQ
2248		J	Blevins	SP2 8Dw
2691	Miss	J	Blower	BA12 6HP
184	Mr	D F	Boakes	SP5 2SG
2527	Mrs	A P	Boakes	SP5 2SG
2218	Mr	G L	Body	SP2 8EY
2477		P M	Booth	BA12 6RD
2478		S	Booth	BA12 6RD
2623		C	Booth	BA12 6PY
2615	Mrs	C	Boughln	BA12 6AS
2685		B	Bourne	BA12 6HH
2201		R	Boutwood	sp4 8gt
66	Mr	A	Bowden	SP1 3LY
2253	Mrs	P	Bowditch	SP2 9JE
2689		P	Bowells	BA12 6RA
2690		G	Bowells	BA12 6RA
2185	Mr	M	Bradley	sp2 7lp
2285	Mr	J W	Bradley	SP4 7YE

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2501		J D	Brammer	SP3 6BN	
1329	Mrs	S	Breeze	BA12 6JZ	
2443	Mr	P	Breeze	BA12 6JZ	
2103		R E	Breswick	Sp8 2EA	
2670		J	Brewer	BA12 6JQ	
2385	Miss	R E	Bright	SP1 3SU	
2629	Mrs	T	Brightman		
2764		M	Brocklehurst		
2463		M	Brocklenurst	BA12 6NQ	
2134	Mr	M P	Brooke	sp3 6BE	
2140	Mrs	P	Brooke	sp3 5ay	
2322		M G	Brookes	SP4 8GP	
18	Salisbury District Council - Salisbury City Centre	Miss	L	Brown	SP1 1DX
913	Redlynch Parish Council		H	Brown	SP5 2NY
2221			L	Brown	SP1 3EP
2231			S A	Brown	SP1 3LA
2396	Mr	A D	Brown	SP5 5LE	
2640		J	Brown	SP5 3LX	
2783	Mrs	L	Brown	SP4 7YH	
2660		J	Bryant	SP5 2SD	
82	Mr	R	Bryder	SP1 1DT	
1214	Mr	R	Bucket	SP5 2NT	
469	Mr	F	Bull	SP5 1SP	
2171	Mr	B O	Bullock	sp5 4ap	
2392		D A	Bulter	BA12 6NG	

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2446	Mrs	M	Bunstead	BA12 6LT
2386		T A	Burchmore	SP1 3SU
2129	Ms	C	Burden	sp2 8jg
2276		N	Burden	SP4 7YH
2283		A	Burden	SP4 7YH
2303		N D	Burden	
2524		T	Burne	SP2 8DP
2718		J	Burridge	S017 1BJ
2288	Miss	N	Burton	SP4 7WQ
2117	Mr	M	Busby	sp2 9pa
2438		L	Butler	BA12 6FJ
2771		J and D	Butler	
2526	Mrs	B	Butter	SP5 2ST
2528	Mr	B	Butter	SP5 2ST
2261	Mr	P L	Button	BA12 8AS
2525		S	Byrne	SP2 8DP
1444	Mrs	M	Caddick	SP5 2TA
425	Dr	D	Callaghan	SP5 2SH
2197	Mrs	K	Callow	sp13wf
2580		J	Calloway	BA126PH
2236		R	Cameron	SP5 3NR
2661			Campbell	SP5 2SZ
2730	Mr and Mrs		Carlile	SP52 SX
763	Mr	D	Carpendale	SP8 4AG
2809		R	Carpenter	BA12 6DA

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

220		Mr		Carter	SP3 6PU
1108		Mrs	D	Carter	SP3 6PU
2022		Mr	L	Carter	SP4 9EX
2037		Mr	L	Carter	SP4 8EX
2696		Mr	J	Carter	SP5 2SE
2734		Mr	E A	Carter	SP5 2SE
2079			J	Carver	
2132		Mrs	A	Case	sp1 1hw
390		Mr	R	Catton	SP5 1SL
418	Wiltshire County Council		H	Cave Penney	SN15 3QN
2208			R	Charleson	Sp2 9HR
2031			W	Charlesworth	SP1 3HF
2359			P A	Charter	SP1 3QH
2533			B	Cheightonhills	
2369			C	Chelu	
2219			C N	Chlebowski	SP2 9Pa
2410			H	Clark	BA12 6JB
2462			B	Clark	BA12 6LT
602		Mrs	J	Clarke	SP5 2PH
1923		Mr & Mrs	P & J	Clarke	BA12 6NQ
2336			R	Clarke	SP5 3DB
925		Mrs	J	Clarkson	SP5 3AB
926		Mr	G	Clarkson	SP5 3AB
2178			L N	Claxton	sp2 8JU
480		Mr	W	Clayden	SP5 1QS

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1387		Dr	W	Clayton	SP5 1QL
2457			P	Clayton	SP5 2GF
2716			A W	Clayton	SP2 8PR
2732			M	Clayton	SP1 3WA
2408		Mrs	J	Cliffe	BA12 6JF
2123		Mrs	S	Clissold	sp2 8jg
2220			R	Clrake	SP5 3DB
2413			W	Coady	BA12 6RA
2082			G	Cobham	SP2 7LG
436		Mr	D	Coe	SP5 1SP
1457	Exors JWP Hunt	Mrs	A M	Coggan	SP1 3LH
1670		Mr	J	Colbert	SP5 3LF
2307			J R	Collinge	SP4 7YJ
751	Savills (L&P)	Mr	C	Collins	SO15 2AP
2102			G	Collins	SP1 3QX
2508			J	Collins	SP5 2QZ
288		Mr	H	Colthurst	SP3 4TZ
2786		Ms	D	Colwell	
2030		Mr	J	Compasell	SP3 6JG
2069			S G	Cook	SP1 3DL
2474			A J and J C	Cook	BA12 6EA
2492			J	Cook	BA12 6NW
2553			G and C	Cook	
1686		Mr	R	Coombs	BA12 6BN
2091			K	Cooper-Joel	SP1 1EQ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2434			S	Corbett	BA12 6FG
2687			D A J	Corbin	BA12 6PQ
1845			RS	Corner	SP3 6SR
2766	Downton Parish Council	Mrs	B	Cornish	SP5 3PS
45		Miss	F	Corp	
2317				Cotton	SP3 4JZ
2068			C A	Counsell	SP4 7SZ
2239		Mrs	O A C	Courtice	SP1 2SH
2669			R G	Cowlishraw	BA12 6RG
2470			E and K	Cox	BA12 6BZ
2755			C	Cox	
2127	Hindon Sugery	Dr	P M	Craig-McFeely	sp3 6DJ
2811	On behalf of SWSA Board		A	Crampton	
2215			M	Crane	SP1 2SF
2630			K	Crocker	SP1 1RN
2242			S	Croft	SP1 3TQ
1370		Mrs	P	Crombie	SP5 2QG
207		Mr	D	Cross	SP2 7BW
1659		Mr & Mrs	S R	Cross	SP5 3AT
2378	Test Valley Borough Council		T	Crouch	
559		Mrs	J	Curtis	SP5 1SQ
2427			S	Curtis	BA12 6RT
2754	Kilmington Parish Council	Mrs	S	Curtis	BA12 7HT
2562			R	Cutler	SP5 3PU
2131			G	D	sp1

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1378		Mrs	L	D'Ambrumenil	BA12 6NH
2363		Dr	S	Damment	SP5 2ED
2422			F	Dark	BA12 6BP
611		Miss	P	Dashwood	SP5 3AH
2610			M J	Davey	BA12 6LR
201			R	Davie	SP1 2WA
1656	Hindon Parish Council Planning Committee		D J	Davies	SP3 6DJ
2435			P M	Davies	BA12 6AJ
2742			J	Davies	SP1 3AY
2485		Mrs	G G	Davis	BA12 6PL
493		Mrs	B	Davison	SP5 3DU
2576				Dawson	
2128		Mr	E	Day	SP4 7BJ
2575			R	Day	BA12 6QW
2204		Mrs	A	Dean	sp1 3pl
2815			T	Deane	
2371			R W J	Death	SP1 3GS
2092		Mr	P	Delaney	SP3 5JN
2622			R	Dennett	BA12 6LA
2223			P	Dewey	SP7 9Lw
2519				Dickin	BA12 6LS
2182		Mr	M	Dickinson	sp2 8at
2046		Mr	D N	Dixon	SP2 8NZ
2203		Mrs	M	Dixon	ba126np
2379		Mrs		Dixon	SP2 8HL

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2548		E	Dobbon	SP3 6AL
2411	Mrs	V M	Donnell	BA12 6RG
2035		T P	Douglas	SP1 3PX
2278	Mrs	M	Douglas	SP1 3BZ
1204	Mr	J	Dovey	SP2 8NB
2713	Equity and human rights commission	C	Driver	M4 3EQ
2573		M B	Drummond Smith	BA126QU
2262		J G	dunstone	Sp4 7QE
937	Mr & Mrs	M & M	Durkee	BA12 6DJ
2423		A S	Durward	BA12 6RD
2431		H Y	Durward	BA12 6RD
2332		M	Easey	SP4 8DA
2739		S	East	SP1 3AJ
209	Mr	B R	Edgeley	SP5 1SQ
36	Mr	H	Edmunds	SP4 0DR
2798		S	Edmunds	
2693	Mr and Mrs	J	Eggleton	BA12 6JB
50	Mr	M	Elcomb	SP1 2EF
2598	Mrs	P	Ellingham	BA12 6LX
2532		RW	Elliot	SP5 2SD
2680		TC	Elliot	SP5 1RJ
2762	Mrs	JM	Elliott	SP5 2QL
2451	Miss	R	Ellwood	SP1 2RY
1286	Mrs	S	Evans	SP2 8DZ
2483		S T & W D	Evans	BA12 6PN

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2496		S	Evans	BA12 6QB
2720	Montagu Evans Chartered Surveyors	M	Evans	W1J 8HB
2646		A	Everall	SP7 9AD
809	Mr	P	Ewing	SP2 8DX
564	Mr	M	Eyles	BA12 6JL
2418	Mrs	J S	Eyles	BA12 6JL
2518	Mr	J H	Fall	SP5 2SU
1561	Mrs	B	Farrell	SP3 6SN
2212	Mr	R	Farrell	SP3 6SN
2425		R	Farrington	BA12 6LG
2663	Mrs	S M	Farrington	BA12 6LG
2796	Mrs	J	Faulkner	SP3 5RS
2619			Fearn	BA12 6PY
2620		W G R	Fearn	BA12 6PY
2621		C	Fearn	BA12 6PY
2675		ML	Femina	SP2 0JJ
2429		A	Ferguson	BA12 6AE
2813		J A	Ferraro	
2570		J	Field	sp36aj
2469		MA	Filden	SP5 2QG
1058	Mr	D	Fisher	BA12 6JU
2193			Fist	sp7 9na
2174		J M	Flight	sp5 2AZ
2071	Mr	D	Flint	SP5 1EU
279	Mr	S	Ford	BA12 6JH

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

722		Mr	S	Ford	BA12 6FJ
1795	Swallowcliffe Parish Council	Mrs	B	Ford	SP3 6JB
2648	Swallowcliffe Parish Council	Mrs	B	Ford	SP3 6JB
196		Dr	J	Foster	SP5 2SE
2760	Pegasus Planning Group on behalf of Persimmon Home		M	Fox	
793		Mr	H	Francis	SP5 2SS
1313		Mrs	K	Francis	SP5 2SS
2083			S V	Francis	BA12 6BJ
2157			M A	Francis	sp2 7sf
1946	The Theatres Trust	Ms	R	Freeman	WC2H 0QL
2259		Mr		Freeman	Salisbury
886		Mr	V J	Freemantle	SP4 6LW
2199			M	Freemantle	sp46de
1666		Mr	C	Frogley	SP5 2RT
2356			C	Froude	SP1 3WU
2093		Mr	R	Fulford	SP2 8AQ
2778			M N	Gaay	BA12 6NQ
2552			J	Gammie	BA12 6HE
2196		Mr	E H	Garden	ba12 6ql
1260		Mrs	R	Garrard	SP5 1RJ
2300			P	Gear	BA12 6FJ
2252			D	Germain	SP5 4LR
2257			N	Gibbons	SP2 7EA
2407			G	Gibbons	BA12 6BZ
2389			M	Gibson	SP4 7WQ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2088			Gillott	SP3 5TE
2360		M	Glover	
2338	Mr	A	Goodes	SP1 3QW
2066	Mrs	P B	Goodman	SP2 8HN
2186	Mr	G	Goodyer	sp5 2nl
532	Salisbury District Council	Mr	Gould	SP1 1DX
2237		N	Gould	SP4 7AR
2279	Mr	M	Goulding	SP4 7YA
2078	Mr	A	Graham	BA12 6EQ
2038	Mr	C	Green	SP2 8JS
10	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning	Mr	Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2726	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2728	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2729	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2780	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2590		E T O	Griffith	SP5 3BD
2591		PL	Griffiths	SP5 3BD
2247		F	Grimes	SP4 8JP
2076		J	Grimm	SP2 7AY
2744		A	Gristwood	BA12 6HH
2414	Mrs	F	Grotian	BA12 6AG
2402	Mr	P	Gurr	BA12 6BP
2456	Mrs		Gurr	BA12 6BP
2725	Ms	J	Guske	SP3 6DW
2679	Mr and Mrs	S	Haigh	SP4 6HF

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2587		Mrs	M E I	Hales	SP5 2RD
2074				Hall	SP2 0DW
2255		Mrs	D L	Hall	SP1 3FD
2380		Mr	A	Hall	SP1 1SQ
2233			M	Hallam	SP5 3LU
2179			R	Hallewell	sp1 3we
2658			R	Halsall	SP3 6AP
2347			J	Hambleton	
2653			V	Hambleton	BA12 6HW
2320			B T	Hamer	SP4 8AB
2642			E J	Hamilton	SP3 6AD
2756	Pegasus Planning Group on behalf on Lutea Trustee		S	Hamilton-Foyrn	
2765	Pegasus Group on behalf of Mr M Fry		S	Hamilton-Foyrn	
2659			S	Hamington-Houston	SP3 6AA
2654		Mrs	J	Hammond	SP3 6BW
2666			R	Hand	BH8 8DY
64		Mrs	R	Handley	SP3 6LN
1996	Laverstock and Ford Parish Council	Mr	S	Hannath	SP1 1QZ
2439		Mrs	R A V	Hannon	BA12 6JF
2440			M	Hannon	BA12 6JF
2507			M	Harbet	BA12 6HE
971		Mr & Mrs	J & A	Hardcastle	SP2 8EA
2415			L D	Hardcastle	BA12 6DH
2319			P	Hardiman	SP2 7DS
2164		Mrs	M	Haregal	sp3 6er

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2578			R and M	Hargreaves	SP5 2DS
2314			C	Harnett-Mcmillan	SP4 7XW
2158		Mrs	A	Harries	sp1 2ry
1343		Mr	J	Harris	SP1 3AF
2222				Harris	SP2 0DE
2244		Mrs	A	Harris	SP4 6EL
1124		Mr	R	Harrison-King	SP5 2ST
2554			M J	Harrrold-Carnon	BA12 6DS
435	Tisbury Parish Council	Mrs	S J	Harry	SP7 9LG
1981		Mr	E G	Hart	SP1 2BA
2181			S	Harvey	sp2 8ay
2138		Colonel	M	Havergal	sp3 6er
2214		Mrs	M	Hawes	SP2 8HE
1063		Mr	N	Hawker	SP5 1QW
2365			T J	Hawkes	SP5 1EU
2540		Miss	P	Hawking	BA12 6JR
1121		Mr	C	Hawkins	SP5 1SP
2217			J	Haworth	SP2 7RA
570		Mr	M	Hayday	SP5 2QQ
2588		Miss	M C	Hayes	SP52RD
2584		Mr	C	Hazzard	SP84SG
2110	Reprotec	Mr	D	Healing	sp4 8by
2481			G L	Hearn	BA2 6B2
1745		Mrs	W	Hedley	SP5 2SU
1399		Mr	B	Heil	SP5 1PR

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

175	Mr	I	Henderson	SP1 2EL
2238		C	Hendicott	SP1 3NL
2491		R I	Henry	BA12 7JG
2607		D	Hexney Briscoe	BA12 6BE
2150	Mr	J	hibbitt	
2703		R C	Higgensworth	BA12 6RU
2636	Mrs	L	Higgins	BA12 6WP
2049		M K E	Hill	SP1 3SB
2376			Hill	SP4 7WQ
2644		P	Hill	BA12 6BP
1452	Mr & Mrs	A & A	Hillier	SP5 4HN
2799	Mr and Mrs	M K S A	Hilton	SP1 3GW
421	Mrs	M	Hinchcliff	SP5 3EZ
929	Mr	J	Hinnis	SP2 0BP
2105	Mr	P	Hix	ba12 6pl
550	Mr	R	Hoare	SP5 1QH
2571	Mr	K	Hobbs	SP52QG
2377	Mrs		Hollingbury	SP5 3AZ
764	Mr	D	Holmes	SP5 3BF
2655		K	Hooper	SP3 6JW
73	Mr	D	Hope	BA12 6FJ
2064			Hopkins	
2323	Mr	S	Hopkins	SP4 7YE
2304	Mrs	L E	Hopton	BA12 0LU
2704		E	Horan	BA12 6BB

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2705		Mr	M J	Horely	BA12 6EX
2561			S	Hornby	SP5 2SD
2775			W	Horne	
2156		Mr	J	horton	sp1 3f
1909		Mr	A	Hotchkiss	
2711	South West Regional Assembly		B	Houlden	TA1 1SH
1138		Mr	J P	Howard	BA12 6LS
2109		ms	F	Howard	SP2 8TJ
2465			J	Howard	BA12 6AE
2577			G G	Howard	SP7 9AF
2638		Mrs	C J	Howard	BA12 6RAS
2153		Mr	T	Howard-Jones	sp1 3pz
2677		Mrs	E	Howden	BA12 6EA
2602			A	Howell	BA12 6EG
2298	Salisbury and District Chamber of Commerce	Mr	I	Hudson	
1334		Dr	A	Hughes	SP5 3EQ
1652		Mr	K	Hughes	SP5 3TE
2767		Mr	R	Hughes	SP1 3WD
2086			T	Hunt	SP5 4LP
2104		Miss	C P	Hunt	SP5 1PQ
2664			D	Hunt	SP5 1PP
2752				Hunter Smart	SP4 6DX
2084		Mrs	L J	Hurd	BA12 6JL
2475		Mr	T	Hutchison	BA12 7JG
2495		Mrs	S	Hutchison	BA12 7JG

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2190		Mr	A	Hyman	sp36nr
2421			A C	Inwood	BA12 7JE
2723		Mrs	A	Isted	SP1 3AF
958		Mr	G	Jackson	BA12 6NQ
116		Mrs	N P	Jacobs	SP5 3BL
635		Mr	D	Jacobs	SP5 3DR
933	University of Southampton	Dr	P	Jacobs	SP5 3AR
1348		Mrs	E	Jacobs	SP5 3DR
2136		Mr	R	Jacobs	sp1 3pj
2770		Mr	D	Jacobs	SP1 3GS
668		Mr	P	James	SP5 1SH
2461		Mr	L	James	SP5 3AB
2124		Mr	I H	Jamieson	sp5 4df
2391			G	Jeans	BA12 6HB
2180		Mr	J	Jefferson-Brown	sp1 3YQ
2708		Mrs	H	Jenkins	SP4 8HA
2747			RJ	Jenkins	SP5 2SZ
827		Mr	P	Jenks	SP5 3AZ
2395		Mr	K	Jenner	SP2 8J
2072		Mrs	D	Jewell	SP2 0EZ
1323		Mr	R	Job	SP1 3NP
2340			V	Jodrell	SP7 9EJ
399		Mr	B	Johnson	SP5 3AR
2536			B	Johnson	BA12 6EA
2139		Mr	G	Jolliffe	sp4 7xj

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1653	Mrs	S	Jones	SP5 2RU
2350	Mrs	J M	Jones	SP2 7LU
2558	Mrs	SM	Jones	BA12 6QM
2686			Jordan	BA12 6AG
2603		J	Jordan	BA12 6JF
2596	Mrs		Jory	BA12 6DS
2529		A T	Jotterson	SP3 6QQ
2042		R	Jowett	SP5 4JT
2412			Joyce	BA12 6PY
2342		H	Judd	SP4 7AW
2467		C	Kamtharis	BA12 4DG
1049	Dr	S	Karmy	SP5 2SS
2029	Miss	S A	Keefe	SP2 0DE
2531		P J and AL	Keenan	BA12 6BN
621	Ms	S	Keene	SP3 6HN
2099		R V	Kelly	SP4 8AN
2268		J N	Kelly	SP5 3AL
2280		K	Kempton	SP4 7YJ
2504		P	Kennedy	BA12 6QY
2065	Mr	P	Kent	SP3 6QH
291	Mr	J B	Kerwood	SP3 5QT
2702	Mr and Mrs	S J	Kimber	BA12 6HH
329		R	King	
1548	Miss	J	King	BA12 6BZ
2143	Mrs	R	King	sp2 0ba

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2183		Mr	D	King	ba12 opz
2324		Mrs	S P	King	SP5 2RN
2200		Mr	A W	Kingaby OBE	sp4 7eu
2373	Whitsbury Parish Council	Mr	P	Kirby	SP6 3QD
2302			K	Kirkbride	SP4 7YH
2206		Mr	J	Kitching	sp3 6dr
2520				Knass	BA12 6LT
2503		Mrs	P	Knocker	SP3 6BW
1751	Zeals Parish Council	Mr	P	Knott	SP8 4FN
1030	Fovant Parish Council	Mr	N	Knowles	SP3 6LL
2041			G S	Kympaston	SP1 1HJ
2781			M	La Femina	SP2 0JJ
2122		Mrs	S	Lacy	SP5 3HP
1110		Mrs	L	Lambert	SP5 1PW
2312				Lambert	SP4 7XU
2032		Mrs	P	Lampard	SP2 7NH
2330		Miss	A E	Lander	BA12 6DS
503		Mr	J	Landolt	SP1 1NU
2397		Mr	R	Langdon	SP3 4BN
1719		Mr	D	Langhorne	BA12 6JZ
2769			K	Langhorne	BA12 6JZ
2308		Mrs	M	Laptain	SP2 8LW
2170		Ms	B	Last	sp34tn
2452		Mr	R	Lauder	BA12 6NP
1509		Mr & Mrs	G	Lazarus	SP5 2RE

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1112	South Wiltshire Economic Partnership	Mr	P	Le Count	SP1 1DX
188			J E	Le Quesne	SP5 2SD
2493			J C	Leach	BA12 6NP
2417			M	Leahy	BA12 6EX
2707			W B S	Leece	BA12 6JF
2565		Mrs	H	Leighton	BA12 6EQ
1178		Mr	G	Leng	SP1 3GD
2249		Mrs	K M	Lewis	SP2 8JN
2441		Mr	J	Lewis-Evans	SP2 8JW
268		Mr	C	Liebenrood	SP2 8EA
2746			G M	Lille	BA12 6JA
2234			G M	Lillie	BA12 6JA
2512			L	Lipscombe	
2513			N	Lipscombe	SP2 8B4
2768			A	Lipton	SP1 2SX
2574			J	Littman	W1A2DN
2187		Mr	P	Lloyd	sp4 8db
2709			T	Lodge	
595		Mr	T	Long	SP5 4DF
2671			M P	Long	BA12 6EL
2683			D	Longbourne	BA12 6DS
2479		Mrs	A O	Loudoun	BA12 7JG
2027		Mrs	S	Loveridge	SP2 9PF
2126			G	Lucas	sp2 9lx
2120		Ms	X	Lukes	sp2 8eq

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2023		Mr	D	Luther	SP5 3LF
2821			N	Lyones	BA12 6JU
2275			E	Mabey	SP4 7XU
2159		Ms	P L	Mackay	sp5 4hw
1388		Mr	P	Mallinson	SP5 2TA
2805			R	Mander	SP1 3A4
2631			C	Maple	SP1 3WX
1092		Mrs	R	Marden	SP1 2HB
342		Mr	W	Markham	SP5 3AF
2111		Mr	A	Markham	Sp1 1sb
2682			D W	Marks	BA12 6QF
1057		Mr	D	Marr	SP5 3DT
2269			F	Marr	SP5 3EB
2272			C V	Marshall	SP5 3RP
2445		Mrs	C A	Marshall	BA12 6LS
2816		Mrs	S	Marshall	SP1 3A2
2368	Godshill Parish Council		K	Mason	SP6 3AX
2454			J	Mason	BA12 6BP
2455			P A	Mason	BA12 6BP
2095			T	Massey	SP5 4HT
2260		Mrs	U B	Matthews	SP2 8NY
2482			FP	Matthews	SP2 8NY
2776			C	Maycock	SP3 6DJ
2500		Mrs	D	Mayes	SP5 2QQ
2741			A	Mayne	SP1 3AY

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

325		Mr	D	Maytum	SP4 8HW
2053		Mrs	N	McCarney	SP5 1 DL
1357		Mr	J	McGarry	SP2 8NA
2306		Mrs	A	McGarry	SP2 8NA
2442			I	McGill	SP1 3LL
344		Mr		McKinley	
2358				McLean	sp2 0ds
2801	Laverstock and Clarendon Park Ward		I	McLennan	
2564				McNabb	BA12 6AR
2694				McNat	BA12 6RW
2052		Mrs	Y M	Meacham	SP2 8JR
2245			R J	Meacham	SP2 8JR
2639			R	Meaden	BA12 6PL
2647			J	Medhurst	SP2 7LL
2230		Mrs	G	Meehan	SP2 7BQ
226		Mr	N J	Meeker	BA12 6RE
2472			J	Meldau	BA12 6DZ
2256		Mr	A	Menzies	
2530			E	Messer	BA12 6RU
2810	White Young Green on behalf of Sainsbury's		S	Metcalf	BS1 5BN
2774			W	Mezzullo	
2800	Terence O'Rourke			Miller	BH7 7DU
1950	Northern Area Committee	Mr	C	Mills	SP3 4HZ
2743			V	Mills	SP1 3A2
773	Woodland Trust	Mr	J	Milward	BS40 7UT

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

27		M	Mitchell	SP1 2HB
789	Mr & Mrs	I & A	Mitchell	BA12 6FJ
2560	I	I M	Mitchell	SP4 7XG
2142	Mr	J	Monks	sp2 7Is
1746	Mr	A	Monnery	SP5 2SZ
2264			Moore	SP3 4LJ
2549		E A	Moralee	BA12 6RW
2416		S	Morant	BA12 6AF
2657		M J	Morgan	SP1 3WY
2331	Mr	A	Morland	SP2 9PE
2777	National Grid	L	Morris	CV34 6DA
2806		R D	Morris	SP5 2AA
389	Prof	N	Morton	SP5 3AR
2400		A C	Morton	BA12 6FJ
2420		S	Morton	BA12 6FJ
2318	Mrs	A	Mouland	SP2 8NJ
2812		M	Mouncer	
2118	Mrs	M	Munday	sp5 3sj
1436	Mr	A	Munro	SP5 2SU
2823		H	Munro	SP1 3AY
2366		F	Murfitt	SP4 7DY
2394	Mr	A	Murrison	
2169	Mrs	E A	Nach	sp5 3ns
2155	Rose Electronics LTD	P	Nash	sp4 6fb
2116	Mr	J B	NcNeile	sp3 5hu

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2089		A D	Neale	SP4 0NT
2315		N	Netherton	
2404		A	Newberry	Ba12 6HH
2293	Salisbury City Centre Management Ltd	I	Newman	
1729	Mr	L	Nichols	SP5 2SD
1735	Mrs	D	Nichols	SP5 2SD
2506		P	Nixon	
2025	Mrs	S	Nodden	SP2 7SA
1551	Mrs	F	Nokes	SP5 2QC
2398		H	Norman	BA2 6HX
2547		J	Nosko	BA12 6ET
2579		G	Nutt	BA12 6FO
2166	Ms	H L	Nyman	sp51sz
2468	Mrs	J A M	O’Callaghan	SP5 2SH
2609		R	Odey	BA12 6PL
2597	Miss	A	Oliver	BA12 6EG
1085	Miss	B	Osborne	SP1 2PH
2825	City Area Committee	Cllr	Osment	
2626	Mr	J	Osmond	SP4 0ZY
2096		C M S	Ottowell	SP4 6NQ
2232		L	Painter	SP1 2SG
2731		B	Palmer	SP1 3GY
2568	Mr	J	Parfitt	SP8 4AG
2121	Mr	S	Parker	sp7 9EY
2344	Mrs	K N	Parker	SP5 1RJ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

844	Mr	G	Parkin	SP5 3AR
2593	Mr	T	Parkinson	SP1 3AU
2080	Mrs	S M	Parsbey	SP2 7EY
2141	Mr	N	Pattenden	Sp3 5ar
2144	Mr	J M G	Paul	sp4 6ae
1237	Mr	D	Pawson	SP2 8DX
2761		D	Pawson	SP2 8DX
402	Mr	D	Payne	SP5 2RF
1824	Mr	D	Pearce	
2135			Peason	sp5 1rp
2327			Peel	SP2 8DD
2514			Pelitam	BA12 6RB
2517		C D and M A	Pelton	SP2 8EX
99	Mr and Mrs	W	Penton-Bright	SP4 7LH
2263		W E C	Perkins	SP1 2RH
54	Mr	G	Perry	BA120HG
2650	Mrs	H S	Perry	BA12 6EA
910	Mr	J	Peters	SP3 4EB
2782	Miss	G	Peters	SP1 3WS
2328		H	Phelps	SP2 8EB
2448		G	Pickford	BA12 6DG
794	Miss	M	Pike	SP2 0PB
2172	Mr and Mrs	E J	Pike	sp2 ols
2289	Mr	S	Pike	SP4 7XY
2497		W	Pike	B12 6HQ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2632			P	Pike	SP2 0HB
2633			D	Pike	SP2 8JW
2634			I	Pike	SP2 0HB
1857	Amesbury Town Council	Mr	H	Piner	SP1 1JX
2614			R T	Pitts	BA12 6PH
1068		Mr	N	Plane	SP5 2ST
2036				Pollard	SP1 3GD
2316			M	Pollard	
2274			G R	Poluton	SP2 0LR
2067				Pomeroy	SP3 5DY
2651		Mrs	G W	Pope	BA12 6EL
2033			V	Postlethwaite	SP3 5NL
156	South West of England Regional Development Agency		J	Potter	EX1 1QA
2043		Miss	A	Poulton	SP2 0PA
707		Mr	D	Powell	SP5 2NE
37		Mrs	M	Poynton	SP2 8NY
2498			J G	Poyton	BA12 6LZ
2198		Mr	M G	Prener	sp1 3fl
2357			A N	Presitge	Sp4 7bb
1964		Mrs	J	Prestage	SP5 2QG
2595		Mrs	M	Preston	SP5 2QL
2803			A	Preston	SP5 2QL
2165		Mrs	R A	Price	ba12 6ds
2715		Mrs	DR	Pringle	BA12 6HE
2277		Mr	A	Pryor-Jones	SP4 7QF

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2611		C	Pudsack	BA12 6JF
2145	Mr	A H	Pulford	sp5 3lg
1267	Dr	K	Putman	SP5 1QT
2751		A	Puxley	SP4 7XU
214	Mr	D W	Quinion	SP1 3BE
1945		J	Rampton	SP4 0LR
2608		D E	Ransley	BA12 6DY
1664	Mr	G	Rawlinson	SP5 2ST
2192	Mr		Rawlinson	sp5 2st
2550		C T E	Reed	BA12 6RW
2152		S	Reeder	sp4 7xe
2724	Mr	R	Rees-Long	
1963	Ms	S	Reeve-Tucker	SP1 3AY
2672		J	Rendell	BA12 6AG
2567	Mrs	C	Renshaw	
2149		J R	Richards	sp3 6dw
2740		D	Richards	SP1 3AY
2814		K	Richards	
884	Dr & Mrs	G & P	Richardson	SP5 1SW
2202	Mr	A	Richardson	sp27ay
2710	Netherhampton Parish Council	J	Riddell	SP2 8PW
2460	Mrs	E.R	Ritchie	SP4 2KD
2388	Mrs	J	Roberts	SP1 1SD
2795		B J	Roberts	SP2 SPX
2094	Mr	E	Robertson	SP1 1RB

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2364		T	Robertson	SP2 0JD
2589		C W	Robertson	01722 320691
2613		J	Robertson	BA12 6PJ
260	Mr	C S	Robinson	SP3 6DJ
2736		BA	Robson	SP1 3A2
2692	Mrs	J	Rodeger	BA12 6PP
2566	Mrs	J	Rodriguez	ba12 6jx
2160	Building Barracks Post Office	ms	Roe	sp4 9ae
2161		Mr	D A Rogers	sp2 8nb
1626		Mrs	J Rogerson	SP5 2QG
2824			CW Rolfe	SP2 0BJ
2073		Mrs	C Romano	SP2 8RW
2240			M A Romano	Sp2 0EZ
2339		Mr	J Root	SP2 9Ld
21		Mr	N Roper	SP1 3WS
1077		Mr	J Rosselli	SP5 1QP
1584	The London Planning Practice	Miss	S Round	WC2N 4LL
1205		Mrs	P Rouquette	SP2 8LW
2544			RAS Rouquette	SP2 8LW
2717		Dr	G Route	
2542			J Rowe	BA12 6LR
2585		Sir	H Rumbold	SP8 4AG
2210		Revd	P Rundle	SP1 2EA
730		Mr	A Rushton	SP3 6QB
2087			S Rushworth	SP5 1JL

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1287		Mr	G	Russell	SP5 1SH
2616		Mrs	C	russell	SP3 6BH
1913		Mr	S	Rutter	SP7 8EY
2188		Ms	C	Ryan	sp5 2ht
1932	Southern Area Committee		B	Rycroft	
2662			S M	Sandford	SP3 6AU
2674			C R	Sandford	SP3 6AU
2721			C W	Sandison	SP1 3AZ
2081		Mr	D	Sargent	SP5 3DJ
2039			M	Sawyer	SP4 0NU
2207			T	Sawyer	sp2 8nu
2353		Mrs	T A	Scaife	SP2 7AU
2678			K	Scanlan	BA12 6LJ
2432				Scotney	BA12 6FJ
2572			E	Sealing	BA126LA
1162	Orcheston Parish Council	Mrs	M	Seaman	SP3 4RP
2211		Mr		Sewell	SP3 6DW
2419				Seymour	SP3 6BL
2382	Dolphin Farms Ltd			Sharland	SP1 3SU
1786		Mr	R	Sharpe	SP5 1DZ
2352			K	Shaw	SP1 2RR
2541		Mr	P	Shaw	BA12 6LS
2167		Mr	D E	Shayer	sp5 1sz
2097			R & J	Shellabear	SP1 3BN
2050			C J	Shephers	SP5 4DF

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1739	Mr and Mrs	B F	Sheppard	BA12 6BZ
2177	Mr	P	Shergold	sp28at
2444	Mrs	G	Sherry	SP2 8DB
2676		P J	Sherry	SP2 8DB
646	Mr	R	Shiner	SP5 3AL
2227		A J	Short	SP5 5PZ
2601		B A	Shotter	BA12 6LS
2267		T	Siddall	SP4 8AL
2706		A	Siderfin	SP2 9PJ
1624	Mr	T	Silley	SP5 2SG
2184	Mr	B	Simpson	sp4 8je
2321		J	Simpson	
2750		D	Sims	BN13 3NX
2246			Skeates	SP5 2RD
2489	Mrs	C	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2516		R	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2551		Q	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2665		L	Skinner	BA12 6LF
629	Mr	K	Sleeman	SP5 1QH
2354		C	Small	SP2 7RZ
2695	Mrs	H	Smart	BA12 6BB
1669	Mrs	J	Smith	SP5 3EZ
2034		R	Smith	SP5 2NP
2085	Mr & Mrs	P	Smith	SP1 2EH
2286	Mr	M	Smith	SP4 7YH

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2453	Dr	P J A	Smith	BA12 6EA
2523		J	Smith	SP5 2SS
2673	Mr	K D	Smith	BA12 6AU
2374	Mrs	L	Smithson	SP1 3WS
2459	Mr		Snell	SP3 6AR
2437		C	Snook	BA12 6DF
643	Mr	J	Snow	SP5 2QS
968	Mr	W	Snow	SP2 8EA
1749	Mrs	K	Snow	SP5 2QS
2643		J	Sollivan	BS16 5EL
2370	Mr		Sommerland	SP4 7PG
2113	Mr	J	Southlands	sp2 8bs
2028		B D M	Sparks	SP2 8DZ
1095	Mr	J	Speirs	SP5 2EX
2175	Mrs	J	Speirs	sp2 7tw
1044	Mrs	V	Spencer	Sp5 3JP
1127	Mrs	C	Spencer	
2627		C	Spencer	BA12 6QQ
2191	Mr	M	Stacey	sp2 9pe
2594		I	Stafford	SP3 6AJ
2409	Mrs	J G	Stansfield	BA12 6JB
2556		J	Stapleton	BA12 6JF
2604		A	Starr	BA12 6JR
755	Mr	C	Stephenson	BA12 6DS
2329	Mrs	P M	Stephenson	BA12 6DS

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

49		Mr	J D	Stevenson	SP1 3GW
679		Mrs	A	Stewart	SP3 4RW
2119		Ms	C	Stewart	SP13LT
2433		Mrs		Stiles	BA12 6DF
2024		Mr	B J	Stokes	SP4 7QZ
2040			C	Stokes	SP4 7XY
2301		Mr	J	Stokes	
2194		Mr	B	Strachan	sp4 8jt
2266			R J	Stratton	SP2 7Lw
301		Mrs	N J	Street	SP3 4TS
2229		Dr	J	Street	SP1 £QZ
2635			P E	Strom	BA6 12BP
2375			I	Sturges	SP1 3GX
2807			P	Sturt	
97	Tetlow King Planning	Mr	J	Sullivan	BS16 5EL
2250			E J	Summerhayes	SP3 4SU
2539		Mr	P	Summersby	BA12 6BZ
2424			J H	Swarbrick	BA12 6QY
428		Dr	S	Sykes	SP3 6PZ
2075			R D L & M A	Symes	SP3 5AU
850		Mrs	A	Tanner	SP1 3BJ
2387			J H	Targett	SP1 3SU
2372		Mrs	F M	Tarlton	SP5 3PA
2656			C L	Tarver	SP4 6HL
2403			E	Taylor	BA12 6LR

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2486		Mrs		Taylor	SP5 2SG
2534		Mr	HJ	Taylor	SP2 9HF
2667			L	Teuber	BA12 6DX
2668			G	Teuber	BA12 6DX
2737			N	Thelwall	SP1 3AZ
2399		Mrs	K M	Thomas	SP2 8NJ
2605			HM	Thomas	BA12 6AT
2606			D	Thomas	BA12 6AT
2335		Dr	M	Thompson	sp3 5qd
2581			M A	Thompson	BA12 6ES
2818			C	Thrippleton	
2381			F P	Tighe	SP5 3AL
2362			J	Todman	SP1 3JF
2284			E	Tombelson	SP4 7XS
2100		Mrs	J	Tooze	SP2 8HD
1868	English Heritage	Mr	R	Torkildsen	BS1 4ND
2241			S	Torrington	BA12 6BL
2808			H	Totz	
2055			G	Towler	SP3 4BQ
2521		ms	S	Trainor	BA12 6JX
2367			N	Tregonn	SP1 3HR
2763			P	Trenell	
2258			B	Trotter	SP2 9NA
2154				Truckle	sp1 1ng
2346		Mr	J	Truman	SP5 3SH

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1792	Mr	R	Tulloch	SP5 2QG
2612	Mr	J	Turnbull	BA12 6BE
2235	Mr	G L	Turner	SP1 2RZ
2684		J	Turner	BA12 6HA
1780	Mr and Mrs	P J	Tustin	SP4 7EX
2618			Underwood	BA12 6QX
2254	Dr	D G	Upshall	SP1 1SL
2546		I A	Vere Newll	BA12 6QY
2101		N	Vincent	SP1 3FL
2205	Mr	J	Voaden	sp1 2rz
2745			W	SP2 8HY
2195	Mr	J N	Waddington	sp1 3ab
2511		T and T	Wakeman	SP2 8NA
2569		S	Walker	BA12 6JQ
2098		D W	Wall	SP5 3HW
2652		A	Wall	BA12 6LS
2802	Mrs	J	Wallace	SP3 6DJ
2047	Revd	J	Waller	SP2 8JW
2054	Mrs	P	Waller	SP2 8JW
1875	Mr and Mrs		Walsh	
2045	Miss	B	Ward	SP1 2EL
2649		K	Ward	BA12 6HQ
2349		J	Wardroper	SP1 3AU
2625		M	Warner	SP2 8LZ
2026	Mr	P	Warran	SP4 8AN

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2555			C M	Warry	SP5 1JA
2281			D	Waterman	
2151		Mr	D	Watkinson	sp3 6rx
2817			A	Watson	SP1 3AL
2819			A	Watson	SO31 9JT
2822			D	Watson	SP1 3AZ
1629		Mr	P	Watton	SP5 3LF
2077			J	Way	BA12 6HP
2510			B	Wayman	BA12 6AAG
647		Dr	D	Webb	SP5 3AL
810	Salisbury & Stonehenge Tourism Partnership	Mrs	M	Webb	SP2 8LY
2784			V and T	Weeks	BA12 6NQ
2447			R	Welch	BA12 6DS
2458		Mr	E J	Welch	SP3 4AS
133		Mr	I C	West	SP3 4SW
2189			T	West	sp1 3ws
660		Mr	K	Weymouth	SP5 2SE
243		Mr	R H	Wharton	SP5 3AF
1851		Mrs	A	Wheeler	SP5 4DU
2228		Mr	J	Wheeler	SP5 \$ND
2271		Mr	D	Whettou	SP5 3EZ
2637			P M	Whines	SP5 3AH
1527		Mrs	M	White	SP2 8LS
2714			M J	Whitty	SP4 6DQ
2125		ms	A	Wilde	sp2 7se

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

2130		Mr	B	Wilkins	sp2 8nz
832		Mr	H	Wilkinson	SP5 3DT
833		Mrs	C	Wilkinson	SP5 5DT
2484			T I G	Williams	BA12 6PY
2820			J	Williams	SP1 3AF
2480		Mrs	P	Willis	SP5 2SG
2698			S	Willis	SP5 2SY
1598	Salisbury Campaign for Better Transport	Miss	M	Willmot	SP2 9NN
1678		Mr	J M	Wilson	BA12 6JZ
2044		Mr	M	Wilson	SP2 8JW
2058		Mrs	M	Wilson	SP2 8JW
2176		Mr	R	Wilson	sp3 4JS
2583			M	Winter	SP52SZ
2168		Mr	J	Wippell	sp46nh
2641			A	Wise	BA12 6JS
2290		Mrs	D	Witney-Martin	SP4 7YH
434		Mr	G	Wolverson	SP5 2BF
847	Mere Parish Council	Mrs	L C	Wood	BA12 6EW
883	West Knoyle Parish Council	Mrs	L C	Wood	BA12 6HG
2146			A J	Wood	sp1 1py
2062		Mr	J	Wood	SP2 8JW
2063		Mr	C	Wood	SP2 8JW
2688		Mrs	J	Woodeffe	BA12 6DS
2488			P J	Woodhouse	SP2 8D2
1192		Mrs	C	Wooldridge	SP5 2ST

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 2 – CORRESPONDENT’S ORDERED BY SURNAME

By finding a surname in the appropriate column (where one was provided), the Unique Correspondent’s ID number can be found by looking in the first column. Cross-referencing this with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify the Correspondent’s answers and comments on each consultation question.

1311		Mr	J	Wooldridge	SP5 2ST
19	Woolley and Wallis	Mr	J	Woolley	SP5 4LW
2070			T	Wootton	SP4 7JQ
2545				Wootton	SP3 6OX
695		Mrs	L	Worwood	SP5 1SQ
693		Mr	J	Wright	SP2 7EX
2051		Mr & Mrs	P J	Wright	SP2 8PA
2383			A & N C	Wright Hunt	SP1 3SU
2538		Mr	S G E	Wyoming	BA12 6BY
2599			N	Wyre	SP2 8NZ
2617			R	Wyre	SP2 8NZ
2133		Mr	S	Yates	SP2 7DD
2251		Mr	R	Yeates	SP2 8LT
2405		Mrs	C	Yeates	SP5 3PS
964		Mr & Mrs	P D & M	Yeats	SP4 0NJ
2700			A	Yeoman	BA12 6AX
2701			R	Yeoman	BA12 6AX
2108		Mr	M G	York	sp7 9eq
2738			D	Young	

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

ID	ORGANISATION	TITLE	INITIAL	SURNAME	POST CODE
10	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning	Mr	R	Greenwood	SP1 1EY
18	Salisbury District Council - Salisbury City Centre	Miss	L	Brown	SP1 1DX
19	Woolley and Wallis	Mr	J	Woolley	SP5 4LW
20		Revd	H P	Barkham	BA12 6HQ
21		Mr	N	Roper	SP1 3WS
23		Mr	D C	Allen	SP2 7NH
27			M	Mitchell	SP1 2HB
36		Mr	H	Edmunds	SP4 0DR
37		Mrs	M	Poynton	SP2 8NY
45		Miss	F	Corp	
49		Mr	J D	Stevenson	SP1 3GW
50		Mr	M	Elcomb	SP1 2EF
54		Mr	G	Perry	BA120HG
64		Mrs	R	Handley	SP3 6LN
66		Mr	A	Bowden	SP1 3LY
73		Mr	D	Hope	BA12 6FJ
82		Mr	R	Bryder	SP1 1DT
97	Tetlow King Planning	Mr	J	Sullivan	BS16 5EL
99		Mr and Mrs	W	Penton-Bright	SP4 7LH
116		Mrs	N P	Jacobs	SP5 3BL
133		Mr	I C	West	SP3 4SW
156	South West of England Regional Development Agency		J	Potter	EX1 1QA
175		Mr	I	Henderson	SP1 2EL
184		Mr	D F	Boakes	SP5 2SG
188			J E	Le Quesne	SP5 2SD
196		Dr	J	Foster	SP5 2SE

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

201			R	Davie	SP1 2WA
202		Mrs	E	Bartlett	SP1 3YU
207		Mr	D	Cross	SP2 7BW
209		Mr	B R	Edgeley	SP5 1SQ
214		Mr	D W	Quinion	SP1 3BE
220		Mr		Carter	SP3 6PU
226		Mr	N J	Meeker	BA12 6RE
229		Mr	K	Blake	SP1 3SE
243		Mr	R H	Wharton	SP5 3AF
260		Mr	C S	Robinson	SP3 6DJ
268		Mr	C	Liebenrood	SP2 8EA
269		Mrs	R	Ashton-Brown	SP2 0JA
279		Mr	S	Ford	BA12 6JH
288		Mr	H	Colthurst	SP3 4TZ
291		Mr	J B	Kerwood	SP3 5QT
301		Mrs	N J	Street	SP3 4TS
325		Mr	D	Maytum	SP4 8HW
329			R	King	
342		Mr	W	Markham	SP5 3AF
344		Mr		McKinley	
389		Prof	N	Morton	SP5 3AR
390		Mr	R	Catton	SP5 1SL
399		Mr	B	Johnson	SP5 3AR
402		Mr	D	Payne	SP5 2RF
418	Wiltshire County Council		H	Cave Penney	SN15 3QN
421		Mrs	M	Hinchcliff	SP5 3EZ
425		Dr	D	Callaghan	SP5 2SH

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

428		Dr	S	Sykes	SP3 6PZ
434		Mr	G	Wolverson	SP5 2BF
435	Tisbury Parish Council	Mrs	S J	Harry	SP7 9LG
436		Mr	D	Coe	SP5 1SP
469		Mr	F	Bull	SP5 1SP
480		Mr	W	Clayden	SP5 1QS
493		Mrs	B	Davison	SP5 3DU
503		Mr	J	Landolt	SP1 1NU
532	Salisbury District Council	Mr	G	Gould	SP1 1DX
550		Mr	R	Hoare	SP5 1QH
559		Mrs	J	Curtis	SP5 1SQ
564		Mr	M	Eyles	BA12 6JL
570		Mr	M	Hayday	SP5 2QQ
595		Mr	T	Long	SP5 4DF
602		Mrs	J	Clarke	SP5 2PH
611		Miss	P	Dashwood	SP5 3AH
621		Ms	S	Keene	SP3 6HN
629		Mr	K	Sleeman	SP5 1QH
635		Mr	D	Jacobs	SP5 3DR
643		Mr	J	Snow	SP5 2QS
646		Mr	R	Shiner	SP5 3AL
647		Dr	D	Webb	SP5 3AL
660		Mr	K	Weymouth	SP5 2SE
668		Mr	P	James	SP5 1SH
679		Mrs	A	Stewart	SP3 4RW
693		Mr	J	Wright	SP2 7EX
695		Mrs	L	Worwood	SP5 1SQ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

707		Mr	D	Powell	SP5 2NE
722		Mr	S	Ford	BA12 6FJ
730		Mr	A	Rushton	SP3 6QB
751	Savills (L&P)	Mr	C	Collins	SO15 2AP
755		Mr	C	Stephenson	BA12 6DS
763		Mr	D	Carpendale	SP8 4AG
764		Mr	D	Holmes	SP5 3BF
771		Mr	G	Avory	BA12 6DG
773	Woodland Trust	Mr	J	Milward	BS40 7UT
789		Mr & Mrs	I & A	Mitchell	BA12 6FJ
793		Mr	H	Francis	SP5 2SS
794		Miss	M	Pike	SP2 0PB
809		Mr	P	Ewing	SP2 8DX
810	Salisbury & Stonehenge Tourism Partnership	Mrs	M	Webb	SP2 8LY
827		Mr	P	Jenks	SP5 3AZ
832		Mr	H	Wilkinson	SP5 3DT
833		Mrs	C	Wilkinson	SP5 5DT
844		Mr	G	Parkin	SP5 3AR
847	Mere Parish Council	Mrs	L C	Wood	BA12 6EW
850		Mrs	A	Tanner	SP1 3BJ
883	West Knoyle Parish Council	Mrs	L C	Wood	BA12 6HG
884		Dr & Mrs	G & P	Richardson	SP5 1SW
886		Mr	V J	Freemantle	SP4 6LW
910		Mr	J	Peters	SP3 4EB
913	Redlynch Parish Council		H	Brown	SP5 2NY
925		Mrs	J	Clarkson	SP5 3AB
926		Mr	G	Clarkson	SP5 3AB

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

929		Mr	J	Hinnis	SP2 0BP
933	University of Southampton	Dr	P	Jacobs	SP5 3AR
937		Mr & Mrs	M & M	Durkee	BA12 6DJ
958		Mr	G	Jackson	BA12 6NQ
964		Mr & Mrs	P D & M	Yeats	SP4 0NJ
968		Mr	W	Snow	SP2 8EA
971		Mr & Mrs	J & A	Hardcastle	SP2 8EA
972		Mr	M	Bevan	
1030	Fovant Parish Council	Mr	N	Knowles	SP3 6LL
1044		Mrs	V	Spencer	Sp5 3JP
1049		Dr	S	Karmy	SP5 2SS
1057		Mr	D	Marr	SP5 3DT
1058		Mr	D	Fisher	BA12 6JU
1063		Mr	N	Hawker	SP5 1QW
1068		Mr	N	Plane	SP5 2ST
1077		Mr	J	Rosselli	SP5 1QP
1085		Miss	B	Osborne	SP1 2PH
1092		Mrs	R	Marden	SP1 2HB
1095		Mr	J	Speirs	SP5 2EX
1108		Mrs	D	Carter	SP3 6PU
1110		Mrs	L	Lambert	SP5 1PW
1112	South Wiltshire Economic Partnership	Mr	P	Le Count	SP1 1DX
1114		Mr	T	Battle	SP3 6RZ
1121		Mr	C	Hawkins	SP5 1SP
1124		Mr	R	Harrison-King	SP5 2ST
1127		Mrs	C	Spencer	
1138		Mr	J P	Howard	BA12 6LS

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

1162	Orcheston Parish Council	Mrs	M	Seaman	SP3 4RP
1178		Mr	G	Leng	SP1 3GD
1192		Mrs	C	Wooldridge	SP5 2ST
1199		Mr & Mrs	R	Abbott	SP5 4BU
1204		Mr	J	Dovey	SP2 8NB
1205		Mrs	P	Rouquette	SP2 8LW
1214		Mr	R	Bucket	SP5 2NT
1237		Mr	D	Pawson	SP2 8DX
1260		Mrs	R	Garrard	SP5 1RJ
1267		Dr	K	Putman	SP5 1QT
1286		Mrs	S	Evans	SP2 8DZ
1287		Mr	G	Russell	SP5 1SH
1311		Mr	J	Wooldridge	SP5 2ST
1313		Mrs	K	Francis	SP5 2SS
1323		Mr	R	Job	SP1 3NP
1329		Mrs	S	Breeze	BA12 6JZ
1334		Dr	A	Hughes	SP5 3EQ
1343		Mr	J	Harris	SP1 3AF
1348		Mrs	E	Jacobs	SP5 3DR
1357		Mr	J	McGarry	SP2 8NA
1364		Mr	D	Adam	SP1 3QQ
1368		Ms	C J	Bingham	SP4 6LU
1370		Mrs	P	Crombie	SP5 2QG
1378		Mrs	L	D'Ambrumenil	BA12 6NH
1387		Dr	W	Clayton	SP5 1QL
1388		Mr	P	Mallinson	SP5 2TA
1399		Mr	B	Heil	SP5 1PR

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

1436		Mr	A	Munro	SP5 2SU
1444		Mrs	M	Caddick	SP5 2TA
1452		Mr & Mrs	A & A	Hillier	SP5 4HN
1457	Exors JWP Hunt	Mrs	A M	Coggan	SP1 3LH
1509		Mr & Mrs	G	Lazarus	SP5 2RE
1527		Mrs	M	White	SP2 8LS
1548		Miss	J	King	BA12 6BZ
1551		Mrs	F	Nokes	SP5 2QC
1561		Mrs	B	Farrell	SP3 6SN
1584	The London Planning Practice	Miss	S	Round	WC2N 4LL
1598	Salisbury Campaign for Better Transport	Miss	M	Willmot	SP2 9NN
1599		Mrs	J M	Baley	SP5 2ST
1624		Mr	T	Silley	SP5 2SG
1626		Mrs	J	Rogerson	SP5 2QG
1629		Mr	P	Watton	SP5 3LF
1652		Mr	K	Hughes	SP5 3TE
1653		Mrs	S	Jones	SP5 2RU
1656	Hindon Parish Council Planning Committee		D J	Davies	SP3 6DJ
1659		Mr & Mrs	S R	Cross	SP5 3AT
1664		Mr	G	Rawlinson	SP5 2ST
1666		Mr	C	Frogley	SP5 2RT
1669		Mrs	J	Smith	SP5 3EZ
1670		Mr	J	Colbert	SP5 3LF
1678		Mr	J M	Wilson	BA12 6JZ
1686		Mr	R	Coombs	BA12 6BN
1705		Mrs	C	Allen	SP5 2SZ
1719		Mr	D	Langhorne	BA12 6JZ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

1729		Mr	L	Nichols	SP5 2SD
1735		Mrs	D	Nichols	SP5 2SD
1739		Mr and Mrs	B F	Sheppard	BA12 6BZ
1743		Mr	S	Beattie	SP5 2SG
1745		Mrs	W	Hedley	SP5 2SU
1746		Mr	A	Monnery	SP5 2SZ
1749		Mrs	K	Snow	SP5 2QS
1751	Zeals Parish Council	Mr	P	Knott	SP8 4FN
1780		Mr and Mrs	P J	Tustin	SP4 7EX
1786		Mr	R	Sharpe	SP5 1DZ
1792		Mr	R	Tulloch	SP5 2QG
1795	Swallowcliffe Parish Council	Mrs	B	Ford	SP3 6JB
1801	Blanefield Property Company				SN1 4BJ
1824		Mr	D	Pearce	
1845			RS	Corner	SP3 6SR
1851		Mrs	A	Wheeler	SP5 4DU
1857	Amesbury Town Council	Mr	H	Piner	SP1 1JX
1858	Defence Estate		J	Aston	
1868	English Heritage	Mr	R	Torkildsen	BS1 4ND
1875		Mr and Mrs		Walsh	
1909		Mr	A	Hotchkiss	
1913		Mr	S	Rutter	SP7 8EY
1923		Mr & Mrs	P & J	Clarke	BA12 6NQ
1932	Southern Area Committee		B	Rycroft	
1945			J	Rampton	SP4 0LR
1946	The Theatres Trust	Ms	R	Freeman	WC2H 0QL
1950	Northern Area Committee	Mr	C	Mills	SP3 4HZ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

1963		Ms	S	Reeve-Tucker	SP1 3AY
1964		Mrs	J	Prestage	SP5 2QG
1981		Mr	E G	Hart	SP1 2BA
1996	Laverstock and Ford Parish Council	Mr	S	Hannath	SP1 1QZ
2022		Mr	L	Carter	SP4 9EX
2023		Mr	D	Luther	SP5 3LF
2024		Mr	B J	Stokes	SP4 7QZ
2025		Mrs	S	Nodden	SP2 7SA
2026		Mr	P	Warran	SP4 8AN
2027		Mrs	S	Loveridge	SP2 9PF
2028			B D M	Sparks	SP2 8DZ
2029		Miss	S A	Keefe	SP2 0DE
2030		Mr	J	Compasell	SP3 6JG
2031			W	Charlesworth	SP1 3HF
2032		Mrs	P	Lampard	SP2 7NH
2033			V	Postlethwaite	SP3 5NL
2034			R	Smith	SP5 2NP
2035			T P	Douglas	SP1 3PX
2036				Pollard	SP1 3GD
2037		Mr	L	Carter	SP4 8EX
2038		Mr	C	Green	SP2 8JS
2039			M	Sawyer	SP4 0NU
2040			C	Stokes	SP4 7XY
2041			G S	Kympaston	SP1 1HJ
2042			R	Jowett	SP5 4JT
2043		Miss	A	Poulton	SP2 0PA
2044		Mr	M	Wilson	SP2 8JW

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2045	Miss	B	Ward	SP1 2EL
2046	Mr	D N	Dixon	SP2 8NZ
2047	Revd	J	Waller	SP2 8JW
2048	Mr	D	Adeley	SP5 4AT
2049		M K E	Hill	SP1 3SB
2050		C J	Shephers	SP5 4DF
2051	Mr & Mrs	P J	Wright	SP2 8PA
2052	Mrs	Y M	Meacham	SP2 8JR
2053	Mrs	N	McCarney	SP5 1 DL
2054	Mrs	P	Waller	SP2 8JW
2055		G	Towler	SP3 4BQ
2056	Mrs		Bishop	SP5 1SW
2057		P	Baker	SP2 7TD
2058	Mrs	M	Wilson	SP2 8JW
2059	Mr	S	Baldock	SP2 8JL
2060	Dr		Beales	SP2 8NZ
2061	Mr	D E	Bealing	SP1 3UH
2062	Mr	J	Wood	SP2 8JW
2063	Mr	C	Wood	SP2 8JW
2064			Hopkins	
2065	Mr	P	Kent	SP3 6QH
2066	Mrs	P B	Goodman	SP2 8HN
2067			Pomeroy	SP3 5DY
2068		C A	Counsell	SP4 7SZ
2069		S G	Cook	SP1 3DL
2070		T	Wootton	SP4 7JQ
2071	Mr	D	Flint	SP5 1EU

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2072	Mrs	D	Jewell	SP2 0EZ
2073	Mrs	C	Romano	SP2 8RW
2074			Hall	SP2 0DW
2075		R D L & M A	Symes	SP3 5AU
2076		J	Grimm	SP2 7AY
2077		J	Way	BA12 6HP
2078	Mr	A	Graham	BA12 6EQ
2079		J	Carver	
2080	Mrs	S M	Parsbey	SP2 7EY
2081	Mr	D	Sargent	SP5 3DJ
2082		G	Cobham	SP2 7LG
2083		S V	Francis	BA12 6BJ
2084	Mrs	L J	Hurd	BA12 6JL
2085	Mr & Mrs	P	Smith	SP1 2EH
2086		T	Hunt	SP5 4LP
2087		S	Rushworth	SP5 1JL
2088			Gillott	SP3 5TE
2089		A D	Neale	SP4 0NT
2090	Mr	I D	Bingham	SP4 7UA
2091		K	Cooper-Joel	SP1 1EQ
2092	Mr	P	Delaney	SP3 5JN
2093	Mr	R	Fulford	SP2 8AQ
2094	Mr	E	Robertson	SP1 1RB
2095		T	Massey	SP5 4HT
2096		C M S	Ottowell	SP4 6NQ
2097		R & J	Shellabear	SP1 3BN
2098		D W	Wall	SP5 3HW

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2099			R V	Kelly	SP4 8AN
2100		Mrs	J	Tooze	SP2 8HD
2101			N	Vincent	SP1 3FL
2102			G	Collins	SP1 3QX
2103			R E	Breswick	Sp8 2EA
2104		Miss	C P	Hunt	SP5 1PQ
2105		Mr	P	Hix	ba12 6pl
2108		Mr	M G	York	sp7 9eq
2109		ms	F	Howard	SP2 8TJ
2110	Reprotec	Mr	D	Healing	sp4 8by
2111		Mr	A	Markham	Sp1 1sb
2112		Mr	P	Baker	sp13rp
2113		Mr	J	Southlands	sp2 8bs
2116		Mr	J B	NcNeile	sp3 5hu
2117		Mr	M	Busby	sp2 9pa
2118		Mrs	M	Munday	sp5 3sj
2119		Ms	C	Stewart	SP13LT
2120		Ms	X	Lukes	sp2 8eq
2121		Mr	S	Parker	sp7 9EY
2122		Mrs	S	Lacy	SP5 3HP
2123		Mrs	S	Clissold	sp2 8jg
2124		Mr	I H	Jamieson	sp5 4df
2125		ms	A	Wilde	sp2 7se
2126			G	Lucas	sp2 9lx
2127	Hindon Sugery	Dr	P M	Craig-McFeely	sp3 6DJ
2128		Mr	E	Day	SP4 7BJ
2129		Ms	C	Burden	sp2 8jg

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2130		Mr	B	Wilkins	sp2 8nz
2131			G	D	sp1
2132		Mrs	A	Case	sp1 1hw
2133		Mr	S	Yates	SP2 7DD
2134		Mr	M P	Brooke	sp3 6BE
2135				Peason	sp5 1rp
2136		Mr	R	Jacobs	sp1 3pj
2138		Colonel	M	Havergal	sp3 6er
2139		Mr	G	Jolliffe	sp4 7xj
2140		Mrs	P	Brooke	sp3 5ay
2141		Mr	N	Pattenden	Sp3 5ar
2142		Mr	J	Monks	sp2 7ls
2143		Mrs	R	King	sp2 0ba
2144		Mr	J M G	Paul	sp4 6ae
2145		Mr	A H	Pulford	sp5 3lg
2146			A J	Wood	sp1 1py
2147		Mr	D M		sp3 6ar
2148		Mr	D	Blackborrow	sp3 4sz
2149			J R	Richards	sp3 6dw
2150		Mr	J	hibbitt	
2151		Mr	D	Watkinson	sp3 6rx
2152			S	Reeder	sp4 7xe
2153		Mr	T	Howard-Jones	sp1 3pz
2154				Truckle	sp1 1ng
2155	Rose Electronics LTD	Mr	P	Nash	sp4 6fb
2156		Mr	J	horton	sp1 3f
2157			M A	Francis	sp2 7sf

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2158		Mrs	A	Harries	sp1 2ry
2159		Ms	P L	Mackay	sp5 4hw
2160	Building Barracks Post Office	ms	D	Roe	sp4 9ae
2161		Mr	D A	Rogers	sp2 8nb
2162		P M		57 Bouverie Avenue	sp2 8dn
2163		S J		3A Cornwall Road	sp13nh
2164		Mrs	M	Haregal	sp3 6er
2165		Mrs	R A	Price	ba12 6ds
2166		Ms	H L	Nyman	sp51sz
2167		Mr	D E	Shayer	sp5 1sz
2168		Mr	J	Wippell	sp46nh
2169		Mrs	E A	Nach	sp5 3ns
2170		Ms	B	Last	sp34tn
2171		Mr	B O	Bullock	sp5 4ap
2172		Mr and Mrs	E J	Pike	sp2 ols
2173		Mrs		Anderson	sp5 4au
2174			J M	Flight	sp5 2AZ
2175		Mrs	J	Speirs	sp2 7tw
2176		Mr	R	Wilson	sp3 4JS
2177		Mr	P	Shergold	sp28at
2178			L N	Claxton	sp2 8JU
2179			R	Hallewell	sp1 3we
2180		Mr	J	Jefferson-Brown	sp1 3YQ
2181			S	Harvey	sp2 8ay
2182		Mr	M	Dickinson	sp2 8at
2183		Mr	D	King	ba12 opz
2184		Mr	B	Simpson	sp4 8je

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2185	Mr	M	Bradley	sp2 7lp
2186	Mr	G	Goodyer	sp5 2nl
2187	Mr	P	Lloyd	sp4 8db
2188	Ms	C	Ryan	sp5 2ht
2189		T	West	sp1 3ws
2190	Mr	A	Hyman	sp36nr
2191	Mr	M	Stacey	sp2 9pe
2192	Mr		Rawlinson	sp5 2st
2193			Fist	sp7 9na
2194	Mr	B	Strachan	sp4 8jt
2195	Mr	J N	Waddington	sp1 3ab
2196	Mr	E H	Garden	ba12 6ql
2197	Mrs	K	Callow	sp13wf
2198	Mr	M G	Prener	sp1 3fl
2199		M	Freemantle	sp46de
2200	Mr	A W	Kingaby OBE	sp4 7eu
2201		R	Boutwood	sp4 8gt
2202	Mr	A	Richardson	sp27ay
2203	Mrs	M	Dixon	ba126np
2204	Mrs	A	Dean	sp1 3pl
2205	Mr	J	Voaden	sp1 2rz
2206	Mr	J	Kitching	sp3 6dr
2207		T	Sawyer	sp2 8nu
2208		R	Charleson	Sp2 9HR
2210	Revd	P	Rundle	SP1 2EA
2211	Mr		Sewell	SP3 6DW
2212	Mr	R	Farrell	SP3 6SN

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2213				SP1 1NU
2214	Mrs	M	Hawes	SP2 8HE
2215		M	Crane	SP1 2SF
2216		Y P	Allen -Fox	SP1 3LB
2217		J	Haworth	SP2 7RA
2218	Mr	G L	Body	SP2 8EY
2219		C N	Chlebowski	SP2 9Pa
2220		R	Clrake	SP5 3DB
2221		L	Brown	SP1 3EP
2222			Harris	SP2 0DE
2223		P	Dewey	SP7 9Lw
2227		A J	Short	SP5 5PZ
2228	Mr	J	Wheeler	SP5 \$ND
2229	Dr	J	Street	SP1 £QZ
2230	Mrs	G	Meehan	SP2 7BQ
2231		S A	Brown	SP1 3LA
2232		L	Painter	SP1 2SG
2233		M	Hallam	SP5 3LU
2234		G M	Lillie	BA12 6JA
2235	Mr	G L	Turner	SP1 2RZ
2236		R	Cameron	SP5 3NR
2237		N	Gould	SP4 7AR
2238		C	Hendicott	SP1 3NL
2239	Mrs	O A C	Courtice	SP1 2SH
2240		M A	Romano	Sp2 0EZ
2241		S	Torrington	BA12 6BL
2242		S	Croft	SP1 3TQ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2243			S	Ashworth	Sp3 6BP
2244		Mrs	A	Harris	SP4 6EL
2245			R J	Meacham	SP2 8JR
2246				Skeates	SP5 2RD
2247			F	Grimes	SP4 8JP
2248			J	Blevins	SP2 8Dw
2249		Mrs	K M	Lewis	SP2 8JN
2250			E J	Summerhayes	SP3 4SU
2251		Mr	R	Yeates	SP2 8LT
2252			D	Germain	SP5 4LR
2253		Mrs	P	Bowditch	SP2 9JE
2254		Dr	D G	Upshall	SP1 1SL
2255		Mrs	D L	Hall	SP1 3FD
2256		Mr	A	Menzies	
2257			N	Gibbons	SP2 7EA
2258			B	Trotter	SP2 9NA
2259		Mr		Freeman	Salisbury
2260		Mrs	U B	Matthews	SP2 8NY
2261		Mr	P L	Button	BA12 8AS
2262			J G	dunstone	Sp4 7QE
2263			W E C	Perkins	SP1 2RH
2264				Moore	SP3 4LJ
2265	OSSL				SO21 2LD
2266			R J	Stratton	SP2 7Lw
2267			T	Siddall	SP4 8AL
2268			J N	Kelly	SP5 3AL
2269			F	Marr	SP5 3EB

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2270		Mrs	C F		SP5 1JF
2271		Mr	D	Whettou	SP5 3EZ
2272			C V	Marshall	SP5 3RP
2273			J	Bevis	SP4 6LA
2274			G R	Poluton	SP2 0LR
2275			E	Mabey	SP4 7XU
2276			N	Burden	SP4 7YH
2277		Mr	A	Pryor-Jones	SP4 7QF
2278		Mrs	M	Douglas	SP1 3BZ
2279		Mr	M	Goulding	SP4 7YA
2280			K	Kempton	SP4 7YJ
2281			D	Waterman	
2282					SP4 7YA
2283			A	Burden	SP4 7YH
2284			E	Tombelson	SP4 7XS
2285		Mr	J W	Bradley	SP4 7YE
2286		Mr	M	Smith	SP4 7YH
2287		Mr		Badge	SP4 7YH
2288		Miss	N	Burton	SP4 7WQ
2289		Mr	S	Pike	SP4 7XY
2290		Mrs	D	Witney-Martin	SP4 7YH
2291		Mr	T	Bebbington	SP4 7WQ
2292			G	Ardani	ASP4 7YA
2293	Salisbury City Centre Management Ltd		I	Newman	
2298	Salisbury and District Chamber of Commerce	Mr	I	Hudson	
2299	Federation of Small Businesses				
2300			P	Gear	BA12 6FJ

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2301		Mr	J	Stokes	
2302			K	Kirkbride	SP4 7YH
2303			N D	Burden	
2304		Mrs	L E	Hopton	BA12 0LU
2305		Mrs	M	Blakeborough	SP4 7WQ
2306		Mrs	A	McGarry	SP2 8NA
2307			J R	Collinge	SP4 7YJ
2308		Mrs	M	Laptain	SP2 8LW
2309			S	Belcher	SP5 3AA
2310		Mr	I	Belcher	SP5 3AA
2311	Tollard Royal Parish Council				SP5 5PP
2312				Lambert	SP4 7XU
2313			S	Bartholomew	
2314			C	Harnett-Mcmillan	SP4 7XW
2315			N	Netherton	
2316			M	Pollard	
2317				Cotton	SP3 4JZ
2318		Mrs	A	Mouland	SP2 8NJ
2319			P	Hardiman	SP2 7DS
2320			B T	Hamer	SP4 8AB
2321			J	Simpson	
2322			M G	Brookes	SP4 8GP
2323		Mr	S	Hopkins	SP4 7YE
2324		Mrs	S P	King	SP5 2RN
2325					SP4 8DD
2326				Ashford	sp2 9dz
2327				Peel	SP2 8DD

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2328		H	Phelps	SP2 8EB
2329	Mrs	P M	Stephenson	BA12 6DS
2330	Miss	A E	Lander	BA12 6DS
2331	Mr	A	Morland	SP2 9PE
2332		M	Easey	SP4 8DA
2335	Dr	M	Thompson	sp3 5qd
2336		R	Clarke	SP5 3DB
2337	Mrs	J F	Back	SP5 3HE
2338	Mr	A	Goodes	SP1 3QW
2339	Mr	J	Root	SP2 9Ld
2340		V	Jodrell	SP7 9EJ
2341		H	Bailey	SP2 8Hy
2342		H	Judd	SP4 7AW
2343	Mrs	L A		SP3 5DB
2344	Mrs	K N	Parker	SP5 1RJ
2345	British Waterways (South West Business Unit)			
2346	Mr	J	Truman	SP5 3SH
2347		J	Hambleton	
2348		S	Baber	SP1 3UA
2349		J	Wardroper	SP1 3AU
2350	Mrs	J M	Jones	SP2 7LU
2351				SP7 9DZ
2352		K	Shaw	SP1 2RR
2353	Mrs	T A	Scaife	SP2 7AU
2354		C	Small	SP2 7RZ
2355		P	Bevis	SP4 6La
2356		C	Froude	SP1 3WU

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2357			A N	Presitge	Sp4 7bb
2358				McLean	sp2 0ds
2359			P A	Charter	SP1 3QH
2360			M	Glover	
2361			D	Blake	SP7 9HQ
2362			J	Todman	SP1 3JF
2363		Dr	S	Damment	SP5 2ED
2364			T	Robertson	SP2 0JD
2365			T J	Hawkes	SP5 1EU
2366			F	Murfitt	SP4 7DY
2367			N	Tregonn	SP1 3HR
2368	Godshill Parish Council		K	Mason	SP6 3AX
2369			C	Chelu	
2370		Mr		Sommerland	SP4 7PG
2371			R W J	Death	SP1 3GS
2372		Mrs	F M	Tarlton	SP5 3PA
2373	Whitsbury Parish Council	Mr	P	Kirby	SP6 3QD
2374		Mrs	L	Smithson	SP1 3WS
2375			I	Sturges	SP1 3GX
2376				Hill	SP4 7WQ
2377		Mrs		Hollingbury	SP5 3AZ
2378	Test Valley Borough Council		T	Crouch	
2379		Mrs		Dixon	SP2 8HL
2380		Mr	A	Hall	SP1 1SQ
2381			F P	Tighe	SP5 3AL
2382	Dolphin Farms Ltd			Sharland	SP1 3SU
2383			A & N C	Wright Hunt	SP1 3SU

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2384			P R P	Bialek	SP1 3SU
2385		Miss	R E	Bright	SP1 3SU
2386			T A	Burchmore	SP1 3SU
2387			J H	Targett	SP1 3SU
2388		Mrs	J	Roberts	SP1 1SD
2389			M	Gibson	SP4 7WQ
2390			H C	Beeson	SP2 8AT
2391			G	Jeans	BA12 6HB
2392			D A	Bulter	BA12 6NG
2393	Hale Parish Council				SP6 2NN
2394		Mr	A	Murrison	
2395		Mr	K	Jenner	SP2 8J
2396		Mr	A D	Brown	SP5 5LE
2397		Mr	R	Langdon	SP3 4BN
2398			H	Norman	BA2 6HX
2399		Mrs	K M	Thomas	SP2 8NJ
2400			A C	Morton	BA12 6FJ
2401			M J	Avery	BA12 6JX
2402		Mr	P	Gurr	BA12 6BP
2403			E	Taylor	BA12 6LR
2404			A	Newberry	Ba12 6HH
2405		Mrs	C	Yeates	SP5 3PS
2406					BA12 6JE
2407			G	Gibbons	BA12 6BZ
2408		Mrs	J	Cliffe	BA12 6JF
2409		Mrs	J G	Stansfield	BA12 6JB
2410			H	Clark	BA12 6JB

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2411		Mrs	V M	Donnell	BA12 6RG
2412				Joyce	BA12 6PY
2413			W	Coady	BA12 6RA
2414		Mrs	F	Grotian	BA12 6AG
2415			L D	Hardcastle	BA12 6DH
2416			S	Morant	BA12 6AF
2417			M	Leahy	BA12 6EX
2418		Mrs	J S	Eyles	BA12 6JL
2419				Seymour	SP3 6BL
2420			S	Morton	BA12 6FJ
2421			A C	Inwood	BA12 7JE
2422			F	Dark	BA12 6BP
2423			A S	Durward	BA12 6RD
2424			J H	Swarbrick	BA12 6QY
2425			R	Farrington	BA12 6LG
2426			G	Binstead	BA12 6LT
2427			S	Curtis	BA12 6RT
2428					SP3 6AL
2429			A	Ferguson	BA12 6AE
2430			J	Avery	BA12 6JX
2431			H Y	Durward	BA12 6RD
2432				Scotney	BA12 6FJ
2433		Mrs		Stiles	BA12 6DF
2434			S	Corbett	BA12 6FG
2435			P M	Davies	BA12 6AJ
2436		Mrs	C A	Baguley	BA12 7JT
2437			C	Snook	BA12 6DF

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2438		L	Butler	BA12 6FJ
2439	Mrs	R A V	Hannon	BA12 6JF
2440		M	Hannon	BA12 6JF
2441	Mr	J	Lewis-Evans	SP2 8JW
2442		I	McGill	SP1 3LL
2443	Mr	P	Breeze	BA12 6JZ
2444	Mrs	G	Sherry	SP2 8DB
2445	Mrs	C A	Marshall	BA12 6LS
2446	Mrs	M	Bunstead	BA12 6LT
2447		R	Welch	BA12 6DS
2448		G	Pickford	BA12 6DG
2451	Miss	R	Ellwood	SP1 2RY
2452	Mr	R	Lauder	BA12 6NP
2453	Dr	P J A	Smith	BA12 6EA
2454		J	Mason	BA12 6BP
2455		P A	Mason	BA12 6BP
2456	Mrs		Gurr	BA12 6BP
2457		P	Clayton	SP5 2GF
2458	Mr	E J	Welch	SP3 4AS
2459	Mr		Snell	SP3 6AR
2460	Mrs	E.R	Ritchie	SP4 2KD
2461	Mr	L	James	SP5 3AB
2462		B	Clark	BA12 6LT
2463		M	Brocklenurst	BA12 6NQ
2464				BA12 6DF
2465		J	Howard	BA12 6AE
2467		C	Kamtharis	BA12 4DG

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2468		Mrs	J A M	O'Callaghan	SP5 2SH
2469			MA	Filden	SP5 2QG
2470			E and K	Cox	BA12 6BZ
2471		Mrs	W.A	Bevington	01747861725
2472			J	Meldau	BA12 6DZ
2473			P	Bedford	PO16 7HT
2474			A J and J C	Cook	BA12 6EA
2475		Mr	T	Hutchison	BA12 7JG
2476			M	Alexander	BA12 6JB
2477			P M	Booth	BA12 6RD
2478			S	Booth	BA12 6RD
2479		Mrs	A O	Loudoun	BA12 7JG
2480		Mrs	P	Willis	SP5 2SG
2481			G L	Hearn	BA2 6B2
2482			FP	Matthews	SP2 8NY
2483			S T & W D	Evans	BA12 6PN
2484			T I G	Willams	BA12 6PY
2485		Mrs	G G	Davis	BA12 6PL
2486		Mrs		Taylor	SP5 2SG
2487			G and S		SP3 6BW
2488			P J	Woodhouse	SP2 8D2
2489		Mrs	C	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2490			A	Allan	BA12 6BR
2491			R I	Henry	BA12 7JG
2492			J	Cook	BA12 6NW
2493			J C	Leach	BA12 6NP
2494			P H	Andrew	BA12 6NH

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2495		Mrs	S	Hutchison	BA12 7JG
2496			S	Evans	BA12 6QB
2497			W	Pike	B12 6HQ
2498			J G	Poyton	BA12 6LZ
2499					BA12 6DF
2500		Mrs	D	Mayes	SP5 2QQ
2501			J D	Brammer	SP3 6BN
2502		Mrs	C M	Bixby	BA12 6DB
2503		Mrs	P	Knocker	SP3 6BW
2504			P	Kennedy	BA12 6QY
2505			D and E	Abbott	BA12 6QB
2506			P	Nixon	
2507			M	Harbet	BA12 6HE
2508			J	Collins	SP5 2QZ
2509	Hampshire County Council	Mr	T	Ayling	SO23 8UD
2510			B	Wayman	BA12 6AAG
2511			T and T	Wakeman	SP2 8NA
2512			L	Lipscombe	
2513			N	Lipscombe	SP2 8B4
2514				Pelitam	BA12 6RB
2515					SP1 3YH
2516			R	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2517			C D and M A	Pelton	SP2 8EX
2518		Mr	J H	Fall	SP5 2SU
2519				Dickin	BA12 6LS
2520				Knass	BA12 6LT
2521		ms	S	Trainor	BA12 6JX

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2522		B J			SP2 9DD
2523			J	Smith	SP5 2SS
2524			T	Burne	SP2 8DP
2525			S	Byrne	SP2 8DP
2526		Mrs	B	Butter	SP5 2ST
2527		Mrs	A P	Boakes	SP5 2SG
2528		Mr	B	Butter	SP5 2ST
2529			A T	Jotterson	SP3 6QQ
2530			E	Messer	BA12 6RU
2531			P J and AL	Keenan	BA12 6BN
2532			RW	Elliot	SP5 2SD
2533			B	Cheightonhills	
2534		Mr	HJ	Taylor	SP2 9HF
2535			K M	Arthur	BA12 6DA
2536			B	Johnson	BA12 6EA
2537			C and G		BA12 6FG
2538		Mr	S G E	Wyoming	BA12 6BY
2539		Mr	P	Summersby	BA12 6BZ
2540		Miss	P	Hawking	BA12 6JR
2541		Mr	P	Shaw	BA12 6LS
2542			J	Rowe	BA12 6LR
2543			H J and S	Allard	BA126JW
2544			RAS	Rouquette	SP2 8LW
2545				Wootton	SP3 6OX
2546			I A	Vere Newll	BA12 6QY
2547			J	Nosko	BA12 6ET
2548			E	Dobbon	SP3 6AL

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2549			E A	Moralee	BA12 6RW
2550			C T E	Reed	BA12 6RW
2551			Q	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2552			J	Gammie	BA12 6HE
2553			G and C	Cook	
2554			M J	Harrrold-Carnon	BA12 6DS
2555			C M	Warry	SP5 1JA
2556			J	Stapleton	BA12 6JF
2557		Mrs	J	Ashman	BS1 6HA
2558		Mrs	SM	Jones	BA12 6QM
2559	South Wiltshire Agenda 21				SP2 8LW
2560		I	I M	Mitchell	SP4 7XG
2561			S	Hornby	SP5 2SD
2562			R	Cutler	SP5 3PU
2563	R Roe Esq. And others			Atfield	BH8 8DY
2564				McNabb	BA12 6AR
2565		Mrs	H	Leighton	BA12 6EQ
2566		Mrs	J	Rodriguez	ba12 6jx
2567		Mrs	C	Renshaw	
2568		Mr	J	Parfitt	SP8 4AG
2569			S	Walker	BA12 6JQ
2570			J	Field	sp36aj
2571		Mr	K	Hobbs	SP52QG
2572			E	Sealing	BA126LA
2573			M B	Drummond Smith	BA126QU
2574			J	Littman	W1A2DN
2575			R	Day	BA12 6QW

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2576			Dawson	
2577		G G	Howard	SP7 9AF
2578		R and M	Hargreaves	SP5 2DS
2579		G	Nutt	BA12 6FO
2580		J	Calloway	BA126PH
2581		M A	Thompson	BA12 6ES
2582	Shrewton Parish Council			SP3 4RP
2583		M	Winter	SP52SZ
2584	Mr	C	Hazzard	SP84SG
2585	Sir	H	Rumbold	SP8 4AG
2586		H		BA12 7HW
2587	Mrs	M E I	Hales	SP5 2RD
2588	Miss	M C	Hayes	SP52RD
2589		C W	Robertson	01722 320691
2590		E T O	Griffith	SP5 3BD
2591		PL	Griffiths	SP5 3BD
2592		J	Ashenden	SP5 4LR
2593	Mr	T	Parkinson	SP1 3AU
2594		I	Stafford	SP3 6AJ
2595	Mrs	M	Preston	SP5 2QL
2596	Mrs		Jory	BA12 6DS
2597	Miss	A	Oliver	BA12 6EG
2598	Mrs	P	Ellingham	BA12 6LX
2599		N	Wyre	SP2 8NZ
2600	Mrs	V		SP2 0LU
2601		B A	Shotter	BA12 6LS
2602		A	Howell	BA12 6EG

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2603		J	Jordan	BA12 6JF
2604		A	Starr	BA12 6JR
2605		HM	Thomas	BA12 6AT
2606		D	Thomas	BA12 6AT
2607		D	Hexney Briscoe	BA12 6BE
2608		D E	Ransley	BA12 6DY
2609		R	Odey	BA12 6PL
2610		M J	Davey	BA12 6LR
2611		C	Pudsack	BA12 6JF
2612	Mr	J	Turnbull	BA12 6BE
2613		J	Robertson	BA12 6PJ
2614		R T	Pitts	BA12 6PH
2615	Mrs	C	Boughln	BA12 6AS
2616	Mrs	C	russell	SP3 6BH
2617		R	Wyre	SP2 8NZ
2618			Underwood	BA12 6QX
2619			Fearn	BA12 6PY
2620		W G R	Fearn	BA12 6PY
2621		C	Fearn	BA12 6PY
2622		R	Dennett	BA12 6LA
2623		C	Booth	BA12 6PY
2624		A	Bessey	SP5 2SP
2625		M	Warner	SP2 8LZ
2626	Mr	J	Osmond	SP4 0ZY
2627		C	Spencer	BA12 6QQ
2628	West Tisbury Parish Council			SP3 6LD
2629	Mrs	T	Brightman	

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2630			K	Crocker	SP1 1RN
2631			C	Maple	SP1 3WX
2632			P	Pike	SP2 0HB
2633			D	Pike	SP2 8JW
2634			I	Pike	SP2 0HB
2635			P E	Strom	BA6 12BP
2636		Mrs	L	Higgins	BA12 6WP
2637			P M	Whines	SP5 3AH
2638		Mrs	C J	Howard	BA12 6RAS
2639			R	Meaden	BA12 6PL
2640			J	Brown	SP5 3LX
2641			A	Wise	BA12 6JS
2642			E J	Hamilton	SP3 6AD
2643			J	Sollivan	BS16 5EL
2644			P	Hill	BA12 6BP
2645			S	Bennett	BA12 6QU
2646			A	Everall	SP7 9AD
2647			J	Medhurst	SP2 7LL
2648	Swallowcliffe Parish Council	Mrs	B	Ford	SP3 6JB
2649			K	Ward	BA12 6HQ
2650		Mrs	H S	Perry	BA12 6EA
2651		Mrs	G W	Pope	BA12 6EL
2652			A	Wall	BA12 6LS
2653			V	Hambleton	BA12 6HW
2654		Mrs	J	Hammond	SP3 6BW
2655			K	Hooper	SP3 6JW
2656			C L	Tarver	SP4 6HL

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2657		M J	Morgan	SP1 3WY
2658		R	Halsall	SP3 6AP
2659		S	Hamington-Houston	SP3 6AA
2660		J	Bryant	SP5 2SD
2661			Campbell	SP5 2SZ
2662		S M	Sandford	SP3 6AU
2663	Mrs	S M	Farrington	BA12 6LG
2664		D	Hunt	SP5 1PP
2665		L	Skinner	BA12 6LF
2666		R	Hand	BH8 8DY
2667		L	Teuber	BA12 6DX
2668		G	Teuber	BA12 6DX
2669		R G	Cowlishraw	BA12 6RG
2670		J	Brewer	BA12 6JQ
2671		M P	Long	BA12 6EL
2672		J	Rendell	BA12 6AG
2673	Mr	K D	Smith	BA12 6AU
2674		C R	Sandford	SP3 6AU
2675		ML	Femina	SP2 0JJ
2676		P J	Sherry	SP2 8DB
2677	Mrs	E	Howden	BA12 6EA
2678		K	Scanlan	BA12 6LJ
2679	Mr and Mrs	S	Haigh	SP4 6HF
2680		TC	Elliot	SP5 1RJ
2681		A	Ambrose	SP3 6BN
2682		D W	Marks	BA12 6QF
2683		D	Longbourne	BA12 6DS

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2684		J	Turner	BA12 6HA
2685		B	Bourne	BA12 6HH
2686			Jordan	BA12 6AG
2687		D A J	Corbin	BA12 6PQ
2688	Mrs	J	Woodeffe	BA12 6DS
2689		P	Bowells	BA12 6RA
2690		G	Bowells	BA12 6RA
2691	Miss	J	Blower	BA12 6HP
2692	Mrs	J	Rodeger	BA12 6PP
2693	Mr and Mrs	J	Eggleton	BA12 6JB
2694			McNat	BA12 6RW
2695	Mrs	H	Smart	BA12 6BB
2696	Mr	J	Carter	SP5 2SE
2698		S	Willis	SP5 2SY
2699			Arney	BA12
2700		A	Yeoman	BA12 6AX
2701		R	Yeoman	BA12 6AX
2702	Mr and Mrs	S J	Kimber	BA12 6HH
2703		R C	Higgensworth	BA12 6RU
2704		E	Horan	BA12 6BB
2705	Mr	M J	Horely	BA12 6EX
2706		A	Siderfin	SP2 9PJ
2707		W B S	Leece	BA12 6JF
2708	Mrs	H	Jenkins	SP4 8HA
2709		T	Lodge	
2710	Netherhampton Parish Council	J	Riddell	SP2 8PW
2711	South West Regional Assembly	B	Houlden	TA1 1SH

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2713	Equity and human rights commission		C	Driver	M4 3EQ
2714			M J	Whitty	SP4 6DQ
2715		Mrs	DR	Pringle	BA12 6HE
2716			A W	Clayton	SP2 8PR
2717		Dr	G	Route	
2718			J	Burridge	S017 1BJ
2720	Montagu Evans Chartered Surveyors		M	Evans	W1J 8HB
2721			C W	Sandison	SP1 3AZ
2723		Mrs	A	Isted	SP1 3AF
2724		Mr	R	Rees-Long	
2725		Ms	J	Guske	SP3 6DW
2726	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		R	Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2728	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		R	Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2729	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		R	Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2730		Mr and Mrs		Carlile	SP52 SX
2731			B	Palmer	SP1 3GY
2732			M	Clayton	SP1 3WA
2734		Mr	E A	Carter	SP5 2SE
2735			P		
2736			BA	Robson	SP1 3A2
2737			N	Thelwall	SP1 3AZ
2738			D	Young	
2739			S	East	SP1 3AJ
2740			D	Richards	SP1 3AY
2741			A	Mayne	SP1 3AY
2742			J	Davies	SP1 3AY
2743			V	Mills	SP1 3A2

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2744			A	Gristwood	BA12 6HH
2745				W	SP2 8HY
2746			G M	Lille	BA12 6JA
2747			RJ	Jenkins	SP5 2SZ
2748			I		
2749		Miss	S	Barry	SP2 8HY
2750			D	Sims	BN13 3NX
2751			A	Puxley	SP4 7XU
2752				Hunter Smart	SP4 6DX
2754	Kilmington Parish Council	Mrs	S	Curtis	BA12 7HT
2755			C	Cox	
2756	Pegasus Planning Group on behalf on Lutea Trustee		S	Hamilton-Foyn	
2760	Pegasus Planning Group on behalf of Persimmon Home		M	Fox	
2761			D	Pawson	SP2 8DX
2762		Mrs	JM	Elliott	SP5 2QL
2763			P	Trenell	
2764			M	Brocklehurst	
2765	Pegasus Group on behalf of Mr M Fry		S	Hamilton-Foyn	
2766	Downton Parish Council	Mrs	B	Cornish	SP5 3PS
2767		Mr	R	Hughes	SP1 3WD
2768			A	Lipton	SP1 2SX
2769			K	Langhorne	BA12 6JZ
2770		Mr	D	Jacobs	SP1 3GS
2771			J and D	Butler	
2772	Porton Bioscience and Technology Centre Ltd				SP4 0JQ
2773	Highways Agency	Mrs	J	Ashman	BS1 6HA
2774			W	Mezzullo	

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2775			W	Horne	
2776			C	Maycock	SP3 6DJ
2777	National Grid		L	Morris	CV34 6DA
2778			M N	Gaay	BA12 6NQ
2780	Washbourne Greenwood Development Planning		R	Greenwood	SP1 1EY
2781			M	La Femina	SP2 0JJ
2782		Miss	G	Peters	SP1 3WS
2783		Mrs	L	Brown	SP4 7YH
2784			V and T	Weeks	BA12 6NQ
2785				Barnes	SP2 8JT
2786		Ms	D	Colwell	
2787	Landford Parish Council				
2788	Pegasus Planning Group				
2789	Pegasus Planning Group				
2790	Pegasus Planning Group				
2793	Pegasus Planning Group				
2794	Montagagu Evans				
2795			B J	Roberts	SP2 SPX
2796		Mrs	J	Faulkner	SP3 5RS
2798			S	Edmunds	
2799		Mr and Mrs	M K S A	Hilton	SP1 3GW
2800	Terence O'Rourke			Miller	BH7 7DU
2801	Laverstock and Clarendon Park Ward		I	Mclennan	
2802		Mrs	J	Wallace	SP3 6DJ
2803			A	Preston	SP5 2QL
2804			T	Barter	SP3 5BQ
2805			R	Mander	SP1 3A4

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 3 – CORRESPONDENTS ORDERED BY UNIQUE ID NUMBER

The ID column, cross-referenced with the tables in Appendices 4 to 9 will identify individual Correspondent's answers and comments on consultation questions.

2806			R D	Morris	SP5 2AA
2807			P	Sturt	
2808			H	Totz	
2809			R	Carpenter	BA12 6DA
2810	White Young Green on behalf of Sainsbury's		S	Metcalf	BS1 5BN
2811	On behalf of SWSA Board		A	Crampton	
2812			M	Mouncer	
2813			J A	Ferraro	
2814			K	Richards	
2815			T	Deane	
2816		Mrs	S	Marshall	SP1 3A2
2817			A	Watson	SP1 3AL
2818			C	Thrippleton	
2819			A	Watson	SO31 9JT
2820			J	Williams	SP1 3AF
2821			N	Lyones	BA12 6JU
2822			D	Watson	SP1 3AZ
2823			H	Munro	SP1 3AY
2824			CW	Rolfe	SP2 0BJ
2825	City Area Committee	Cllr	M	Osment	
2844			R E	Beswick	SP2 8EA











# SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

## APPENDIX 4 – RESPONSES TO CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

The number in the left hand column is the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

(O = Offices; RD = Research and Development; LI =Light Industry; GI = General Industry)

1399	Y	Y	Y	Y			X	X	X					X	X			X	X	X									X	X	
1436	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					X	X			X						X	
1444																															
1452	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X
1457	Y	Y	Y	Y	X						X				X					X				X	X					X	
1509																															
1527			N	N							X	X	X		X	X	X	X					X	X	X						
1548	N	N	N	Y																											
1551																															
1561	Y	Y			X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X						X	X	X	X			X	X	
1584		N	Y																												
1598					X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
1599	Y	Y	N																												
1624																															
1624	Y																														
1626	N																														
1629	N	N	N	N																											
1652		Y	Y	N		X	X	X		X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X
1653																															
1656																															
1659	Y	Y	Y	Y		X	X			X				X			X	X				X	X			X	X		X	X	
1664																															
1666																															
1669	Y	Y	Y	Y			X	X	X	X				X	X								X	X							
1670		N	N	N																											
1678																															
1686	N	N	N	Y																											
1705																															
1719	N	Y	Y	N				X			X			X	X							X				X			X	X	
1729																															
1735																															
1739	Y	Y	Y																												
1743																															
1745																															
1746	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X			X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X		X	X	X	X	
1749	Y	Y	Y	Y	X						X	X		X					X			X		X		X				X	





# SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

## APPENDIX 4 – RESPONSES TO CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

The number in the left hand column is the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)  
(O = Offices; RD = Research and Development; LI =Light Industry; GI = General Industry)

2065	N	N	N				X				X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	
2066	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X																										
2067	Y	Y	Y		X	X			X				X				X	X						X						X			X
2068	Y	Y	Y	N	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X	X					
2069	N	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X	X	X				
2070																																	
2071			Y	N																													
2072	Y		N	N																													
2073	Y	Y	N	N					X	X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X		
2074	Y	Y	N	N					X	X	X							X	X														
2075	Y	Y	Y	Y				X	X	X							X		X				X	X	X		X			X		X	
2076	Y	Y	N	N	X	X			X	X				X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X			X	X		
2077																																	
2078	N	Y	N	N			X				X				X																		
2079																																	
2080																																	
2080	Y	Y	Y	Y																													
2081	Y	Y	Y				X		X		X		X	X	X		X	X						X	X	X						X	
2082	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X	X				
2083																																	
2084	Y	Y	N																														
2084	N	N	Y	Y																													
2085	Y	Y	Y	Y	X			X		X			X		X		X	X		X			X		X		X	X		X		X	
2086	Y		Y	Y	X		X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X		X	X	X		X	
2087	Y	Y	Y	Y			X		X	X				X	X		X	X	X	X			X	X	X								
2088	Y	Y	Y	Y			X	X			X	X			X	X	X							X	X					X	X	X	
2089	Y	Y	Y	Y	X		X	X						X	X									X	X							X	
2090	Y	N	N	Y			X				X	X				X							X	X			X						
2091																																	
2092																																	
2093	Y	Y	N	N																													
2094	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X				X			X	X	X		X						X	X	X		X			X		X	
2095	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X		X	X			X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X			X		X	X			X	X	X	
2096	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X	X		X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X					X		X	X			X	X	X	
2097	Y	Y	Y	Y	X	X							X																			X	
2098	Y	Y	N	Y			X	X					X			X	X	X					X	X		X	X			X			











































## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

10	All steps are relevant in the consideration of housing, economic, and social needs.
19	take out A (because B covers this) and J (no need t cater for this group)
27	Gypsies if they are really that move on regularly they need sites but not accommodation or they are not really gypsies!!
49	All proposals and developments which encroach on current green belt or strategic gap land.
73	510 new dwellings (300 for mere) & Western area is too many environmentally. The housing targets in the draft Regional Spatial Strategy are too ambitious and over optimistic.
82	Broad support.
97	Objection regarding 40% affordable housing and object to the definition.
207	a & b Bothe charged by changes in costs, demand and economic national changes. C, D, E and F agreed. But why G H I and J
214	no basis provided to support a future need for so much employment and housing development. Why would employers wish to come to this area?
226	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
279	however I have fundamental issues with the GOSW statistics on having growth requirements over the next 20 years.
288	Population growth needs are totally unsubstantiated . The focus on affordable housing is supply driven only and does not reflect reality.
325	if demand is not met, then demand will relocate. IT is not a need but desirable to build more houses. Local problems should be solved regardless,
425	Fully supported
434	There is a need to concentrate new housing adjacent to existing facilities, not building facilities to encourage new housing.
503	point j - why should people who choose to live as gypsies and travellers be given special consideration
550	I see no need to provide accommodation for gypsies and travellers, they contribute nothing to the legitimate economy otherwise, steps suggest are sensible
564	Gypsies and travellers, sites utilities education health etc, are very expensive per head so should be omitted until normal people have been accommodated
570	Already adequate provisions for travellers.
595	Step d) because the population does not need to increase by 14,000. Do not want to encourage people to come and live here.
621	the steps are not very well defined, I think you should be more positive about the city centre banking. Planners hate you but they are essential in maintaining shops and trade in the centre.
629	Particularly - How do we ensure growth does not undermine the very thing that make our area so attractive to begin with

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

660	Sustainability is not made by just adding more houses.
693	small settlements historic preservation - dereliction
722	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
751	Agree with need for affordable housing.
755	Need for housing in Mere and Western Area has not been properly identified. No local needs assessment.
771	Identified needs just do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
789	Disagree that identified needs are relevant to Mere or extent of requirements. Evidence is *** for 500 homes.
793	Concerned about Whiteparish. The concerns of our village have not been considered.
793	Disagree with the allocation of development to the Southern Area especially Whiteparish
827	(d) Whilst I agree with most of the steps I believe there is enormous uncertainty associated with the predicted population growth and so any plans should be drawn up with the ability to reflect the stated growth or significantly less growth.
850	I cannot answer these questions as they pre-suppose the need for more houses, jobs and assume that Salisbury needs to be more Vibrant. All these assumptions are questionable. What is the essential criteria to decide whether we should rely on tourism b
886	J - Travellers already have three sites, - dairy house, Oakfield and Lode Hill
937	New Town
937	Not convinced the identified needs are valid for Mere.
968	step f . The housing / mixed should be at. The move of Churchfields is not appropriate
971	Number of houses unreasonable.
1049	Not all of them
1058	The market will provide the affordable homes required. Nonaffordable executive homes are already plentiful. In fact developers cannot sell such homes. Salisbury District Council should develop affordable homes
1077	12,400 is unacceptably high. Evidence cannot be relied upon.
1127	Within Western area, the number of houses is to high.
1192	Do gypsies and travellers really want accommodation?
1260	Q1 and Q2 - It is all really vague, but I agree on the whole.
1311	Do gypsies and travellers really want accommodation?
1323	don't try to build homes you think people ought to want to live in, let builders build what the market wants
1364	Not clear from document what the suggested steps are But essential steps on establishing and funding the necessary infrastructure are

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

not covered - see comments at section 6 below
1368 Gypsies cost the tax payer. Why should society support them when they make no contributions?
1378 These all sound good on paper. We cannot afford most of what is on offer. Various issues cost little expensive ones should be abandoned. Flood - obvious stop allowing building on flood planes and stop concreting every bit of grass.
1527 I don not believe mixed development are what is needed or what people want. Housebuilders will not help sort out problems such as traffic.
1548 What identified needs? No proper analysis done
1584 All except b) which needs modifying.
1599 We support the delivery of new homes and jobs for the first five years in and around Salisbury and Amesbury We strongly support the intention to keep development in the villages modest in size and in keeping with its character
1629 Need affordable housing but that is it.
1652 A higher percentage of affordable housing is required
1670 A - Lack of affordable housing, due to wage rates and lack of personal; restraint d- Normal growth 10-12% Wilshire has excess of housing / jobs ratio e- Contradicted by case study 3 – 45000 net workers go outside Wiltshire. g- Why have existing busine
1678 Not relevant to the Mere and Western area.
1686 The only subject for Mere and district is more affordable homes.
1719 Reduce the number of affordable homes. You state that he average house price in south Wiltshire is £248,000 which on the face of it is expensive but this is only an average so it is very deceptive of you to use this in your argument for building more affo
1780 Eventually
1786 Stop development on open land. The process has no limit. One target merely leads to another and more people migrate to the area.
1801 All the justifications for the level of housing growth to be planned for are supported.
1824 Affordable houses need to be developed.
1875 Don't agree with allowing any landowners in Salisbury area to put forward potential sites. First identify area that have sufficient infrastructure, then mark out the are and invite landowners to put forward proposals,
1909 step (j) needs to be reconsidered - see overleaf. Provision for gypsies and travellers should not be made until such time as this group contributes to local income through the medium of taxation. And through payments for utilities - e.g. - power, water,
2030 The relationship between market and affordable homes should be dropped The tail should not wag the dog Covering the landscape with new homes to low cost housing seems perverse and artificially fuels development

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2031	You need to justify j
2034	Omit the urbanization of our villages
2035	But also consider other options such as housing cooperatives incentives to lease and financial assistance schemes
2036	Salisbury cannot grow unless it has better infrastructure That is the priority for investment Use more Brown Field sites
2041	Ensure adequate infrastructure put in a coherent way e.g. drainage water sewage gas and electric etc completes BEFORE building starts NOT piece meal
2042	Only if sufficient money is available to provide good buildings and modern infrastructure
2052	If the homes are given allocated to strategic workers This is not the case at the present time, see answer to Q2
2053	K, if key workers need houses why do they not have access to the affordable housing Are these separate schemes D, what is the make up of this growing population, births, incomes, 2nd homes E, surely you should be attracting a workforce that does not req
2055	it is useless building homes in small villages where there are no facilities to cope i.e., shops schools public transport, regular and convenient for work, school and shopping Barford st martin has no shops, PO, surgery and only small infant school
2060	some new housing will of course be required but I doubt if it needs to be on this scale (I find the question difficult to interpret)
2065	We should encourage families to stay together and live together Low cost housing should be reserved for people born in Wiltshire
2069	The market should provide only 25 percent not 40 affordable homes This is to provide a growth population of the houses needed for the open product
2071	This form is totally inadequate for responding to any NO answers, this form should be available online
2072	Less
2078	To many houses for space, council cannot control development now they would build what they like, their only goal is to make money
2084	it is not clear who identified these needs and they certainly do not apply to Mere and the Western Area
2089	However I would suggest the focus of housing falls on the large conurbations first (Amesbury cfields) with the longer outlook being reviewed regularly
2108	so long as smaller houses are actually build .In smaller villages in any form of modest growth will do little to provide new customers in a cost effective and sustainable way
2109	Salisbury does not need 14000 new houses.
2109	This problem has been overtaken by the financial markets. There are huge numbers of repossessed houses now standing empty.
2120	Development must be done in identifiable communities to encourage local produce - mot mass building with no clear identity and pride.
2130	Has the provision of key workers accommodation been successful in other areas? If so, we need to use this criteria and learn from

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

others.
2134 see overleaf
2134 They do not apply to the Western Area
2141 The steps are not identified. The vacated UKFL would make a good housing estate. building firms are drawing their horns.
2142 This relocation of the Churchfields to Harnham, although this overcomes the new issues, it simply moves a Brownfield sited onto Greenbelt - surely self defeating
2145 the priority should be given to affordable housing for young people unable to get on the property ladder. And the aim should be at least 40% of any housing development
2146 The introduction of more scientific and technical employment opportunities, this in turn will increase the wages into eh pay structure, and in turn this would reduce the proportion of low cost affordable housing needs
2151 I feel in addition to questions 1-5 It is very important to reach a balance where a site is to include offices and various forms of industry - soon very IMPORTANT
2153 Omit J, the need to provide for gypsies and travellers. They don't pay council tax and I bet they don't pay income tax.
2156 do not support building in Central car park
2162 No business to south of Netherhampton Road, Harnham is a residential corridor, and there is already very heavy traffic. Any extra would turn it into more gridlock.
2165 The identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western area.
2173 Step J - although individual rights must be considered, I have had many experiences of gypsies and travellers involving theft and anti social behaviour
2180 Salisbury Car part is too dense. The surplus MOD housing at the Wilton site will become available - add these into your figures
2193 This is a qualified YES. It is always difficult to get an accurate infrastructure about what is required as can be seen by eh mistakes I put with Affordable Prices. Homeless people need support with these affordable houses too, some people who can affor
2194 Leave out step J. I do not believe that the public school should have to pay for anyone wishing to maintain a lifestyle - they should pay for it themselves
2197 we don't need that many new houses
2201 Key workers should be assisted. Look at any home owned area and you can easy with out the social Housing
2207 NO to gypsies. NO to Netherhampton Road
2208 True gypsies don't need our help they are self sufficient

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2208	You didn't listen to the residents in Queen Alexandra Road when houses were built at Bishops Gate.
2219	Salisbury sometimes has a funny idea as to improving junctions, i.e. Devizes rd turning into Wilton (The Avenue) That was meant to be better, now accidents occur nearly every week!
2221	What about retail?
2231	But be careful providing accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers. We presume you mean a field to park in ? These people appear to be quite wealthy (new vans, trucks, caravans etc)
2234	No new housing in Mere. Key workers should be housed around Salisbury and Amesbury
2237	Travellers by their nature Travel, and so should not have permanent sites.
2243	I agree to all except (d) The growth in population must be slowed down to zero in 40 years. World population growth is the main cause of climate change.
2244	(K) Key workers are no way worse off than many other working people (more secure jobs as well) and should NOT be given preferential treatment.
2245	If these houses are really for key workers. At present it appears that affordable housing is given to single parents and to meet Social Services requirements.
2252	The homes of the elderly will become available for the young if new homes are built, preferably soon . Of the right kind.
2254	the issue is far to complex to be reduced to a simple Q.
2259	(j) is Oak tree Field fully utilised? Very few gypsies in this area travellers are notoriously reluctant to use delegated sites and will camp where they want regardless. Further sites could become white elephants
2260	Case study 1 - Why should 20 yr olds expect to own their own place ? Nobody took that attitude in the 60's and 70's. they/we had to save for years live at home, take in lodgers, start with flats & sexual control, teachers were not given priority over nurs
2262	Steps 1) This leads to over provision - see army examples at Tidworth 2) They are abusing Solstice Park rare non contributor.
2263	The policy should be one of encouraging gypsies and travellers to integrate with the community, not continue to freeload in the traditional way.
2273	Whilst on pages 6-8 you rightly identify needs - I am unclear as to what the suggested steps I should be commenting on
2277	Recent events have proved that the free market cannot be trusted to be either proactive or sympathetic to investing in essential infrastructure development - in parallel with building houses. The current weakness in the planning and regulatory system seem
2278	Re step C: We also need to encourage people to share homes in creative ways, for example a young person seeking accommodation living in the home of an older person who has room to spare and is seeking company and perhaps help around the house.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2300	Needs do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2302	Affordable housing should not be for SOCIAL
2317	d) Dispute growth in population ) who identified needs and on what basis? J) Not necessary
2322	Relocation and regeneration of Churchfields. Harnham does not have the capacity & the environmental impact will be disastrous.
2327	Provide no development is on land prone to flood or without adequate infra structure.
2329	There no identified needs for 300 houses in Mere. These are being imposed on Mere form the top down and Mere has not got the infrastructure to support so many additional people cars etc.
2331	All houses in Salisbury are more or less occupied. T hey must be affordable. More house brings more people in , they may not be the target population. Let market forces / developers decide.
2335	gypsies if they really are that move on regularly they need sites but not accommodation or they are not gypsies
2338	Why do we have to acknowledge the needs of travellers? They do not contribute to local society and pay no council taxes etc
2339	Agree with all suggested sites except using the market to create affordable housing. Developers must be given the flexibility to develop housing (within planned constraints) Affordable housing created by developers is financed by raisin the prices of the
2341	I am personally concerned about the scale of development proposed for industrial use in Harnham, given that the area allocated is predominantly green belt land which enhances the local environment.
2350	Why should Firsdowm miss out their quota. Other pretty villages have to take some growth so why not them.
2351	As affordable housing usually accommodates young people, i.e. families with children, locations must have roadways or highways for them to reach schools and shopping area. At present our roads and highways are totally inadequate and poorly maintained
2352	steps to provide enough affordable housing - yes. Steps to provide jobs to stop so many people commuting yes. Growth for Growths sake - no. Sites for gypsies / travellers - no they choose to opt out of society, why should the taxpayers fund that.
2353	Yes and No- if there is the continued closure of shops and businesses what new jobs are you talking about? No jobs No houses.
2355	Until you can improve local roads such that they can support existing usage, any more building ill be an environmental disaster.
2356	Regrettably the housing numbers game is driven by misguided government which is heavily biased. This leaves the council with a dilemma which I do appreciate. That said Para(1) gives me cause for concern - has the need been correctly and honestly identifi
2357	I now little about gypsies , but do not understand why if they are traveller, they need houses. Are they on the registration roll in South wilts. A maximum number of new houses should be set now any pressure to exceed this should be resisted.
2358	The only effective way to create affordable homes is for supply to outstrip demand. Without improvement to the roads you will compound our traffic problems. You have a classic example of failed policy on the A36 approach to Salisbury. Say no to further de

# SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

## APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2364	I am sure that you are correct, but you do not reveal the science behind your projections for housing need and population growth.
2365	Unable to answer the question as the suggested steps are not clearly identified. However, housing need surveys to address one issue are more about peoples aspirations than their needs and often produce erroneous results.
2367	Developments must be supported by affordable integrated & sustainable transport systems. Current public transport systems are too fragmented and prohibited.
2370	The arguments you make for needing more housing are only a partial credible and you have provided very little real evidence to support them. Some of the proposals create new demands on transport, social structures education and the environment which you h
2371	a major ***t bearing in mind the current employment structure of the county. You have been overtaken by events
2377	Modest growth in villages - Alderbury only 50 houses agree The site for accommodation for gypsies is a concern.
2381	However the contributions from the major developers ahs been paltry( peanuts) bearing in mind their massive profits . My approval is conditional on significant improvements in future.
2382	Less affordable homes.
2382	There is no need to amend point (b) the need to use the Market to provide more affordable homes It is strongly contended that: 1. The proposed minimum of 40% of affordable homes is set too high and will act as a deterrent for housing numbers to come fo
2383	There is no need to amend point (b) the need to use the Market to provide more affordable homes It is strongly contended that: 1. The proposed minimum of 40% of affordable homes is set too high and will act as a deterrent for housing numbers to come fo
2383	To high proportion of affordable houses.
2384	Affordable housing percentage is set too high.
2384	There is no need to amend point (b) the need to use the Market to provide more affordable homes It is strongly contended that: 1. The proposed minimum of 40% of affordable homes is set too high and will act as a deterrent for housing numbers to come fo
2385	Proportion of affordable houses should be set lower.
2385	There is no need to amend point (b) the need to use the Market to provide more affordable homes It is strongly contended that: 1. The proposed minimum of 40% of affordable homes is set too high and will act as a deterrent for housing numbers to come fo
2386	There is no need to amend point (b) the need to use the Market to provide more affordable homes It is strongly contended that: 1. The proposed minimum of 40% of affordable homes is set too high and will act as a deterrent for housing numbers to come fo
2386	Too high percentage of affordable housing

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2387	There is no need to amend point (b) the need to use the Market to provide more affordable homes It is strongly contended that: 1. The proposed minimum of 40% of affordable homes is set too high and will act as a deterrent for housing numbers to come fo
2387	Too high percentage of affordable housing
2392	affordable housing is a myth North Dorset are already selling some of their stock. How can you build quality affordable homes . Most are cheap and nasty with limited life span.
2399	while you need to build more affordable houses. There need to be built new suitable residential houses at suitable sites and provide buses.
2403	mere and western area, do not believe they apply
2404	Mere especially does not need such a huge increase
2408	The identified needs do not apply to Mere
2410	The identified needs do not apply o Mere and Western area. There has not been a local needs assessment.
2411	There is no need for many more houses in Mere and Western area.
2412	There isn't the infrastructure to support the needs
2415	All districts cannot sustain all these houses.
2416	Far too many houses for this area.
2417	I believe the so called identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2418	Gypsies and travellers - priority should be given to the more deserving affordable homes residents.
2421	It bears no relation to local needs. Where is the infrastructure to support it? Where will be centres of employment ? What about carbon footprint?
2423	The identified needs do not relate to Mere and the Western area. The proposed increase in housing is totally out of proportion to the needs (see SDC needs assessment 2006)
2424	The identified needs just do not apply to Mere and Western area
2425	The identified need - what are these? Who identified them in and around Mere? The needs you identified have nothing to do with this area.
2426	In the case of Meer and Western area certainly no
2427	n/a to Mere and Western area
2428	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and the Western area.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2429	no further housing other than shared ownership / social for local needs
2431	The number of houses (510) is far more than needed in the Mere and Western area
2432	SDC's own assessment in 2006 suggested a need for no more than 211 houses in the whole area. We should stick with this assessment. The area simply does not have the infrastructure to support more.
2433	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western area
2434	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and the Western area.
2435	There are no identified needs in this area (West Knoyle)
2436	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and the Western area.
2437	Needs for extra housing – smaller villages will be ruined - as Mere is already becoming – areas must be identified properly.
2439	You have yet to explain to me what The identifiable needs - are
2440	I do not believe the - needs- have been properly identified
2442	Salisbury District Council should be more robust in dealing with gypsies and travellers who break the law and who take no account of local residents.
2443	There has been no proper local needs assessment carried out in the Mere area at all since 2006. The SDC 2006 assessment said that just 211 houses were needed in the Mere and Western area.
2445	No assessment has been made of the need for this number of additional houses here in Mere. Why do we need them ? We are not far from shops, offices and work places here.
2446	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and the surrounding villages
2447	Identified needs not proved
2451	(d) How do you know the population will have grown by 14,00? You cant keep making the area bigger and bigger just in case do need. (l) Related to above comment and very vague.
2451	(d) How do you know the population will have grown by 14000? You cant keep making the area bigger and bigger just in case do need. (l) Related to above comment and very vague.
2452	You cant just assign a number of new houses to an area without knowing where they will go.
2456	Firstly I would like to say that I appreciate being given the opportunity to comment on the proposals. We moved to Mere around 8 years ago so we do appreciate the communities ebb and flow and welcome new residents. We also appreciate that the C J Fry de
2457	Of course all the steps a-k have merit other than the confusing suggestion in suggested step j that providing accommodation will allow Gypsies and Travellers to maintain their lifestyle. The very fact is that permanent sites and accommodation actually br

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2458	© Smaller households - 1 or 2 person. We should not go out of our way to cater for this desire (it is not our need) nor should it be a major factor in planning - it is a luxury that most of these individuals and our environment cannot afford.
2459	Who and ** indentified the need- What evidence is there and if you have the evidence lets see it
2461	I believe that 40% of new build is far too high and would render the remainder of the housing unattractive to builders. To keep a vibrant city centre the 40ftheight must be kept; access to the city must be made easier into New Southampton, Rd should be d
2462	I dentification needs do not apply to Mere and Western area.
2463	These identified needs do not apply to our specific area. A more local specific assessment should be produced and actioned.
2464	The identifies needs are not those identified by the local community.
2465	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western area.
2465	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western.
2467	Identified needs simply do not apply to Mere and Western area. Another closer look needs to be taken.
2468	Supported
2470	We do not feel the needs apply.
2470	We do not fell the needs apply.
2472	I'm in favour of building affordable housing only. The 500 or so is not appropriate for local needs.
2474	The needs have not been correctly identified. Affordable housing is needed , the imposition of other housing has not been correctly assessed. Local infrastructures such as schools, medical facilities, roads and transport systems should be examined.
2475	Identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western area incl. Maiden Bradley,.
2476	Enough affordable housing for local people.
2477	Identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western area.
2479	Do not apply to Mere and Western area.
2484	We have no key work in the village
2485	We cannot believe that the identified needs apply to the Mere and Western area.
2489	The identified needs do not apply to Mere and the Western Area.
2490	The identified needs just do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2491	The identified needs are totally inappropriate for Mere and the Western Area.
2492	Don't feel that the local needs have been fully explored. Those solutions seem generic rather than specific.
2493	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2494	Mere and Western will not cope with development of this size.
2495	Identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western Area, incl. Maiden Bradley.
2496	I don't believe that the needs apply to Mere and the surrounding areas.
2498	Whilst affordable housing is needed for local people there is no point in building them unless there is work close by.
2501	Stop and save money.
2502	None of the suggestions apply to Mere.
2503	Not convinced that you have identified the need for Mere housing in this area.
2504	The identified needs just do not apply to Mere and the Western Area.
2505	We don't think this is relevant to Mere.
2506	There are no identified needs.
2507	Document should take into account whose needs we are talking about and look at local needs.
2508	Recognise how society operates and use this to makes plans.
2509	No comment
2514	Identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2516	Doesn't apply to Mere.
2521	Not applicable to Mere.
2522	Mixed use development
2530	Doesn't apply to Mere and Western Area.
2531	What local needs? No local needs assessment has been undertaken.
2533	Key workers would not want to work in Dorset and live in Mere with no hospitals or such. The jobs are all in Salisbury.
2534	All the opinions in the booklet are your own.
2535	DNA
2537	This does not apply to this area.
2542	Don't apply to Mere and Western area.
2545	The needs don't apply to Mere and Western area.
2546	No need for so many houses locally
2547	Needs are not applicable for Mere and Western area.
2551	Identified needs bear no relevance to Mere and Western area.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2552	Document should take into consideration whose needs its talking about.
2553	Don't build hundreds of more houses just build some more affordable ones.
2554	Identified needs do not apply to the Mere and Western Area.
2556	Needs are a fantasy and do not apply to Mere and Surroundings.
2557	Generally welcome the proposals.
2558	No development in Mere.
2559	Support needs for affordable housing for young people.
2560	Housing alone is not adequate
2562	However step h needs to be clarified.
2563	There should be more affordable houses.
2569	Inappropriate for Mere and Western Area.
2573	Needs are not properly identified.
2575	Identified needs bear no relation to my area (Mere and Western area.)
2577	The identified needs need to be listed and proved
2579	Mere and Western Area needs are not properly identified.
2580	Not if they don't apply.
2581	Don't apply to Mere and Western
2593	The number of new housing is changing all the time die to the current economic climate, therefore it needs to be continuously reassessed.
2594	Needs do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2596	Needs not properly identified, who needs those development?
2599	Affordable houses are a big issue. Maybe the council should free up some of the empty houses which are reducing the housing stock and making prices rise.
2602	Housing is a local matter and should be determined by demand within a community not imposed by a district/ county council on which any local community has very little representation.
2603	Not appropriate for Mere with so few local employment opportunities.
2604	Not appropriate for this area.
2605	Doesn't meet Mere's needs.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2606	Not the identified needs for Mere
2607	Needs not Mere and Western
2609	Completely unnecessary.
2610	The needs do not apply just to Mere
2611	The identified needs are not relevant.
2612	Doesn't apply to Mere and Western.
2612	Not relevant to Mere
2613	This does not meet the needs of Mere
2614	Needs stated are unrealistic
2615	Other areas have greater need.
2619	Listen to local people
2620	211 additional homes are unwanted
2621	RSS flawed
2622	Not applicable
2623	Are figures quoted correct?
2627	RSS house numbers should be reviewed and decreased
2628	Houses should follow jobs, not the other way around.
2629	If development is to be sustainable, local services and infrastructure is essential.
2630	Would like to see b) and k) revised.
2631	Agree with suggested steps
2632	Accept need for core strategy plan, but job opportunities need to be provided.
2635	Jobs need to be made before houses are built.
2638	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2640	Both housing and jobs should be the same.
2641	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2642	Need to spread out developments.
2643	There are issues with the definition of affordable housing.
2644	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2645	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2647	No need for the housing proposed.
2649	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2650	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2651	Cannot be sustained.
2652	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2654	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2655	Developers are making too much money. Weekenders don't use local facilities. People buying are not working here. Not always wisely chosen.
2662	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2665	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2666	Affordable houses are needed.
2667	This is not local needs
2668	No need for housing
2669	Not appropriate for Mere and Western
2670	Don't apply to Mere and Western area.
2671	Not appropriate for Mere.
2675	40% affordable housing is not high enough.
2677	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2681	Housing is excessive
2684	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2686	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2687	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2689	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2690	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2691	No increased development needed in Mere.
2692	Identified needs do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2693	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 5 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 1

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2694	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2695	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2699	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2700	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2701	Villages could be spoilt.
2702	Leave out dispersed growth/development. Build houses near jobs and reduce carbon foot print.
2704	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area.
2705	Do not apply to Mere and Western Area
2707	Whose needs????
2756	Supported in principle. Affordable homes are needed, and the need to retain an adequate work force.
2765	40%to be affordable homes is higher than was originally planned.
2766	The impact of d) doesn't seem to be considered. Need to consider impact of increase in population on infrastructure.
2769	Do not apply to Mere.
2773	Generally yea.
2788	Yes in principle but concerned over how many affordable houses there are going to be
2788	Yes in principle, but concerned over number of affordable housing.
2789	Need to retain adequate workforce
2790	Supported in principle
2793	Concerns over how many affordable houses there will be.
2794	Appreciate need for affordable housing but think the document needs to be revised. Should also benefit the community.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

19	do not build on central car part
23	However some settlements other than Salisbury and Amesbury will need to be built up to ensure that facilities there now remain viable
27	Argue with the government about how much housing is really needed w have all hears recently how many flats are being built in smart city areas and no one wants them - who says we need more houses the government is finally suggesting limiting immigration
49	Dispersed growth should only be allowed on non-green field sites.
66	Beware Flood Plains
73	Housing growth should focus on Salisbury (Churchfields) and Amesbury (e.g. Solstice Park)
82	Believe that in the next year you will have to reconsider because of the economic downturn.
82	Don't want to ruin Salisbury. The plans will probably have to change in this economic downturn.
99	other areas not just Amesbury
207	but why Old Sarum and Churchfields. I object to central car park developments anyway
214	growth should be directed to specific areas where environmental & access requirements can be available. Movements of potential employees need to be considered with existing patterns.
226	Housing should be where employment is.
279	generally yes, although believe that figures are significantly over valued.
288	focus only on Salisbury and Amesbury
301	As well as the bulk of housing being as you suggest, you should also include a very few (one -three) house per village & hamlet particularly small homes to keep all villages and hamlets viable.
325	new satellite towns / villages, with greenbelt between them and existing towns/ villages. Why are you filling in the spaces and making it one huge congested place.
342	Subject of course to local Parish Plan etc
342	Subject to the local parish plan
418	Don't like the term shared when discussing dispersed growth.0
425	I am not convinced that growth should be shared out throughout the existing settlements.
434	For South Wiltshire to survive there needs to be NO new building in the small villages that give that part of the county its character.
564	New housing should be built close to where new jobs are being generated g. Solstice Park and Churchfields

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

570	Development should be concentrated in existing areas where there is adequate infrastructure and employment.
602	are these areas suitable? Too often land is not used by owner is offered for development. Avoid spread but use existing derelict areas
621	Yes strongly support
629	yes- particularly with a view to protecting and the particular character of our existing villages /settlements
707	Current sites seem appropriate, but what are the plans for the reductions in car parks?
722	Bears no relation to local needs.
751	Agree with the scenario and focus on Salisbury.
755	Key factor is employment. Makes sense to build where there is employment.
763	this is inappropriate. Instead appropriate levels of growth should be planned throughout the district and in particular for the locals service centres including Mere throughout the lifespan of the plan.
771	No relation to local needs.
789	Focus of employment is on Salisbury area while there is a need for more affordable housing - not to the extent of 500 houses. More work needed to clarify local needs.
793	Has not been suitably defined in the consultation.
793	Not been suitably defined.
850	I cannot answer these questions as they pre-suppose the need for more houses, jobs and assume that Salisbury needs to be more Vibrant. All these assumptions are questionable. What is the essential criteria to decide whether we should rely on tourism b
937	Growth, if required, should be closer to employment.
937	New Town
968	the central car park is not suitable for housing - Churchfields is now at overcapacity more activity will mean more parking not less
1030	Seriously flawed.
1058	8625 dispersed houses is far to many , there is insufficient employment currently or foreseeably available. Put the houses where the work is. Another 4000 houses should be in the first 5 years growth in Salisbury and Porton.
1077	Sensible and logical to liaise with local councils about how many more dwellings they can accommodate.
1110	Majority of houses should be focused on sites around Salisbury and Amesbury for first 5 years.
1178	Generally agreed however housing around Porton Down would appear sensible if the science park is to develop
1192	However the first 5 years should be of modest size.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1205	Initial growth should be around Salisbury. However Amesbury and Sailsbury cannot absorb all new housing.
1260	Q1 and Q2 - It is all really vague, but I agree on the whole.
1311	However should be modest growth in the first 5 years.
1323	tell the government to put their new houses somewhere else, there is no room here
1364	We don not believe that you are now following a dispersed growth approach because you are concentrating growth to the north of the city - the earlier widespread approach was preferable - see comets 3-5 at section 6 below
1378	The size of each village must be taken into consideration. To put up huge quantities of houses every thing you say you wish to protect.
1527	Only partly.
1548	the roads, employment prospects are not in place and never will be.
1584	Allow suitable windfall sites that not around Salisbury. A flexible policy to be adopted which responds to housing needs and demand.
1599	We agree that more time is needed for this village of Whiteparish to discuss the sensitive issue of new housing sites
1629	Shortage of jobs, not houses.
1670	it's a shortage of jobs not housing (see case 3)
1686	Why should disposed growth be the driver. This just puts pressure on country resources, adds traffic an polluting and creates additional social problems e.g. bored youth. We should continue to expand urban areas.
1719	Q2 Yes in part Be sensitive to potential development in our villages and towns and ensure that their character, and community spirit are not lost by being overwhelmed by urban sprawl.
1780	But more dispersed over longer period
1786	See Q1 above.
1801	As well as sharing the growth approach, Blanfield supports the approach of identifying housing and employment sites for strategic development to meet the needs in the first five years of the plan without need for further policy documents.
1857	Too much housing.
2031	But you need to show how you arrived at the figure of 14000
2036	No as described but yes if you develop a new site at the Pheasant to serve a growing Porton
2041	Priority to Brown Field sites Green Field as a last resort
2052	Extensive mixing of private housing and affordable homes does not work if the latter accommodation is given to single mums and

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	social services
2055	Build above facilities first, then leisure facilities, then employment prospects and homes
2062	Following careful discussion and aware of impact on the environment
2065	You need to make sure that you do not overload the services and infrastructure in any communities There are many areas in the UK with empty houses
2071	It would be crazy to use the central car pk for housing It would make parking in Salisbury even more difficult
2072	Its not dispersed its groups
2084	Housing growth should be close to the area of employment (e.g. Churchfields, Salisbury)
2086	There is no point building homes in villages where there is no shop or local school etc, Particularly affordable homes, people demand these facilities as essential and do not like being cut off from everything a town has to offer
2089	Although I am concerned with the projected housing requirements stated for the village areas
2109	Plans do not reflect current economic situation.
2109	this is not LOCAL. It is mass immigration of whole countries
2119	Preferably growth should be focused from within, and dispersed outwards
2126	Looking forward , as we need places to work, hobs for youth as they are our future.
2130	More emphasis should be put on building additional dwellings in the villages first. To note then the ease and sustainability to those communities. However, also acknowledge that housing has been to be provided in Salisbury and Amesbury. A balanced approa
2132	An additional measure - see overleaf
2134	see overleaf
2134	What are the local needs for such a quantity of houses. Limited growth for locals Yes . for a government inspired / ordered influx No
2136	Instead of a large distribution site at Solstice Park, why not a mixed use site - Its big enough
2138	with in filling
2141	The steps are not identified. The vacated UKFL would make a good housing estate. building firms are drawing their horns. I especially think Wilton - growth should not be assumed, If the 14000 extra people have nowhere to live, they will move somewhere ch
2146	additional housing would not only be on sites around Salisbury and Amesbury but IN Salisbury, the opportunity to build houses on the site of the Old Manor hospital site should be taken without delay. As another matter, any residential flats need to cate
2148	The road network is at breaking point, there should be more small developments in more rural area's to feel the road network more effectively to avoid even more traffic jams

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2151	I feel in addition to questions 1-5 It is very important to reach a balance where a site is to include offices and various forms of industry - soon very IMPORTANT
2155	Obvious village infill sites should also be used
2156	do not support building in Central car park
2158	Except the Central Car Park. Central Car Park is Full - over subscribed daily. It is obviously essential for the peoples needs. This suggestion is too long, too detailed, it took an effort to get around to reading it.
2159	Development should not only be on large sites but for individual houses on the edges of villages including outside the village envelope. Villages have grown organically over many centuries and this should be allowed to continue rather than spoiling their
2162	If houses have to be built they should be to the north and east of Salisbury, the schools in Harnham are already over subscribed
2165	I disagree with dispersed growth approach and think that the housing growth should be near the allocated employment land.
2172	Any development of Churchfields must take into account better parking and traffic needs
2180	infill good
2193	unsure - it all sounds great but proactively these things an usually skewed towards what developers want is not always what communities need.
2197	don't believe in growth
2203	Not suitable for villages.
2208	Given the lack of employment in Salisbury building more homes seems illogical. If you don't work how can mortgages be paid? Rates in Salisbury are extremely high would we have to subsidise the affordable housing?
2219	To develop and build on top of Fugglestone Road would be crazy the roads are too busy now, to extend the estate by whatever plans you would do would turn this estate into a death trap, especially for children and pets. The wildlife would suffer terribly,
2221	Infrastructure is essential! Retail to support growth.
2233	Spread new houses throughout the area- not only Salisbury and Amesbury.
2234	Most houses around the larger towns Salisbury and Amesbury
2252	Running all through the document is the lack of good infrastructure especially decent roads and local facilities near all these homes. In the past much of it has been on the cheap and no longer fits the situation.
2254	Provided infrastructure issues are addressed before development.
2260	Its too easy to overcrowd , overdevelop and spoil our towns making them all the same , just because there are initially services there. Leafy villages become desirable places of escape for wealthy middle aged. Village schools need children to stop them cl

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2262	There is no infrastructure in Amesbury until the coop monopoly is broken.
2273	Whilst I agree generally with the dispersed approach and agree that Archers Gate is ideal, I am concerned that some 1215 homes (albeit over the next 20 years) are to be shared amongst my local villages. If these areas are to remain villages, I find it d
2277	It has been proved over the last 10 years that although in theory this is a good idea, this type of development has not lived up to the promises given by planners and politicians in the past.
2278	Plus more small developments NOW in medium sized villages to support the local infrastructure - village shop, post office and other community facilities. In relation to the planned development at Hampton Park we need: Less than 500 homes, to respect the c
2300	Bears no relation to local needs.
2302	Too early o start dispersal growth on Archers Gate
2316	I think that building 200 hoses on the Central Car park is far too many. We need the car park.
2317	As long as attached to existing large developments – no new estates of 200+
2320	Not dispersed enough . Salisbury and district is getting like any other large city.
2328	For housing I would have proposed a new village because it would require new infrastructure rather than putting extra pressure on existing.
2329	There are no local needs at present as Mere's own industrial estate is not full and houses should be built where there is employment I.e. Solstice Park or Churchfields in Salisbury
2331	Again you are forcing growth which may not be required. Recent influx in immigration may well be in reverse. Freeing up a lot of capacity.
2335	Argue with the government about how much housing is really needed we have all heard recently how many flats are being built in smart city areas and no one wants them - who says we need more houses the government is finally suggesting limiting immigration
2339	Housing development should be shared throughout ALL existing settlements. The statement that Salisbury have the best range or services is true - but the better counter argument is suggested by case Study. 2. Build sensibly in villages at reasonable prices
2341	I fell that each deployment should be considered carefully and on its own merits. Developing on green belt should always be the absolute last resort and should be discouraged in Salisbury which is a rural community.
2350	Just because Firsdown shouted the loudest they got to have no houses.
2351	As long as the countryside and old villages are not despoiled by infill and are too large developments. Newly built homes must have the means for young families to reach schools, shops, rail and but terminals.
2352	yes- but not at the expense of existing homes/ environments/ communities. Must be sympathetic y=to existing not imposed on top.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2353	yes and no - we need more social housing but don't make ghettos. Be careful where you put them.
2355	Build another new town utilising (preferably ) MOD land on Salisbury plain
2356	para(b) has presumably appeared because of the uproar from the village communities at the last core strategy document. Why should they be given more time because they made more noise. The down selection straight to the limited areas now proposes is not de
2357	The centre of Amesbury is over crowded already., more houses should be built adjacent to Archers gate and solstice park p- but will need more car parks in Amesbury centre, otherwise the shops in Amesbury centre will lose customers and eventually fold.
2358	Chose one location to the west, say either side of the avenue between the A36 / A30 & A360 one for the South say between the A354 and Odstock. The new business area proposed are then within walking distance.
2366	Much more use should be made of developing space above retail premises in the city. A living population in the city centre at night would police the area and cut crime rate & disturbances. Journeys by car to shops would be cut as these people will live in
2370	yes, but the expansion of selected villages should be brought forward to prevent further loss of services in them. Concentrating on Salisbury and Amesbury will attract more services to these areas which will undermine the ability of these villages to be c
2371	concentrate on designated ***
2377	Totally agree with new houses focused on sites around Salisbury centre. Slightly concerned to lose city centre parking. Bishopdown Farm Fugglestone Red good sites.
2381	but what happens after the 5 year period when decisions are no longer local and we , the public, have little say or no control in the decisions?
2381	however I am most concerned that all the allocations after 5 years are being passed on to remote and unaccountable bodies thus distorting democratic process.
2382	Further development in the main villages in the first 5 years
2382	Whilst the concentration of development around Salisbury and Amesbury is accepted, there is also a need within the immediate 5-year period to facilitate, more than incremental growth, at the Main Villages where development outside the existing housing pol
2383	More development in other areas in 5 years.
2383	Whilst the concentration of development around Salisbury and Amesbury is accepted, there is also a need within the immediate 5-year period to facilitate, more than incremental growth, at the Main Villages where development outside the existing housing pol
2384	More development to other areas in the first 5 years
2384	Whilst the concentration of development around Salisbury and Amesbury is accepted, there is also a need within the immediate 5-year period to facilitate, more than incremental growth, at the Main Villages where development outside the existing housing pol

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2385	More growth is needed in the main villages within the first 5 years.
2385	Whilst the concentration of development around Salisbury and Amesbury is accepted, there is also a need within the immediate 5-year period to facilitate, more than incremental growth, at the Main Villages where development outside the existing housing pol
2386	Needs more development in other areas in the first 5 years.
2386	Whilst the concentration of development around Salisbury and Amesbury is accepted, there is also a need within the immediate 5-year period to facilitate, more than incremental growth, at the Main Villages where development outside the existing housing pol
2387	More development in other areas in the first 5 years
2387	Whilst the concentration of development around Salisbury and Amesbury is accepted, there is also a need within the immediate 5-year period to facilitate, more than incremental growth, at the Main Villages where development outside the existing housing pol
2391	Some area may not be able to take a lot o housing for lack of infrastructure and unlikely to get.
2392	Priority must be given to brown filed sites before green belt.
2400	Amesbury & Salisbury where there is employment
2403	ha s no relation to local needs. Suggest housing growth should be considered close to Solstice park
2404	why not a new town adjacent to Solstice Business Park -plenty of room there
2407	Growth should be only in areas which would support it at Churchfields as an example
2408	I disagree with the dispersal growth, Mere is too large now.
2410	Housing growth should be near to employment I.e. Solstice Park & Churchfields, otherwise travel costs and pollution increase.
2411	Again, there is no need for a great number of new houses in the area . Where would the owners be employed?
2412	Develop a new town in and around Solstice Park - for example
2414	Growth should be near already allocated employment land
2415	Dispersed yes but not so many.
2416	We want to reduce commuter traffic: build nearer industry / commerce if really needed.
2417	The dispersed growth does not apply to Mere's needs.
2418	New housing should be located near the major sites for new jobs like Solstice Park and Churchfields.
2420	Solstice Park and Salisbury Churchfields - where there is employment
2421	Small country roads do not lend themselves to he increases of traffic not least at peak times.
2423	The dispersed growth approach as described on page 10 conflicts the disclosed aim of building 510 units in Mere Western Area.
2424	The dispersed growth approach bears no relation to housing growth , should be close to allocate employment land at Solstice Park

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Amesbury, and the Churchfields site Salisbury on traffic environmental grounds.
2425	Put the housing close to the work, and infrastructure already existing. This would make not more ecological and economical sense.
2426	Why pollute the countryside with housing and more fuel emissions when Mere is no employment around the Mere area. It should be aimed t existing industrial / commercial site and not in some isolated country area with no infrastructure.
2427	Development should be at Solstice Park and Churchfields in Salisbury
2428	Any housing growth should be close to the allocated employment land at Solstice Park Amesbury and in and around Churchfields site in Salisbury on traffic and environmental grounds.
2429	any further housing should be in proximity to allocated major employment sites such as Amesbury and Churchfields on traffic and environmental grounds.
2431	The dispersed growth totals do not relate to the needs in the Mere and Western area.
2432	Development in and around larger conurbations where employment readily exists nearby and the infrastructure to support the influx is more extensive.
2433	Dispersed growth bears no relation to local needs. Housing should be near the allocated employment land
2434	This approach bears no relation to local needs. Housing growth should be close to the allocated employment land at Solstice Park Amesbury and Churchfields site at Salisbury
2435	Again it bears no relation to local need AT ALL
2436	Is not related to local needs, should be available near allocate employment areas
2437	Housing should be built where there is already means of employment, cost of petrol alone is a factor in this.
2439	You are splattering houses willy-nilly over green countryside. Your approach should be to position new houses near existing business parks.
2440	Any increase in the number of houses should be made within easy commuting distance of established business - such as Churchfields
2441	Agree with approach in general, but think that some developments should be on new sites.
2441	Disagree with concentrating on Salisbury and Amesbury in 1st 5 years - conditions can change drastically and could result with dense areas unused - better to spread over whole area from start
2442	Provide not development around unique historic sites (such as Old Sarum) is handled sensitively
2443	It bear no relation to Mere's local needs. Housing growth needs to be near5 established employment or employment development sites like Solstice Park. Your plans will force people to be dependant on commuting along an already over crowded and dangerous se

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2445	Very limited number of houses to supply local need. Especially for Mere families who wish to remain in Mere when they have partners / and or children ( affordable housing)
2446	I do not agree with the disperse growth as it bears no relation to local needs of Mere and surrounding area, it should be close to Solstice Park.
2447	New houses should be built where people work
2451	O.K. for burst 5 years.
2451	O.K. for burst of 5 years.
2452	Just don't build as many houses.
2454	Where is employment and services for all the extra dwellings?
2456	I've marked this as a no although I partially agree with it. I can envisage the need for the new housing being focussed on Salisbury and Amesbury, with a proximity of employers in the area and the Solstice Park.
2457	In the absence of a New Settlement proposal which would have had merit Dispersed Growth sounds fine. Indeed it would be fine if the number of houses placed within any small community were to be restricted to a 5% expansion over the life of the Plan. But
2459	Housing should be near industrial sites to reduce travel to work. Develop sites such as Solstice business park and build housing near by.
2461	I however no matter what grand ideas we (you) have, without the infrastructure in place i.e.. Roads and the prosperous future of the area is real doubt. The area will seize up with people unable to get to work or shop.
2462	I disagree with dispersed growth approach - it bears no relation to local needs. Any housing growth should be near allocated employment and at Solstice Park, Amesbury and Churchfields site in Salisbury on traffic and environmental grounds.
2463	The further away from points of employment the bigger the carbon footprint the more traffic and the creation of dormitory towns.
2464	Build new communities where and only where, employment can be provided or already exists.
2465	No relation to local needs. Housing growth should be close to allocated employment land, on traffic and environmental grounds. Unutilised areas and brown sites much closer to employment land should be utilised.
2465	No relation to local needs. Housing growth should be close to allocated employment land; on traffic and environmental grounds. Unutilised areas and brown sites much closer to employment land should be utilised.
2467	Because it does not honestly address local needs. In view of Governments stand on carbon foot print surely the housing should be adjacent to the place of employment. That is how they did it in the past.
2468	I do not like the term shared - it should be based on more definable parameter - like up to 5%. I still don't believe that a new village

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	concept should be lost.
2470	We disagree with the dispersed growth approach it bears no relation to local needs. There is no employment in Mere. Houses should be built close to work places.
2470	We disagree with the dispersed growth approach, it bears no relevance to local needs. There is no employment in Mere and houses should be built close to work places.
2472	Dispersed growth is inappropriate in terms of employment, infrastructure and amenities. Much better to build where there is more work and established shops etc.
2473	The concentration of development in Salisbury and Amesbury is supported in principle. However development of non-strategic sites in Salisbury and Amesbury and developments in other settlements should not be delayed.
2474	Brownfields sites should be first used and then the smaller sites if there is demand for it. Development should be regulated by a forward looking council.
2475	It bears no relation to our local needs in Mere and Western area - Maiden Bradley.
2476	Yes but not enough infrastructure to cope.
2477	No. of housing should be located near employment and with proportionate infrastructure e.g.. Roads.
2478	No need for housing where there are no jobs, services, or transport facilities.
2479	Disagree with the approach, housing would be beneficial in areas of high employment.
2481	Agree with the strategy of focusing new housing around Salisbury and Amesbury at the beginning of the plan period
2484	Not on Greenfield sites
2485	This does not appear to bear any relation to the local needs of Mere and Zeals.
2487	Has already been enormous growth in Mere, Gillingham, Shaftesbury and other smaller areas. Anymore will spoil the character of the area.
2489	Housing should be further east, nearer the conservation land of Salisbury and Amesbury and near the Solstice Park where there is employment .
2490	It bears no relation to local needs. Housing should be kept to sites in Amesbury and Salisbury on environmental and traffic grounds.
2491	Fully utilising area such as Solstice Park. Amesbury and the Churchfields site in Salisbury
2492	Development of housing needs to take into account where work will be available - there is little employment in this area.
2493	Again doesn't apply to Mere and Western Area. Housing should be close to employment.
2494	Work places are required near towns such as Amesbury and Salisbury. Keeping CO2 emissions to minimal.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2495	It bears no relation to our local needs in Mere and Western Area - Maiden Bradley.
2496	Build at 1)Solstice Park, 2)Amesbury, 3)Salisbury brown sites.
2498	Housing growth should be close to employment opportunities
2501	No more building in Blackmore Vale.
2502	There is little work in this area for young people. Housing should be allocated where there is work.
2503	Because it does not relate to needs in rural communities. New homes should be built near towns with the established infrastructure.
2504	The dispersed growth approach bears no relationship to local needs. Housing should be near employment land like Solstice Business Park and Churchfields.
2505	Houses and employment should be as close together as possible on environmental grounds. Land at Solstice Park and Salisbury are more appropriate sites.
2506	An approach which is related to local needs should be adopted.
2507	Dispersed growth has no relation local needs.
2508	Expand existing towns and improve transport connections.
2509	No comment
2514	Use land at Solstice Park
2516	No relation to local needs
2520	Mere and Western District is not an appropriate area, whereas A=Salisbury and Amesbury are.
2521	Housing growth needs to be close to employment.
2522	Where will parking be if central car park is built on? Fugglestone Red would add too much traffic.
2530	Housing should be close to work.
2531	Put the housing near employment.
2533	See above
2535	Growth needs to be close to allocated employment land.
2537	As there is little employment industry in this area , housing would be better off elsewhere.
2538	Growth should be in Salisbury and Amesbury.
2539	No need for locals
2540	Destroy village communities
2542	Disagree, it bears not relation to the local needs.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2544	More housing should be in rural areas.
2545	Build houses close to employment.
2546	Houses should be built in an existing urban area with employment.
2547	Doesn't work.
2551	Because it bears no relevance to local needs. Housing should be close to Solstice Park.
2552	Bears no relation to local needs and housing should be near employment.
2553	Makes no sense. Build close to employment.
2554	Housing should be close to employment due to environmental reasons.
2556	Concentrate any housing requirements near employment.
2557	Concerned if the strategy were pursued across the district particularly in terms of the impact on the SRN.
2558	Development should be designated Brownfield sites only.
2559	Agree initial growth should be around Amesbury and Salisbury.
2560	Housing alone is not adequate
2562	Goes against one of the fundamental tenants of sustainable development; in other words higher densities and compact growth.
2563	Concentrating housing in Amesbury and Salisbury in the first 5 years is inappropriate and should be abandoned.
2567	Should not be restricted to Salisbury and Amesbury.
2568	Appropriate levels of growth should pursued across the whole district.
2569	All expansion should be confined to employment areas on the ground of environmental and traffic grounds.
2573	New housing should be built near employment.
2575	Housing to be as close as possible to places of employment.
2577	Development should only take place where there is adequate infrastructure.
2579	Bears no relation to local needs
2580	Where appropriate but in small numbers.
2581	Bears no relevance to actual needs.
2584	Inappropriate.
2585	Inappropriate
2594	Bears no relation to local needs especially in terms of local employment.
2598	Salisbury/Amesbury - Churchfields/Solstice Park

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2599	Not dispersed enough. Only concentrating housing in a few areas which already have traffic problems, and not enough houses etc is a bad idea.
2602	Dispersed growth is a spoil all policy - a new settlement would have been preferred.'
2603	Build close to large industrial sites so people travel less to work
2604	Makes no sense.
2605	Roads not able to take traffic already.
2606	Put housing near employment
2607	Housing should be developed where there is employment.
2609	Housing needs to be where unemployment is.
2610	It bears no relation to local needs
2611	Doesn't relate to local needs.
2612	Not relevant to Mere
2613	Not relevant to local needs
2614	Not applicable to the area.
2615	Mere would not be able to cope
2625	Yes, to prevent overpopulation in one area
2626	Ensure these lead to 'sustainable communities'
2628	Smaller developments should take place for affordable housing only.
2630	Agree with dispersed settlement but not in the way proposed. More though needs to be put into given small pockets of housing.
2631	Share of housing should be more spread out.
2638	Housing should be where employment is
2640	The current economic climate show that there cannot be precise predictions made.
2641	Bears no relation to local needs.
2644	Where will all the people work?
2645	Housing should be where employment is.
2647	Use existing sites.
2649	Bears no relation to local needs.
2650	Bears no relation to local needs.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2651	New houses should be near employment.
2652	Bears no relation to local needs.
2654	Housing needs to be near employment.
2655	New houses should be on major development sites, not old villages.
2662	Bears no relation to local needs.
2665	Housing need to built in existing areas.
2666	Concentrating houses in Amesbury and Salisbury for the first 5 years is inappropriate.
2667	Solstice park is currently underused.
2668	Solstice Park is underused.
2669	Housing should be close to employment
2671	Should be closer to railway access.
2675	More housing near Boscombe business park
2677	Bears no relation to local needs.
2681	No local employment.
2684	Cannot cope with this much growth.
2685	Little employment in Mere. Build houses where there is employment.
2686	Bears no relation to local needs.
2689	Bears no relation to local needs.
2690	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2691	None
2692	Any growth should be close to Solstice Park, Amesbury etc.
2693	Housing should be where employment is.
2695	Bears no relation to local needs.
2699	Create new towns if this is required.
2700	Central locations to avoid extra transport.
2701	Avoid transport lorries

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 6 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 2

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2702	Build houses near jobs.
2704	Housing should be where employment is.
2705	Bears no relation to local needs.
2707	I would refer to the whole scheme back from when it came in the light of our present economic state.
2756	There are serious implications in terms of meeting the housing trajectory as there will be an over reliance on strategic sites.
2765	More time needs to be given for consideration of sites and dialogue with the communities.
2769	Amesbury and Salisbury should be developed.
2773	Concerned about the distribution of the growth.
2787	Supports the strategy
2788	Yes generally, but concerned that development will only be in Salisbury and Amesbury in the first 5 years.
2788	Yes, but concerned that
2789	Mixture of strategic and non strategic sites is best to contribute to the employment requirements as well as providing a range of locations across the district.
2790	Should be a review of the larger settlements.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

10	Further allocation of major sites is not needed.
19	villages, some development at Lopcombe corner.
20	All sites except the central car pk are appropriate The car pk once built on is permanently lost as an open space relieving pressure in city, whether parking or recreation
37	I agree that all sites EXCEPT central car pk are appropriate In itself central car pk is appropriate BUT if houses are built there WHERE WILL CARS BE PARKED
49	NO sites should be developed which are currently designated as green-belt or strategic gap. Old Sarum doesn't infringe on any of these so could be developed much more.
66	Make good use of R2 money . Thinking of sport and the Governments wish for a healthy nation
73	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate than Mere and Western area.
82	Salt Lane and Brown Street car parks should be given over for housing.
82	Salt Lane Car Park and Brown Street car park should be given up for housing.
99	there is no the social infrastructure to sport building Amesbury, no doctors, dentists, no road infrastructure schools etc
202	PLUS, North of Paul's Dene towards beehive Harnham, southwards West towards Wilton Laverstock South It seems the majority of development is proposed NORTH of Salisbury
207	Harnham - Britford, Shrewton. (leave room for N Link and Harnham by-pass road - the By-pass Will be vital by 2015.
214	Continue using Churchfields for industry as close to station, improve access and move waste stain elsewhere displacing industry likely to persuade them to move elsewhere . Do not build over more open areas in Salisbury / Amesbury
226	Salisbury and Amesbury.
260	Most sites seem appropriate but alternative car parking must be provided centrally if the Central car park is redeveloped
288	Old Sarum and Fugglestone are not suitable nor needed nor is Hampton Park
291	All ok except for Salisbury Central Car park which is too important an area to the city's commercial & retail prosperity to be lost to housing even with mixed use. Re development of Wilton would be better supported by redevelopment of the town centre.
291	All ok except Salisbury Central Car Park, which is too important an area to the city commercial and social prosperity to lose to housing, even with mixed use. Redevelopment of Wiltshire could be better supported by a radical redevelopment of the town centre
301	Ok to Fugglestone Red, Amesbury, Old Sarum & Hampton Park. Only redevelop Churchfields if businesses are relocated close to Salisbury. NOT Central Car Park ( Don't move the library).
325	The central car park is used by lots. The greed to meet housing targets and remove this site for the majority is a disgusting attitude to

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	take.
402	Limit the building in the Southern Areas.
418	Identified sites may not accommodate business needs.
425	Not good in this economic climate.
434	But limited to development on these sites only and not towards South Wiltshire unless Downton is considered on its own - there are existing facilities there.
469	building on central car pk will kill theatre and arts because of the lack of evening public transport
559	With more hoses at the Old Sarum, one trusts that the airfield will NOT be demolished, at any time
602	Why use existing car park in Salisbury? Parking is already difficult and to suggest development would need more parking. Why develop.
621	On the whole -yes. Impact on the road system needs careful consideration, control traffic rather than widening roads
629	the development of Churchfields site for business/ office or residential use only. Relocating any industrial uses elsewhere asp. Churchfields and Centre Car park should be or appropriate housing within the housing allocation.
643	But concerned about the central car park because parking is already restricted.
660	Am in favour of most sites but would suggest that areas should be reconsidered with a view to how much people will need to get about.
707	Support most of the sites, but question idea of new Harnham business park.
755	Salisbury and Amesbury.
771	Salisbury and Amesbury.
789	Salisbury sites are more appropriate that plans for Mere. Housing required for Salsbury should not be imposed on Mere - insufficient employment in Mere to justify it.
809	Wilton road could be developed after substantial building which would enhance this approach to the city.
844	Generally agreed except for the proposal affecting the Central Car Park, which needs to be retained if the city centre businesses and tourism are to survive.
850	I cannot answer these questions as they pre-suppose the need for more houses, jobs and assume that Salisbury needs to be more Vibrant. All these assumptions are questionable. What is the essential criteria to decide whether we should rely on tourism b
886	Homes with gardens for families NOT 3 storey town houses
937	Mere has little to offer in terms of employment or services. Development of Churchfields and Solstice park would be more

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	economically viable.
937	No -we don't want to see employment land lost in order to build new houses.
964	More and more houses are already being built but not selling
968	access to infrastructure is through a busy build up, more housing both sides, 2 old people's homes, wilson farm, not Harnham n roads.
971	Housing in the Pheasant Hotel area should not be excluded.
1049	not to timing
1058	There is further brownfield site within the five year growth identified developments. The old hospital grounds are an option as the areas around the Park and ride locations around Salisbury.
1077	Agree with the suitability of most sits, but not with the number of 12,400.
1121	Agree also with likely longer growth required as set out on page 13/14. Not to be in excess of outlined provision
1127	More should be put in these sites and in fewer rural areas.
1162	Using the Central car park for development, raises a much needed parking facility particularly for those from rural communities. With transport links who rely on their vehicles heavily
1199	Broadly but NOT the central car park as access to city traders would be even more limited for out of town shoppers - expand Durrington
1205	Would like to see the addition of Brown Street and Salt Lane car parks allocated for housing or mixed-used development. Reduction in the car parks is the only way to encourage the use of park and ride facilities.
1260	yes, all except the central car Park - where are you going to put the cars that do come into the city - the leisure, market and the October fun fair vehicles.
1267	Agree with most of the proposals but feel the central car park should be left as parking is already restricted.
1287	80 percent YES 20 percent NO, central car pk should be retained as it is for in town parking for the many visitors tourists that come to the city
1323	That's the point, the whole place has recently expanded too much already
1364	yes- see comment 12 at section 6
1368	Not building on Central Car Park.
1378	Has the need for so much housing actually been identified ? Or is this an edict from government? So what even we locals say we will not be listened to

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1399	but see comments overleaf
1436	Small estates on
1527	Churchfields should not have houses built on it. Should not be any homes built on the central car park.
1548	has the land identified in 1990 been taken up? If no how is more needed.
1584	Smaller windfall sites across the district should be assessed on a site by site basis.
1599	Section e p12 raises the issue of economic liability and the provision of essential infrastructure It will not be easy to strike a balance in Whiteparish between the provision of new housing and overestimating the infrastructure especially roads public tr
1629	Cant afford to restrict parking.
1670	as a community that earns from tourism can we afford to restrict car parking? Strategy should be to increase income for Wiltshire, encouraging jobs before infrastructure would be self defeating
1686	Salisbury and Amesbury are far better suited. The infrastructure is already in place and there is plenty of under utilised employment land.
1719	There are probably many other brown field sites and development land in and around Salisbury in addition to those mentioned which could be developed for housing and employment use. Not being a resident, I cannot name them specifically.
1780	Amesbury has already expanded enormously.
1786	The needs are infinitely expandable
1792	SDC must engage with local communities. Necessary not overwhelm the existing villages, housing should be near employment.
1801	The greenfield locations have been justified, though initial constraints mapping and then landscape assessment, as those offering the least impact on identified factors. However this exercise ( unlike for the Churchfields and Central car park urban brownf
1824	Smaller sites should be developed.
1857	MOD.
1875	Old Sarum - no. Central Car Park - Barmy unless you want to deter visitors or shoppers completely from the centre of Salisbury MOD occupies vast tracts of land. Surely there are areas underused that can be developed.
1913	The main car park in Salisbury should not be developed. Adequate parking is vital to Salisbury. The station car park is inadequate.
2025	To the use of some of the central car park for housing Parking in Salisbury is difficult already
2026	Durrington MOD Winterslow Shrippl Lane Field Idmiston Porton
2027	Please see other comments

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2028	Churchfields not suitable
2032	Possibly
2035	The Archers Gate and Old Sarum developments are already happening I agree the central car park scheme only if alternative parking is provided
2036	Use Porton ask again don't call it Firsdow
2041	Has any account been taken of the vacant married quarters when land forces disappears
2048	If you put housing on Churchfields where are you going to move the existing industry and employment If you build on the Central Car Park where are all the workers shoppers going to park their cars If you do both of these we will all go to work and shop el
2051	Central car park should remain, unlike some retail expansion, other suggested housing (good schools), Britford and Odstock
2052	Brown Street, Salt Lane, Central car park and Churchfields for housing
2053	Central car park should stay a car park if you want people to use Salisbury The roads in and around Churchfields are appalling and not suitable for housing estates Archers Gate would end up being a separate town to Amesbury and kill off Amesbury town cen
2054	Harnham business Pk is NOT a suitable site It is too near the residential area of Harnham so the traffic problem would be insurmountable and effect the wide area in a very destructive way
2055	Odstock, plenty of room
2060	Harnham( see below)
2062	especially affordable housing at Churchfields would make it very easy for residents to work as the hose price is so central to the ability to walk.
2063	For the central car park site will there be a multi storey car park, if so how will it keep within the 40ft rule, by going underground
2065	Surely the whole of the UK and areas with empty homes and appropriate services and infrastructure
2068	Whiteparish, R Vale, Woodfalls and Winterslow are too small in size to take 290 dwellings, max 200
2073	If central car pk is built on where will people park when they come into town at night when there are no buses, park and ride closed Space for development near hospital and behind Harvard heights
2074	All except central car pk, even with a successful park and ride adequate parking close to the city centre is essential
2076	I don't approve of anymore housing around old Sarum, the local nature reserve behind the leisure centre up to old Sarum should stay as it is It provides a much needed Green Heart for the city centre
2078	Smaller sites more scattered around, or South wilts will be a copy of nth Dorset, Gillingham was a lovely place but has turned into a mess by bad planning and development greed

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2084	More houses in Mere & Western Area will overload services and roads and there is very little employment here.
2084	We need the central car park as parking is already very difficult in Salisbury and public transport is inadequate
2086	Exception being central car park used for housing, parking is difficult here very often for shoppers and many people working in the town use the entrance
2089	I would also suggest the high post area for potential housing requirement
2090	We must focus on re use of brownfield sites rather than distribute housing across the area) it might mean more houses in some areas and less in others) No alternatives, but a request demand that infrastructure must be in place to ensure new builds do not
2091	The old engine shed site, 11 hectares should be used for housing, we should relax planning laws a little re backland development for housing, encourage the building of granny annexes
2093	By doing away with central car park you will create a ghost town people will go elsewhere if they cannot drive into town and a lot of businesses in town will disappear, we need to keep as many small shops as possible
2098	Include mere, Wilton, Downton and Tisbury
2102	Why develop Salisbury car park, Salisbury needs this car park Park and ride is working but many people use residential areas to park, this should be stopped
2108	The central car park at present acts as the lungs of Salisbury - I hope you really cater for the work, tourism and leisure etc. The Park and ride alone is not enough
2109	New Zealand, Canada, Scotland have huge empty spaces
2111	Odstock Downs, Boswell Site, Ford, Porton
2112	the Land Church fields and Central Car Park should remain as it is. Some of these who work in the Churchfields are able to walk to work reducing the use of the car and we need a large car park in Town for the shoppers to help the local traders
2118	TRAFFIC
2119	Some site, such as Churchfields are suitable but we should protect our fields and green belt
2120	Opposite Salisbury District Hospital
2124	I agree with the sites suggested apart from the Central Car park should be retained. I suggest that the land from the HQ Land Forces, when vacated, could be used for housing. Also the Old Station Railway Engine Shed.
2125	NOT CHURCHFIELDS, NOT CENTRAL CAR PARK
2126	Fugglestone Red, Will Devizes support the increase of Traffic? This truck road is very busy with HGV's
2129	I agree with most, apart from eh Central Ca Park, Would prefer more retail space development to cope with footfall increase brought

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	about by increase in residential numbers
2130	More emphasis should be put on building additional dwellings in the villages first. To note then the ease and sustainability to those communities. However, also acknowledge that housing has been to be provided in Salisbury and Amesbury. A balanced approach
2134	Go to where employment can be found. This will never exist in the Western area. How about Amesbury area and the White Elephant of Solstice Park
2134	see overleaf
2135	All homes built should be energy efficient, with solar panels as standard. Attractive mixed developments along the lines of Poundbury and Dorset
2136	see above
2138	We so not yet know about the details of plans for Hindon
2141	Around Odstock Hospital which would reduce travel costs and pollution for people working in the hospital
2142	I strongly query rejection of more homes in Alderbury, as not only it is adjacent to excellent road links, but land between the village and the A36 would be ideal with minimal impact on the landscape.
2145	I agree with much of your plans and although I am reliant on Public Transport, its difficult to accept the idea of houses being on the central car park.
2146	additional housing would not only be on sites around Salisbury and Amesbury but IN Salisbury, the opportunity to build houses on the site of the Old Manor hospital site should be taken without delay. As another matter, any residential flats need to cater
2148	Churchfields, Amesbury will have too much traffic - these cannot cope now with other sites. The road network is at breaking point, there should be more small developments in more rural areas to feel the road network more effectively to avoid even more traffic
2149	Nadder Area - 110 houses envisaged in front of Tisbury, the majority in Hindon, this would almost double the size of this conservation village. 1 - Hindon has not the infrastructure, nor the existing houses all being connected to mains sewerage. 2) road
2150	Old Manor Hospital - ideal site for homeless hostel or affordable flats and bedsits
2151	I feel in addition to questions 1-5 It is very important to reach a balance where a site is to include offices and various forms of industry - soon very IMPORTANT
2152	Infill housing between Odstock (SDH) and Britford Road with housing - close Park and Ride and hospital facilities
2153	Keep Central Car Park or Salisbury will lack car parking , and this will seriously effect the centres trade and tourism
2155	there is plenty of Brown Land available without encroaching on the countryside
2156	do not support building in Central car park

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2157	Agree on the whole but suggest - 1 - UKLF UK Wilton as a housing area. 2 - develop a new business park east side of Southampton Road, extending south beyond B&Q et al
2158	Except the Central Car Park. Central Car Park is Full - over subscribed daily. It is obviously essential for the peoples needs. This suggestion is too long, too detailed, it took an effort to get around to reading it.
2161	I think Fugglestone Red could be used for hosing right through the fields until it reaches the UKFL, then use the impending empty UKFL site for industry from Churchfields. Excellent access to A36 and A303 and doesn't infringe or harm any other developmen
2162	solstice park, and High Post area near Boscombe Down
2165	Salisbury and Amesbury with the underutilised 160 acre Solstice Business Park is a far more appropriate option that the Mere and Western Area.
2167	If central car park is built over where you suggest, there will be no parking available. And the park and Ride is not appropriate for Heavy Shoppers
2172	Any development of Churchfields must take into account better parking and traffic needs
2173	All, except the central car park. It would be agreeable if there was ample parking in the centre of Salisbury, bus especially on market days - parking is limited as it is.
2174	except Old Sarum
2180	no
2182	and old hospital on Wilton Road
2183	needs greater flexibility to permit more housing around all existing settlements
2188	I am not aware of the reasons that much building is happening id Firsdow, Winterslow, Laverstock. I imagine that environmental concerns will be sited by local residents wherever you plan to build
2190	central car part is essential for access to the theatre and is the only place to park for the city centre access without driving into the city itself
2191	These are completely different sites to the original plans. It seems that various villages object violently to the plans, so you switch to softer targets
2193	If high density housing in the city especially then should be plenty. Out of town development should only be allowed after public transport place
2201	It does not matter where you build the houses, most people have cars anyway. It's the infrastructure you need to ensure is in place. Good roads and adequate shops

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2203	Should be closer to facilities.
2206	you will only remove the need for parking in Salisbury if you reduce to number of cars coming to the city. That is counter to your wish for more visitors as few will park on the city fringes.
2208	Are you mad? Building houses on the central car park is insane! Parking in Salisbury is hard enough as it is. Central car park & Churchfields for housing is out of the question given the proximity to the river and floodplains.
2210	Reluctantly
2211	Not Sure
2215	don't know - it seems to me we have to attract new things in
2219	use the land command old site for new houses the roads are able and already in place, keeping traffic flowing by sharing out the roads that used , not piling altogether and making a yet another bottleneck in Salisbury. Not Fugglestone Road phase 2
2221	Again infrastructure ! Jobs also important for Growth! Retail? Southampton Road?
2231	Don't agree with Central Car Park conversion to properties. It is often full on a Saturday. Improve the levels of the car park but don't build homes there.
2233	Firsdow seems an excellent place to expand. A new village core could be provided rather than this one long road.
2236	Except Central Car park in Salisbury
2237	With more homes car parking spaces will be at a premium so loss of central car park spaces is about as daft as it gets.
2252	Has the area at High Post west of A345 been considered for industrial development? that road could be developed and joined to A303
2253	No to Central Car Park being taken being taken over for housing.
2254	Again the question is too simplistic. I do not believe the description of the Bishopdown development is honest. The perimeter road is clearly a bypass road from its construction . Approval for ASDA would guarantee its development further.
2259	c - apart from Central Car Park. Salisbury city centre shops will suffer from lack of parking space. The fact remains that most people will NOT do any MAJOR shopping without their car.
2262	The premise that these houses are needed is false. Reduce immigration and the need disappears.
2263	There should be no more development around Old Sarum
2264	e careful not to put up too many houses in Churchfields Site - could cause traffic problems. No to Central Car Park
2265	It is difficult to see how the sites proposed to deliver new housing in the next 5 years will be able to deliver new housing within the proposed timescale, especially as many involve the development of greenfield site which will need to have services, new

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2266	Definitely no house on Central car Park. We need to retain as many car parks as possible as parking in Salisbury is notoriously bad. The old manor site ideal for housing. I know you want to put the new doctors surgery there but I am sure there plenty of r
2278	Plus more small developments NOW in medium sized villages to support the local infrastructure - village shop, post office and other community facilities.
2302	Not Archers Gate
2318	I don't see the necessity to build on Central Car Park - where will the cars go
2320	Churchfields. Should be moved North of Salisbury on existing site. Why the Central Car Park? This land for housing must be worth a fortune to someone, is this why?
2322	If the central car park is redeveloped where is the 750 cars that the city employment and Saturday needs going to go?
2329	Solstice Park is unfinished and much more appropriate and Mere.
2331	Fugglestone Red is a problem I am far from convinced we need to build houses in the first place, suggests that case is made before a site is suggested.
2332	Boscombe Down and archers gate are in danger of over-whelming the area, and Old Sarum needs very sympathetic handling not to ruin this historic site.
2339	I would also suggest Victoria Parkas an area of development. I know there would be dissenters due to its historical background. From my experience it is used as a short cut or cut through and misnamed as a park. Is it a protected site?
2341	As a county I think the right priority should be to regenerate deprived areas in the North, make greater use of derelict buildings and land to preserve the character of beautiful cities such as Salisbury and the countryside above all current plans are too
2349	NO these sites are not appropriate and the areas around Salisbury do not lend themselves (with the current infrastructure) to large-scale development. It should be common sense that the current road network will not cope with extensive new development. Th
2350	as long as you leave green areas with the allotments for families .we are trying to become healthy and walk.
2351	Salisbury and Amesbury already have an overload of traffic, new trunk roads & ring roads must be built before development as in USA or Australia. Yes if this is achieved.
2352	Plus UK Land Forces site at Wilton and around Porton down MOD site and only agree for any after roads improved including he by pass. Plus get Imber back.
2353	yes and no - what about the infrastructure electricity and water underground? There are problems now.
2355	no obvious alternative sites, but to take way essential car park spaces in the Central car Park borders on a criminal act. How is this going to encourage shoppers and tourists in to Salisbury.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2356	while the 5 sites proposed would appear to meet the numbers game dreamt up by the unelected SW regional Assembly, selecting these areas is undemocratic as above.
2357	More houses should be built first near Odstock hospital, the market square in Salisbury should be kept medicinal. No cars allowed on to the square except on market days for the sellers. No modern facilities and architects designed new buildings.
2364	You haven't mentioned the Imerys Chalk quarry, which I understand will close in 5 years - a good development site?
2365	these sites are appropriate If the need is there.
2367	All ok except for Central Car Park. What will happen to the proposed Sainsburys & car park re-development? We need car parking for after park and ride hours. Access to theatres cinema etc also high cost relocating Churchfields Business
2370	I do not agree with the emphasis on greenfield sites, not on the extended nature of the settlements envisaged. The Old Sarum development is not really a part of Salisbury as is indicated. The Archers Gate development (ugly and soulless already) merely spr
2373	No to Central Car park Housing - But no suggestion as to alternative 200 house site.
2375	Salisbury Business Park, London Road opposite BMW garage.
2381	I support strongly limiting Alderbury to 50 houses over the whole 20 yr period. This must include infill and windfall sites retrospectively.
2381	The 50 house restrictions for Alderbury must continue for the 20 year period (not just 5 )and should include infill and windfall sites.
2382	1. Firstly there needs to be clarity as to what is meant by, the first 5 years of the Core Strategy, - the Regional Guidance covers the period from 2006 (as reiterated in the Council's Preferred Options document), as such we are already 2 years in the fir
2382	First 5 core years to be clarified
2383	1. Firstly there needs to be clarity as to what is meant by, the first 5 years of the Core Strategy, - the Regional Guidance covers the period from 2006 (as reiterated in the Council's Preferred Options document), as such we are already 2 years in the fir
2383	First 5 years needs more clarity.
2384	1. Firstly there needs to be clarity as to what is meant by, the first 5 years of the Core Strategy, - the Regional Guidance covers the period from 2006 (as reiterated in the Council's Preferred Options document), as such we are already 2 years in the fir
2384	Clarity of the first 5 years is needed.
2385	1. Firstly there needs to be clarity as to what is meant by, the first 5 years of the Core Strategy, - the Regional Guidance covers the period from 2006 (as reiterated in the Council's Preferred Options document), as such we are already 2 years in the fir
2385	First 5 years of the Core growth needs to be clarified.
2386	1. Firstly there needs to be clarity as to what is meant by, the first 5 years of the Core Strategy, - the Regional Guidance covers the

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	period from 2006 (as reiterated in the Council's Preferred Options document), as such we are already 2 years in the fir
2386	First 5 years needs more clarity.
2387	1. Firstly there needs to be clarity as to what is meant by, the first 5 years of the Core Strategy, - the Regional Guidance covers the period from 2006 (as reiterated in the Council's Preferred Options document), as such we are already 2 years in the fir
2387	First 5 years needs more clarity.
2392	why not build on Solstice Park. The area has already been ruined. Infrastructure is in place. Take up of commercial / industrial units slow and somewhat haphazard.
2395	We think houses should be built on Netherhampton Road and not Churchfields. Which you either stay where it is or be dispersed to Solstice park
2397	we need to keep the central car park as a car park . Like it or not cars are not going away.
2399	Netherhampton road if used as another business park will make us suffer from noisy heavy traffic vibration and congestion at the junction of Netherhampton & Wilton road,
2401	Burton fields nursery at Mere 50-60 council houses could be built
2403	as above, more use relocating to solstice park
2404	Salisbury / Amesbury
2407	Mere and Western area are not suitable fro growth larger sites are Solstice Business Park
2408	There is very little work for young people in this area and transport is limited , also car parking
2410	Especially as a lot of land at Solstice Park appears unused.
2411	Solstice Business Park - which is a huge area , largely unfilled
2412	As Above
2414	There is already a huge site at Solstice Business Park that is virtually empty.
2416	I can see no reason why Mere is appropriate.
2417	Salisbury / Amesbury. What about all the unused space at Solstice Park
2418	Employment sites for development should be limited to Salisbury and Amesbury only.
2421	There is a vast area already at Amesbury. Salisbury has undeveloped areas. There are already new/empty industrial type buildings empty
2423	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more appropriate sites than Mere Western area.
2424	Salisbury & Amesbury are far more appropriate than Mere and Western area, especially with the un utilised 160 acre Solstice

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Business Park.
2425	No to the Central Car Park -this may continually effect the city centre economy, with less parking accessible to the city centre. Well, maybe some thought is needed. As to the other sites mentioned on page 11 they would probably make sense.
2426	In the case on mere no. Has local needs been considered, for example you people? Answer NO. as an alternative the un utilised Solstice Business Park may be the answer.
2427	Solstice park more appropriate than Mere Western area as it has an unutilised 160 empty.
2428	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more suitable than Mere and Western area, especially with un utilised 160 at Solstice Park
2429	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more suitable than Mere and the Western area
2431	Salisbury and Amesbury should be the main sites for development
2432	Salisbury and Amesbury
2433	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more appropriate than Mere and Western area, especially with un utilised 160 acre Solstice Business Park.
2434	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate than Mere and Western Area
2435	Salisbury, Amesbury especially Solstice Business Park
2436	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate than Mere and Western area
2437	There are too many houses to met local needs - and are too expensive so outsiders moved in - not local people
2438	Solstice Business Park
2439	see Q2
2440	There is plenty of space at solstice Park which should be used first.
2441	En croaching into green areas on entrance to city gives a very bad initial impression (Bourne Park) the same would result if the Harnham approach becomes industrial.
2441	High Post and other new sites.
2442	Do not agree wit new houses around Old Sarum,. This is such an historic site that any development near it has to be planned very carefully. It could not handle 300 new homes.
2443	Salisbury and Amesbury are far better situated than Mere and area. There is underutilised capacity for employment at Solstice Park. This should give you pause for thought - if you cant attract business there, why would any business locate 23 miles furth
2444	Cannot say yes or no without knowledge of any propose infrastructure developments to match popular growth.
2445	to access work, schools, leisure facilities Mere residents have to use cars or other forms of transport. Solstice Park, Churchfields site

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	in Salisbury provide employment . Place new housing near these employment sites.
2446	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more appropriate especially with the unutilised 160 acre Solstice Business Park
2447	Other towns I area like Gillingham / Trowbridge
2451	I disagree with building an central car park. You don't mention the coach station and the need for car parking for those going on outings y coach. Also, how much of Churchfields do you propose to move?
2451	I disagree with building on central car park. You don't mention coach station and the need for car parking for those going on outing by coach. Also, how much of Churchfields do you propose to move?
2454	Where are there any such sites and do you really need them?
2456	Yes, as this focuses on areas where the prospect of employment is high and they will have access to local facilities.
2457	It would not be unreasonable to propose that communities could be asked to have a 5% increase in housing stock and as a result no single village would then have to be sacrificed in the drive to achieve the target set. Indeed that level of growth over the
2458	300 houses around Old Sarum is out of keeping with this ancient monument and must not be allowed.
2459	If you build 300 houses in Mere you would have 300 extra cars travelling to work in Salisbury. Certainly not in Mere.
2461	Building homes at Churchfields and the Central Car Park will only make matters worse around the city as each home will have an average of 2 cars and people will have to get to work and children to school. Build these houses out in Tisbury to expand it in
2462	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more appropriate than Mere and Western area - especially the 160 Acre Solstice Business Park which is unrealistic.
2463	Have already been identified and partially utilised until such time as these are fully utilised no further areas schools be earmarked.
2464	Solstice Park, Churchfields, near existing good roads.
2465	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more appropriate than Western area, especially unutilised 160 acre solstice business park.
2465	Salisbury and Amesbury are far more appropriate than western areas. Especially unutilised 160 acre Solstice Business Park.
2467	Amesbury and the obvious Solstice business park.
2468	First no consideration is given to the economic turmoil their in neither do I believe that villages should be bulked out.
2470	Salisbury and
2470	Salisbury and Amesbury - where the work is or at least should be.
2472	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2473	If the priority is the delivery housing the first 5 years it makes not sense to only allocate the identified large sites. By their very nature large sites take a long time to start up and actually deliver houses. In addition the central car park and Ch

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2474	The sites should be decided by local governments not central government.
2475	Use the unutilised Solstice Business Park.
2476	Large and small employer have no interest in small areas.
2477	Places such as Solstice Park with spare capacity should be used.
2478	Mere and Western area are not appropriate
2479	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate than Mere and Western area.
2487	The area round Amesbury, Solstice Park is much more suitable for growth than further west far better road connections on the dual carriageway section on of the A303.
2488	Not Churchfields/ central car park.
2489	Sites near to Solstice Business Park would be most appropriate for building houses.
2490	Other areas are far more appropriate than Mere. Example - unutilised land at Solstice Business Park.
2492	This does not take into account the employment and infrastructure needs. Money has been invested in Solstice Park - why not build on that.
2493	Close to Churchfields site in Salisbury.
2494	Solstice Park can accommodate many opportunities.
2495	Use the unutilised Solstice Business Park.
2496	See question 2. These sites are much better suited for less environmental impact and the existing infrastructure can cope with increased numbers.
2501	Employment in service decline.
2502	Salisbury/Amesbury.
2503	The focus should be on Salisbury and Amesbury with under developed business parks, schools, transport etc.
2504	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate than Mere and Western area.
2505	As above.
2506	The Salisbury and Amesbury areas are better.
2507	Salisbury and Amesbury as they have more employment.
2508	As 2 above.
2509	No comment
2512	Yes generally with the exception of Old Sarum Phase 2 which will have significant and unacceptable impact of the setting.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Fugglestone Red could be increased with not too much impact upon the landscape if done carefully. Other sites that could be expanded
2513	Support allocation of Fugglestone Red phase 2. Concerned about how visible site in Old Sarum will be.
2514	Salisbury
2516	Salisbury and Amesbury are much more appropriate
2518	But gradually
2521	Salisbury and Amesbury
2522	because I'm not convinced by the proposed mixed development.
2530	Amesbury and Salisbury.
2531	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2533	See q.6
2534	Your plans for the Maltings will destroy Salisbury and its unique position.
2535	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2537	Salisbury and Amesbury
2538	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2539	Solstice Park.
2540	Where there is public transport
2542	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate.
2543	Amesbury
2545	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2546	as above
2547	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2549	Salisbury and Amesbury
2550	Towns/villages adjacent to Solstice Business Park.
2551	Solstice Park and Churchfields are much the best sites.
2552	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2553	Build near Solstice Park.
2554	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2556	Solstice Park, Salisbury and Amesbury.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2557	Welcome the indication in the consultation that any development would need development on the infrastructure.
2558	Salisbury and Amesbury
2559	Addition of Brown Street and Salt Lane car parks allocated for mixed development.
2559	Also like to Brown street and Salt Lane car park allocated for development.
2560	Housing alone is not adequate
2563	Proposals are not appropriate.
2566	Solstice business park would be more appropriate than Mere and Western Area.
2567	Consideration should be given to development policy B and C settlements including Ludwell.
2568	Land within/adjacent to the local service centres should be given consideration.
2569	should be to the East of Stonehenge
2573	Solstice Park and Churchfields
2575	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2577	Brownsites
2579	Salisbury and Amesbury
2580	Solstice/Amesbury
2581	Salisbury
2584	Land at Townsend.
2585	Land at Tuckingmill Highways Depot
2592	Also yes to proposed business park adjoining Livestock Market.
2593	Should be no less parking spaces in the central car park. This is needed.
2594	Should concentrate on unused site at Solstice Park.
2598	Salisbury/Amesbury
2603	Amesbury/Salisbury
2604	Issues need to be though through.
2605	Salisbury, Amesbury and Solstice Park
2606	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2607	Solstice Park
2609	Salisbury and Solstice Business Park.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2610	Salisbury and Amesbury
2611	.Salisbury and Amesbury.
2612	Salisbury and Amesbury are more appropriate than Mere/ Solstice Park is unutilised
2613	Amesbury and Salisbury
2615	Amesbury
2617	Houses should go adjacent to Harnham Business Park - not on car park (need spaces) or Churchfields (leave as industrial)
2622	Build the houses where there are jobs
2625	Not all of the sites are suitable - further development at Woodfalls/Morgans Vale would only encourage more car journeys
2627	More in these sites and less in rural areas
2628	Some developments still sound rather large.
2629	Through previous correspondence we have provided comments on all the sites.
2630	Problem is the scale of the developments
2632	Would prefer Harnham site for more residential development.
2633	Further development in the existing business parks should be done.
2634	In part - Fugglestone Red, Archer's Gate, Old Sarum and Bishopdown Farm seem appropriate.
2635	Gillingham
2638	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2641	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2642	Use up Solstice Park.
2644	Salisbury
2645	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2647	Fugglestone Red.
2649	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2650	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2651	Continue to development Amesbury.
2652	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2654	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2658	Salisbury and Amesbury.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2660	Central car park should remain.
2662	Solstice Business Park
2665	Churchfields, Solstice Park
2666	Proposed sites are not suitable.
2667	Mere and Zeals.
2668	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2669	Areas closer to Salisbury.
2671	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2674	Solstice Business Park
2675	Churchfields industrial estate.
2676	New growth needs to be matched by improved infrastructure.
2677	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2678	Salisbury and Amesbury
2681	Salisbury and Amesbury
2686	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2689	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2690	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2691	Suggest Amesbury and Salisbury
2692	As above
2693	Salisbury and Amesbury.
2694	Salisbury
2698	Churchfields and Central Car Park are not valid options.
2699	employment
2700	Keep sites around town.
2701	Compress sites around cities.
2702	Sites near Salisbury and Amesbury.
2704	Solstice Park.
2705	Salisbury and Amesbury.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 7 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 3

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2707	Don't build on green field sites
2756	Major sites in principle are supported. It is questionable as to whether they are adequate to meet housing needs in the first five years of the plan as development is focused on Amesbury and Salisbury.
2765	Major sites in principle are supported. They may not be adequate to meet the housing needs however.
2769	Amesbury and Salisbury should be developed.
2788	The sites may not meet the requirements.
2789	Consideration should be given to other sites off the Southampton Road
2790	Major sites are supported
2793	But sites not be adequate.
2794	Do not believe that the Central Car Park is an appropriate site.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

18	more needed - Southampton Road.....
19	we must have a by-pass
20	I am unsure about this
49	As mentioned Old Sarum could be considerably enlarged in a northerly direction without compromising green-belt or strategic gap land.
66	But do not allow any area to take a higher % of new homes than the total land i.e. the whole South West - available e.g. 20% to 8%
82	Old Sarum, Porton Down and Boscombe sown triangle are more suitable for employment development.
82	Too much emphasis on housing and not enough on employment.
99	no more development at old Sarum - no infrastructure
207	Yes but leave Churchfields alone and build on Brunel Link
209	a reassessment of employment prospects for the next five years is necessary
214	agree apart from Churchfields proposal and Netherhampton road
260	Infrastructure of roads into and around Tisbury must be improved before any new development in Tisbury Locations for housing have not been specified in either of these locations
268	Prefer not to answer Q4 or Q5 but please see comments on Q6
291	+ university of Clarendon Park
291	University on Clarendon Park
301	BUT need the bypass. Especially with Wilton and Harnham
325	Stop the infill! Select large new sites away from others, where a whole new community can be build
436	But how can I make a judgement?
550	Do not forget that agriculture is a big employer and turning over existing greenfield sites would be counter productive Do not destroy existing villages but create new ones
595	Harnham site is still very wrong.
621	Be more positive about supporting small scale employment - one way to tackle the traffic problems.
635	yes - but roads will have to be improved. Parking especially at the railway station needs a special assessment
693	Churchfields housing should be with industry so that people can walk to work . OL Sarum is a mistake plonked down in a space,. Housing should be dispersed on other villages and villages facilities independent.
763	Land adjacent to Dead Main Quarry Industrial Estate as identified by site reference 102 in the SDC SHLAA.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

771	Identified sites on p15 are appropriate.
789	Probably small local office sites for small businesses could be of benefit in each of major villages.
809	Development of the Harnham / Netherhampton site is particularly an issue due to the transport links through Harnham. Business development should be positioned close to good major transport routes.
850	I cannot answer these questions as they pre-suppose the need for more houses, jobs and assume that Salisbury needs to be more Vibrant. All these assumptions are questionable. What is the essential criteria to decide whether we should rely on tourism b
937	Already too many empty units in North Wiltshire
937	p
964	Not everyone wishes to live on top of their job
968	which come first housing or jobs?
971	Traffic implication for the proposed business park
1063	I don't see the need to develop Churchfields
1077	No one should guess the economy will be thriving in 20 years time.
1095	Salisbury should be main site.
1121	Not qualified to make informed judgement other than to be concerned about effect on any residents close by.
1178	agreed with the exception of some of the new strategic housing areas.
1204	Do not agree with proposal for large business park at Harnham, for reasons and suggestions for attractive sites.
1205	Strongly disagree with the proposal to locate a business park between Harnham business park and Livestock Market. The support for the re-location of Churchfields to the south of the city is misconceived. The main reasons for this disagreement is increas
1260	Q4 and Q 5 - overall I agree.
1287	90 percent YES 10 percent NO, no central car pk development
1357	The proposal to create a major new business park on the Netherhampton Road I believe I catastrophically flawed on the flowing grounds: 1. All of the proposed additional housing development is on the northern side of city. It is therefore perverse to crea
1364	because we think the question is better directed to potential employers. See comment 11 at section 6
1368	In principle agree, but for small businesses only when they are in or near residential areas.
1378	There seems to be far too much space given to these sights which offer little employment for vast areas ruined.
1652	The housing and employment requirements are circular and more employment requires more housing. Ina addition the sites will put more strain upon the infrastructure which is already under extreme strain.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1686	The employment sites identified on pg15 appear very suitable.
1719	Taking Mere and Western Area into consideration, there are vacant or underused premises in Mere, so why build more? Also we are within easy travelling distance to Gillingham (Dorset) and Wincanton (Somerset), which are both just over the border. The popu
1739	as I live in mere some 25 miles from Salisbury I do not feel able to honestly answer this question and Q5
1780	Solstice Park
1786	See Q3 above
1792	In the future some employment sites will be needed in the villages to reflect the demand from the new houses.
1801	They provide a range of locations and scales/types of development capitalise on specialist activities and offer the sustainable opportunities through a mix of uses, within the sites or the locality.
1875	MOD land that is presently underused - notably Porton Ranges
1913	I do not agree that Churchfields should be developed for housing.
2026	Lopcombe Corner UKLF Pheasant Hotel is ok Coombe Bissett Odstock
2027	Working with MOD Porton Down and Boscombe Down with a view to implement business sites within MOD land
2028	Development of Harnham Business is just transferring the Churchfields problem to another part of the city and ruining it
2032	Possibly
2034	more jobs more homes Why not concentrate on maintaining a balance
2036	Churchfields needs improvement Use UKLF Ideal parking houses offices
2041	Adequate transport access to Churchfields Industrial Estate for the large articulated vehicles
2042	No idea
2048	The development of Harnham business park will put further strain on the roads around the racecourse and through Wilton Extensive work will be required at the Salisbury ring rd
2051	Harnham not suitable for this type of development
2052	Solstice Pk for industrial use
2053	Roads are too bad for realistic business park on Netherhampton Rd Surely redeveloping those Brownfield sites that lie empty (eg garage on London Rd) should be first priority
2054	Nearer the new proposed housing areas in Salisbury I am not familiar with the geography of sites except for Harnham which I strongly oppose
2055	Odstock
2060	Harnham not appropriate for any industrial

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2060	the proposed business park at Harnham is unsuitable for industrial or even mixed use This is a residential area with limited road capacity
2062	current sites need to expand further they do not appear to be used to their full capacity i.e. empty premises on Harnham site.
2062	No space for more traffic, use space already available
2063	But, further development of Harnham will put impossible strain on the road which does not seem to offer any scope for increasing use also there already waste ground undeveloped, e.g. in front of Bookers, furthermore I understand there are industrial premi
2065	don't know ask the independent employers
2067	YES and NO
2068	More employment land should be built in Downton
2071	But how can anyone predict the number of new jobs No one I've spoken to believes there will anything like the numbers you are predicting
2072	To many people chasing to few jobs
2073	Trading site at Harnham unlikely to be attractive if no road improvements, no one seems to want to move in to site by Bookers
2074	Wilton, HQ and site, mixed development
2076	See Q3, all other sites are ok
2078	I don't think we will need these sites as British industry is in decline, fill these that are not filled yet (solstice pk)
2089	But as stated this needs to be regularly reviewed to avoid over capacity
2093	how many more businesses want to come to Salisbury as there are empty shops in town
2095	NOTE, my response to Q3 and Q4 is based on the assumption that the dept concerned has done its homework as far as quantity is concerned
2100	High Post and Southampton Rd
2109	No alternatives, Britain is full up.
2109	Salisbury has almost full employment
2119	PRIORITY should be to find inner city brownfield sites before destroying out green belt land
2122	Use the existing unused space in Harnham
2123	Harnham is unsuitable without a re-routing system for traffic that approaches via the outside city. Infrastructure is already vulnerable
2134	see overleaf
2136	Distribution centre highly automated, how about a mix of business and automation.. would bring more jobs
2138	We are unable to answer at this at the moment

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2141	the Solstice Park Idea was a hare brained idea, given its proximity to the Stonehenge, it should be knocked down. Why are there two columns for offices.
2142	Access to Fugglestone Red from the south would entail more traffic on Devizes Road, already over stretched and over used by fast HGV traffic
2144	could it be too many houses for what is required
2145	The majority of the sites are quite suitable, but there is one exception and that is Harnham. This is mainly a residential one, and the road is quite narrow for the volume of traffic at present
2146	additional housing would not only be on sites around Salisbury and Amesbury but IN Salisbury, the opportunity to build houses on the site of the Old Manor hospital site should be taken without delay. As another matter, any residential flats need to cater
2147	Pros - on small scale - Semley - Old Station area with the possible reopening of station
2148	EXCEPT CHURCHFIELDS
2151	I feel in addition to questions 1-5 It is very important to reach a balance where a site is to include offices and various forms of industry - soon very IMPORTANT
2152	Use all the Archers Gate for housing put economic activity at Solstice Park and extensions to London Road NAAFI site.
2155	Smaller, dispersed sites should be used to offer employment in villages
2156	think we should have a northern link Road, do not support building in Central car park
2161	for Wilton UKFL would suit more businesses which are in Churchfields, in particular the Car Sales Showroom, which gets fed by huge Transporters which would be easier from the A36 and not having to trundle through the city like they do at the moment
2162	Any sort of Industry would turn a beautiful market village city into a slum city like Basingstoke
2167	in the present economic climate is this plan appropriate to do. It seems a deal of money and time is being wasted on so many peripheral things
2172	Any development of Churchfields must take into account better parking and traffic needs
2174	except Old Sarum
2177	not sure what is being asked
2180	but there are currently many unsold industrial units in the surrounding areas
2182	and Old Hospital on Wilton Road
2183	more local employment opportunities should be allowed in addition to the identified sites
2188	where possible - brownfield sites should be used
2190	Old Sarum should not be developed (housing or industry) as it would effect the character of the ancient site

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2192	do not look for outlying villages such as Whiteparish to take anything other than infill. Focus on Salisbury area where the infrastructure currently exists
2193	If intelligent and phased development used or better use of small sites area used enough employment is possible. Greener travel plans are essential and public transport a priority. Employment close the house housing is always a good thing.
2201	you cannot just build business sites without first getting firms to come to the area. Salisbury is not on a main motorway or class A route, and road routes around town for large vehicles are dangerous.
2219	Churchfields redeveloped with direct roads to Harnham. Land command, leaving a gap of two large fields between itself and Fugglestone road so not having any link roads, and someone please make use of the Old manor road site Wilton Road
2221	Southampton Road?
2233	Churchfields should be retained as it is apart from recycling centre to another location . The cost of moving the businesses there is too great.
2240	UKLF Wilton should include a decent sized supermarket, housing, a swimming pool, NOT JUST A BUSINESS PARK
2252	But only if roads are improved
2259	But why is the current Harnham Business Park empty. This site should be fully occupied before expanding onto land between here and the livestock market.
2260	The marsh land near b&q on the Southampton approach road . There should be new infrastructure to take a road to the hospital & the land drained for a proper new development attractively laid out. Don't spoil Harnham with garages, old tyres, dumped cars as
2262	No need, look at poor take up at Solstice Park & Harnham Business Park
2273	Having visited or local Parish council meeting I was greatly concerned to learn of the delays in the planning process experienced by those wishing to occupy Solstice Park. If once we identify the areas for business/employment the planning processes should
2277	As the provision of planning facilities that PEOPLE need appear to be at the bottom of the list of things that planners and politicians want, the sites chosen will be the last places that people - who have a choice, will want to live.
2278	Yes IF the type of business is appropriate for each area - as per Q5.
2302	Amesbury does not have appropriate employment needs t present.
2306	A major new business park on land between the Harnham Business Park and the Livestock Market, to the south of Netherhampton Road.
	Traffic is already a problem along the Netherhampton Road, particularly at peak times and to my knowledge there have been
2316	Could the area around the High post be developed for housing light industry

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2318	We do not want a business park in Harnham, the roads cannot cope now with the volume of traffic.
2320	But build housing that has a garden and a driveway not like many of the properties going up, good but crammed in in to raise more money for the builders. Although the council controlled this.
2322	The environment impact of a business park between Harnham a the Laverstock market would be disastrous there is no supporting adequate road infrastructure.
2327	no only to Harnham Business Park as the road already cannot cope with the traffic on it. Please develop more business capacity at UKLF HQ Wilton
2328	Southampton Road
2331	again Fugglestone Red should not be mixed use. UKLF by all means use as a business park. Though the A360 is not up to heavy traffic. Solstice Park is empty - please use that first.
2332	But I do not think Solstice Park should be too big, it should be as attractive as possible. It has certainly done nothing for the look of the area - sofa its messy
2337	What is happening to the old hospital site on the Wilton road opposite the new Courthouse? Its an eyesore and should be converted or redeveloped asap for offices or affordable housing.
2339	Particularly agree wit proposed development of Churchfields
2341	look for redundant Brownfield sites such a s already in use e.g. the Booker site, Southampton Road, Old Sarum lay of our greenbelt
2349	Yes and no. I think it is a good idea to move Churchfields and using land in Harnham or up near Old Sarum airfield is an option.  I disagree that extensive business development is necessary in Salisbury. It would make more sense developing new busines
2350	people walk these areas at lunchtime to leave their work is healthy see wild lfe.
2352	Yes BUT only if roads infrastructure is improved - & move Southampton Road businesses to clear that bottleneck & make better use of the river - bring back the bypass
2353	yes and no - where are the jobs coming from? I was made redundant and out of work for 3 months in 2007. After along the found a job but it wasn't easy to stay in Salisbury.
2356	but the average resident has insufficient knowledge to answer this question
2357	Salisbury Central car Park is essential - more parking on an upper level would be an improvement (as we already have for Sainsburys store) Churchfields when developed should have car parking near the railway station and the existing railway car park rall
2364	It would be nice if you could bring employment to Wilton without extra traffic - HQLF site?

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2366	solstice park has not yet taken off properly despite advertising. To consider extending and developing it, at present is a waste of money. Fill up the existing space first. Credit crunch time is not auspicious for new development.
2367	But considerations need to be made to ensure 1) Adequate public transport / car parking. 2) access to efficient treatment (hospital the opposite side to all the developments)
2371	Crystal ball gazing
2390	If you waste millions of pounds making the market place look pretty and ban , parking there and in the Maltings you wil create a ghost town then because no body will travel to Salisbury, if they cannot park their car, the paving slabs in the market were a
2391	I said no because I cannot see site adjacent to A303 at Zeals being promoted
2393	Yes but great care must be taken to ensure that any development must be suitably served by any necessary changes in the road & local services infrastructure.
2395	Solstice Park - yes
2399	us the solstice park for the large heavy lorries on Churchfields so save money and leave Churchfields where it is.
2403	as identified on pg15
2415	Very little employment in Mere and District
2421	I have indicated the sites I know am not able to comment on the others as I do not know anything about them.
2424	We agree that he identified sites on p15 of the document are appropriate.
2426	I agree the identified sites are appropriate
2427	see response to Q3
2437	Sites must be where there is employment not in villages. Also the infrastructure must be able to take extra houses.
2439	see Q2
2441	There is already too much traffic around Harnham Business Park, many traffic and safety provisions would need to be made.
2442	Provided the development near Old Sarum is screened properly
2444	Appropriate site would be one served by good transport links and away from residential areas.
2446	The identified sites on pg 15 of the document are appropriate.
2451	Cut your coat according to you clothes. You cant keep expanding forever. You run the risk of ribbon development between Harnham and Wilton and chaos on the Harnham roundabout. See comment about Churchfields.
2451	Cut your coat according to your clothes. You cant keep expanding forever. You run the risk of ribbon development between Harnham and Wilton and chaos on the Harnham roundabout. See comment above about Churchfields.
2454	You should have lists of alternatives should you really need them.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2456	I don't know these areas so cant comment on them
2457	There are no clear and adequate proposals to accommodate and replace the existing business that currently occupy the Churchfields. It is hard to understand exactly what is being proposed for Churchfields without this knowledge. The assumption has to be
2458	Ref UKLF Wilton site - It would be much better to retain the 1,200 MOD jobs at this site by letting Pembroke's estate to accommodate the mod to expand its headquarters onto neighbouring farmland, thus avoiding the headquarters move to Andover.
2460	Q5 Comments: Archers Gate, Amesbury - Would like to see no more building here.
2461	As stated in the Q3 answer, expansion should be out west near Tisbury, with upgrading of the Rail Station and the town/village centre you could get a vibrant community. Q5 comments: Harnham Business Park - Any development
2462	I agree that the identified sites on p.15 of the document are appropriate.
2463	When an opportunity for development of employment arises by development of new business development - this should be addressed at the time on a local basis regarding its ** on the local environment.
2467	The sites identified on page 15 of your report will satisfy your needs.
2470	We agree that the site on P.15 of the doc. Are appropriate.
2474	Needs should not be assessed so far ahead, but instead progressively. The current economic climate will have some impact on market aspects. There is little scope for any meaningful employment.
2477	Identified on page 15 as appropriate.
2479	We agree that the identified needs on page 15 are appropriate.
2482	Not Harnham business park. At Alderbury near main dual carriageway.
2487	Agree sites shown on p.15 are right.
2490	I agree that the sites identified on p.15 are appropriate.
2491	Identified sited on page 15 of the document are appropriate.
2496	No comment
2503	Sites on page 15 are appropriate.
2504	It is agreed that the identified sites on page 15 of the document are appropriate.
2508	Build small offices with adequate parking.
2509	See Q6
2512	Yes generally with the exception of Harnham business park.
2513	Do not support a major new business park for visual and landscape reasons.
2514	Sits on page 15 inappropriate

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2517	The Harnham Business Park is empty - fill that before extending further.
2518	What employment needs?
2530	Sites identified on p.15 appropriate.
2533	See q.6
2534	Nothing you or I can suggest will change the economic climate.
2537	Only sites stated.
2540	No employment in Mere area.
2542	p. 15 sites are appropriate.
2544	High Post.
2554	Development of these sites appears to be reasonable.
2557	The Harnham business Park would need a traffic assessment.
2559	disagree with a business park between Harnham business park and the Livestock market.
2559	Strongly disagree with the new business park. There will be too much traffic. Alternative sites could be Old Sarum and Fugglestone Red.
2560	Build a comprehensive business model to address all aspects of the employment system and the sites should emerge from this.
2569	Fully agree they are appropriate
2577	In the present economic climate, what are employment needs?
2599	The current economic shows you need to rethink your plans.
2601	None of these are in reasonable travelling distance for Mere
2604	Housing and employment should be a co ordinated action.
2617	Harnham Business Park unsuitable as a major new business park - utilize housing
2625	Too much traffic on A3094 to make Harnham BP develop-ment viable
2629	If any of the developments fall onto the Flood Zones 2 and 3 it is only going to make the problem much worse.
2630	Don't keep extending into greenbelt.
2632	Churchfields for mixed development.
2634	Better alternative would be additional development at the existing Old Sarum and Harnham business parks.
2660	Road access needs to be improved before Harnham is suitable for development.
2675	Harnham already has a business park which is not full.
2676	May meet employment needs but at the end expense of transferring Churchfields problems to other areas of Salisbury

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 8 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 4

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2686	What employment?
2687	Churchfields, Salisbury and Solstice Park, Amesbury.
2692	As above
2699	Completely re-think what the employment needs are.
2701	Definitely not
2707	Strongly resent these suggestions.
2756	There should be reference to the opportunity of securing mixed use developments in settlements.
2765	The longer term needs, the precise location and nature of the sites will need to be subject to further consultations with communities.
2766	The suggestion on pg 16 of managed growth in Downton is not something that makes practical sense.
2773	Transport assessment needs to be made.
2793	Should redevelop Solstice Park first.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	I think that Salisbury District Council is totally incompetent. I am totally against increase of housing and I strongly object to paying anything towards it. On no account should the Market place be used for anything but as a market. Salisbury is suppose
10	Further consideration needed for future housing an employment needs and the sites.
10	Site at: Land adjacent to Wrights Farm, Dinton no 183. Write on behalf of our client who seeks to put forward this land for consideration as potential residential land. The site size is 1.66 hectares gross. Given the sites size and sustainable characte
19	1-Salisbury by-pass is essential. 2- Less traffic in the city centre, plus a one way system. 3-upgrade the market square - new canal for pedestrians, tourism, rood over George Mall, make it an internal shopping Arcade. 4- Encourage different 9smaller a
21	Comments on the revised Core Strategy: Q1. It is a high priority to provide affordable housing for key workers. However experience on the Archers Gate estate shows that if this process is not managed car
23	1 Churchfields lends itself to a hotel and conference complex with its fine river setting 2 Under Q5 I have changed the 2nd offices column to read retail by this I include car sales builders merchants hypermarkets etc I have ticked these in Harnham Chur
27	You have ignored most of the comments I made before in consultation so this is POINTLESS I am worried about planning allowing creeping modern development of our old beautiful tourist sought after villages like Ansty Swallowcliffe once lost or unfilled an
36	Dear Sir, Our Place in the future correctly emphasises the role played by the MOD, Boscombe Down, Porton Down and Salisbury Heath Trust in generating local employment. However these jobs are ultimately in the parsimony of Government, which is increasingl
36	Providing local jobs for local people is a sustainable solution to local employment. A thriving private sector is ultimately the most secure generator of employment. However concentrating employment within one area inevitable causes environmental proble
37	For consideration (to help combat the tendency for the ever increasing elderly to continue living in larger houses than they need) Many elderly people stay in their original home because they need the bedroom space for visitors from a distance- their gr
45	I have spent more than half a century of my life in this area and find it hard to believe that plans can be made to incorporate an area of this size, even when dividing in to 5 sub-areas, for the next 20years. I wonder how much consideration has been give
49	The revised Preferred Options document fails to make any reference to existing green belt or strategic gap land. Not only should this be clearly indentified , but it should also be clearly stated that all such land will be fully protected under these new
50	This is a response from the Cathedral itself. Salisbury is marked out by its gothic cathedral. The futures for the city and the cathedral are interconnected and should not be addressed independently.
50	We believe that the relationship between the city and Cathedral needs to be made more explicit in the way they will help future planning.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

64	There is probably no housing shortage in Nadder Valley. Tisbury and Nadder Valley do not have adequate infrastructure to cope with the developments. There is no piped gas and all heating is transported on the very narrow, minor roads which serve Tisbury
66	1. R2 money – need for someone specifically appointed to transmit government documents and recommendations to ensure full use of this money to IR2isure and sport. Healthy Nation is the Mantra. Suggestions and templates should be made available to parish c
73	PO51: 510 house for Mere and Western Area over 20 years is out of scale with the character and infrastructure of the area. PO53: Land allocated for employment at Mere and Solstice Park, Amesbury has not been taken up. Greenfield land at Mere should not
82	Need to consider: affordable housing, tourism, housing density, public transport, pollution and the natural environment.
82	Transportation needs to be addressed, as well as housing density, tourism, the natural environment, and pollution.
116	I do not agree with housing on the central car park. Parking should not be reduced as we rely on tourists who dislike (or are infirm) park and ride. Parking should be plentiful and of easy access or ewe will lose the tourist business. Housing in Alderbur
133	Ref local Development Framework – Core strategy I refer to section Nine of your Core strategy Document para9.37 Page 97 Salisbury & Wilton / Four Rivers Community Areas One of the specific issues facing the Salisbury, Wilton and Four Rivers Area, is
156	Think that these proposals fail to represent a genuinely long term strategy for the future of Salisbury, its people and economy. The res spatial annex indicates that Salisbury faces some significant challenges in achieving its full economic potential ove
175	I would not like to see central car park area entirely used for buildings either residential or retail Open areas either side of the river should be made into a more attractive feature
184	Whiteparish: the increase in housing is not sustainable. Not compatible with the character of the village . No regard been paid to the earlier Core Strategy Preferred Option document, where only modest growth was mentioned. There is poor public transpo
188	Our comments are directed at the unasked questions arising from the magazine. Concerned particularly about Whiteparish, Development needs to be less than proposed, and needs to be done so that the rural character of the village isn't ruined and that the
196	Very fluffy and unclear document. The housing allocation is too vague. The number of housing that areas can accommodate has been wrongly calculated. There is not mention of infrastructure improvements that will most certainly be needed. More services
201	For a so called Strategic plan, the content is Woeful. I am in no way persuading that you have properly thought through the full infrastructure implications of what you propose. In particular I would like to have greater visibility of your plans for tra
202	Q5, Hampton Pk, London Rd South of HP has already been developed to offices No more development around HP please, plus planning app for Salisbury retail pk already in place

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

207	We plan for developments in housing and industry etc, but no mention of the growth of traffic or proposals for coping along existing road routes. Without a new bypass roads congestion of extra service traffic and private cars will create serious environm
209	Government is being totally unrealistic to require councils to persist in a strategy, which was designed for a totally different world. It is ridiculous to continue (page3) to persist in stating that if local councils do not find sites then private devel
214	This area recognised as a traffic bottleneck since WW2 visitors to this area and to Cathedral continue to reduce in numbers. It is a difficult area to cross with few alternatives when main roads are restricted. Financing will be increasingly difficult an
220	A plea that the Core Strategy, when finished is absolutely transparent on the definition of what will constitute development that is to be counted towards the next 20 year allocation for the Nadder Valley area and the consequent average annual building ra
226	Only need affordable houses.
229	CAR PARKS, PARKING AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT although we need housing, we also need car parking in the centre of the city which is why I do not support the building 200 houses on the site of the central car pk There is a need for large parking during the day
243	For the whole (20 year) period, in regard to all development in the village of Alderbury, councillors should take into consideration the unanimous view of residents as expressed in the village plan, that the rural character of the village should be preser
260	Tisbury does not even have B roads serving it So road access must be resolved before any new developments takes place The same issue applies to Hindon and the B3089 Any developments in Tisbury or surrounding villages will have a major impact on the enviro
268	With ref to Q4 and Q5 we note on pg15 the proposal to develop a major new business park on land between Harnham Business Park and the Livestock Market. We note on p12 of the brochure that Ian Hudson is saying - Scattering Churchfields across the district
279	Relating to development at Mere. I understand the need for some development if it is properly planned and sympathetically designed. I feel that the figure quoted of 310 – 500 houses is greatly exaggerated – increasing the population by 10-18% I would f
288	The proposals to develop Churchfields for housing, the Central Car Park Salisbury, and UKF Wilton are eminently sensible as in Harnham for light industry. The proposal to maintain heritage (e.g. 40 yard rule) and protect existing environment and countrys
291	Salisbury needs a major university or a major branch of an existing university with a separate Salisbury campus. Without it Salisbury will not attract the sort of highly qualified and educated people who will be the users of artistic and leisure facilitie
291	Salisbury needs a university or a major branch of an existing university with a separate Salisbury campus. Without it Salisbury will not attract the sort of highly qualified educated people who will be the users of artistic and leisure facilities which wi

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

301	1) Do not move library from centre of Salisbury (near Market Place) 2) Do not allow any more supermarkets out of town centre ensure that there is at least on major supermarket in the centre of Salisbury. 3) People will not go up to the railway station -
325	Infrastructure into Salisbury is already at its limit during past times. More housing means more people, means more cars, means more congestion. Solve the infrastructure problem before building
329	I have repeatedly approached the planning to request that as my shop front is listed but with an overhanging flat Victorian pediment as to the feasibility i.e. will it be allowed o put photovoltaic strips on this overhang. No reply. As you are trumpeting
342	Our Place in the Future Q6 Comments: Southern Area: I believe that – and trust that – infill and windfall developments currently happening should/ will be retrospectively included in the figure of 50 (fifty) new homes which Alderbury may be able to
342	I strongly believe that the inclusion of renewable sources of energy in all development applications should be compulsory and not just offered as an optional extra This should be in addition to all, high quality thermal insulation
342	Thank you for the latest consultation document (our place in the future) . I have now received 3 copies - 2 this morning. I thought you should know. I live in Alderbury and I accept that over the next X number of years we will have to accommodate 50 new h
344	Mr G McKinley (Slievebane Ltd) c/o Mr Don Proctor, RPS Planning and Development Ltd. Willow Mere House, Compass Point Business Park, Stocks Bridge Way, St Ives, Huntingdon, Cambridgeshire, PE27 5JL. Tel: 01480 466335 Q1. Yes Q2. No. Whilst we unders
389	Our village plan emphasised that Alderbury has taken a disproportionate fraction of development that overburdens our roads, schools and parking, at the same time that the rural character of our village is being destroyed against the desire of our populat
399	I support the maximum of 50 new homes in village. Infill and windfall sites should form part of any retrospective assessment of numbers of new homes in Alderbury Ensure planners take note of the village plan and design statements and particularly the
418	Transport needs to considered.
421	Max of 50 houses in Alderbury in next 6-10 years.
425	The existing settlements will not cope with the proposed growth. I am not against development but it should be applied sensibly.
428	Your taking away central car park or half. Where are people going to park on Tues. and Saturday, especially in the summer you are driving around before 10.00am looking for a space. You are driving people away from town because there is not enough parkin
434	Again I would like to express the view that new housing should be adjacent to existing facilities. Any development . However small, in

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	rural villages will lead to their urbanisation and there will be no local families there, with a large movement of traff
435	Better reference should be made to the poor road structure surrounding Tisbury. Agree that affordable housing is needed.
436	1) No large scale housing development 2) Any developments should be sustainable within the current services (gas, electric, water) and roads 3) All judgements should be made on fair and equitable basis I am concerned about the alleged expansion of Port
469	there is no proposal (as far as I can see) to improve the single carriageway on A27 through dolphin industrial est, the jams there are legendary because a gridlock following a major accident realize vehicles cannot get through
480	I am perplexed that the proposed development are being considered with current thinking regarding the relationship to work in locations, I cannot help thinking that in 20 years the car ownership will be the exception to meet the travel needs of the increa
493	In the last 3 years, Alderbury has seen In fill of approximately 30 houses and in the less recent past has had more than its fair share of development. In the light of this we cannot support further development of another 50 houses As we have already sta
503	I have read the note at the bottom of the page and do not want my address made public.
532	Core Strategy preferred options consultation I have been asked to write to you by the Salisbury Vision steering grouping response to the above consultation exercise. You should be aware that the Salisbury Vision proposal for the current bus depot si
532	I have been asked to write to you by the Salisbury Vision steering group. They think that the current bus depot site in Castle Street should be developed for educational, or other employment/office led mixed use.
559	how will Salisbury cope with so much extra traffic with increasing in housing (jobs?) It would desperately need a by-pass - thereby talking up yet more green sites. All the main roads in/out of Salisbury were not made to accommodate all this extra traffi
564	For Mere, the number of new dwellings is far more than the town needs or can accommodate. The number of jobs likely to be generated in Mere is, in my view, likely to be small, as areas already allocated fro employment development remain significantly unde
564	Object to proposals for new buildings in Mere. Objections due to inadequate infrastructure, facilities, and services.
570	Southern area and Whiteparish has been suggested as being suitable for 590 new homes. This is excessive. It needs to be considered that we are on the border of a National Park.
602	This has done nothing to consolidate existing villages and yet proposes more developments with the usual developers Sweeteners. How can you justify such schemes when villages, such as Lover, have lost their school, village shop, and post office with no h

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

611	P13 - 50 new house in Alderbury - I agree with this, provided the new houses include those at the current infill and windfall sites of which there are several in Alderbury. Also the design and location of the new houses must not adversely affect the rural
621	A difficult time in a big bag starter plan - economic circumstances may change drastically. I support incremental development that allows for creative ways of growing up in the height of developing circumstances - seems to be more your approach now. For
629	The relocation of the industrial center of Churchfields is difficult incurring real **** compensation payments if this is to happen sooner rather than later - which is considered key to the continued success of the city - with areal opportunity to includ
635	I cannot see when car parking at the Railway Station has been addressed – also if there are to be 200 houses in what is more the Central Car Park – where are all the cars going. One of the great pluses in Salisbury is that you can always park your car is
643	Concerned about the proposals for Whiteparish. Why have we been ignored? 100+ houses is far too many it's a 25% increase. Also any sustainable development needs to aligned with existing infrastructure.
643	In favour of Whiteparish, but oppose large numbers of additional dwellings. Such an increase would destroy the rural nature of the village. The village infrastructure will not cope.
646	We are please to see that Alderbury – Will be only able to accommodate around 50 new homes – in the 20-year period. The reason given was the constraint of road capacity, but it should also be stressed that Alderbury has already experienced a high rate of
660	Whiteparish cannot accommodate 100+ homes. This is certainly not modest growth. Limited employment in the village and the school is full. There is also a poor public transport service, resulting in too much road congestion.
668	The problems of parking within Salisbury are still not being addressed. The problem of access to and avoidance of the city by traffic are not being addressed. It is pleasing to see that a far more constructive & logical approach has been made to add
679	There is no doubt about it –there is an urgent need for more affordable i.e. social housing, especially in picturesque spots. In the village of Orcheston we have lost in the last 15 years, the village shop, the pub and most of the children. Why? Because
693	Preservation of historic buildings, and structural improvement essential to attract tourism, and better public transport. Much work needs to be done to restore our shop fronts (Nuggs and Oddbins) and owners and tenants should be required to have work and
695	Question the correlation of the figures for the proposed increase in number of dwellings. Don't believe the future for Salisbury should lie in increasing general industry.
707	I would be grateful if you could respond to the comments raised. Firstly am concerned about the manner in which the villages of Morgan's Vale and Woodfalls have been combined for the purposes of addressing the planning requirements of the core strategy a
707	No clear plans for maintaining the environment. Very close to the National Park.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

722	Lack of process during the consultation. Disagree with option 51, and page 14.
730	Concern over some of the statements in the document. Don't mention any plans to address road usage problems. Housing numbers too high.
755	Scale of housing development proposed for Mere and Western Area is disproportionate. The main need is for affordable houses.
764	1 - Absolute zero tolerance of until social behavior - especially drinking /swearing in public places, and close pubs who serve under age. 2 - MUST have facilities for young children and youth - especially sport, 5 river is inadequate. 3 MUST enhance w
771	Lack of due process in the consultation. . Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation. There has been no growth in employment. The infrastructure is insufficient. Parking is already a problem. Preferred option 5
773	Lack of concern for the protection, enhancement, and expansion of the natural environment.
789	1. I am concerned that the strength of feeling among Mere residents against proposed increase to include 500 new hosed (300 in Mere) appears to be ignored, avoided and not registered. Key comments made about road infrastructure, the A303, safety, schools
793	Whiteparish: page 13 – 590 houses are proposed for the Southern area. Incompatible with the paragraph e of the consultation. Will be devastating to the community. Would appear the concerns for our village has been completely disregarded. Whiteparish s
794	Churchfields - Desperate need for workers and customers - worse now because of extra yellow lines. People park there for the railway station and very early till very late. The Parka and Ride is too time limited. Some people work till after 7pm - what are
809	Accepting the need for a plan, the options for housing are probably broadly the best we will get. I am please to see the attention given to quality – Salisbury could be enhanced by high-quality development with good public spaces. Maintaining the touri
810	Response to public consultation: Our place in the Future: LDF core Stately Preferred Options The identification, allocation and protection of land for employment use is a critical issue for Salisbury and South Wiltshire. The importance of this to the
810	Whilst understanding the need to develop Netherhampton as a new business pk Attention has to be given to access to this site, particularly Netherhampton rd with regards to extra traffic, large vehicles, lorries and road speed, but no speed bumps these jus
827	The road capacity in the Southern Area, especially on each side of the Avon Valley, is already do overloaded at peak times that any further development will have a disproportionate impact on existing congestion problems. Unless and until there is an effe
832	New homes should include the recent developments and the character of the rural villages should be maintained. Strongly believe housing should be of good quality.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

833	The 50 houses planned for Alderbury should include recent developments and building. Should enhance the character of Alderbury as a rural village not destroy it. All unused sites should be used up before new sites are made.
844	There needs to be first class public transport to and from major business parks as not everyone has a car or unlimited cash for fuel!
847	The Parish Council has made representations to the GOSW (Government Office for the South West) disputing the projected economic and population growth and objecting to the proposed number of houses for the Salisbury District - 12,400. Agree that there is
850	I cannot answer these questions as they pre-suppose the need for more houses, jobs and assume that Salisbury needs to be more Vibrant. All these assumptions are questionable. What is the essential criteria to decide whether we should rely on tourism b
883	Parish Council has made representations to the Government Office for the South West, objecting to the proposals to provide 12400 new homes for Salisbury. The number is far too high. The village has already been developed too much. We are willing to carr
884	Comments on Our Place in the Future published September 2008 The steps that are now being proposed to identify and met housing need appear sensible. Residents have particularly welcomed the outcome that there will be no urban extension at Firsdow. We
886	All Schemes would benefit from a full ring road as part of the infrastructure. As the A36 recently retained as truck route by Highways Authority, it should be proposed/included at every strategy consultation to emphasis its obvious benefits for city/coun
910	Page 15 ROADS, It is agreed that we need to work within the framework we have, however we should not lose sight of the need to have a Salisbury bypass, together with the other proposals mentioned Any other development within the Salisbury parish and elsew
913	Redlynch Parish Council feel that the new housing needs of our Parish will be adequately met by our investigations of the need for affordable housing and the progress of small developments of affordable housing on exception sites over the next 20 years to
925	Support the max number of 50 houses in Alderbury. Essential that infill and windfall are counted as part of the total. Alderbury has already had significant development. Traffic problems are already an issue.
926	Recent development should be taken into consideration.
929	Q5 UKLF Wilton : a site for a super market for this side of divide instead of travelling 8 miles to the other side of Salisbury. Plus in part light industry. Q2 as long as a reliable service industry is in place , doctors, dentists, shops, schools nursing
933	It was a pleasure to read this report which at last seems to have taken some notice of what we want - Long may it continue. I support a maximum of 50 houses built in Alderbury over the next 20 years, to include all infill houses ( of which we seem to be g
937	I don't think Mere can accommodate many more people. Secondary Schools are already oversubscribed - roads blocked, no parking. Shops closed , poor employment prospects here despite years of trying to attract employment. Drains etc. Why not be forward thi
937	Mere: 50% increase in population is far high, and Mere will not cope. Infrastructure will need to be improved significantly.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

958	Our Place in the Future Mere and Western Area- At the present moment we have about 310 houses in Zeals and according to you and the government we shall be taking about 210 more houses in Zealand area. Possible development in the remaining villages across
964	By building yet more housing will equal more cars which equals more congestion equalling more pollution in and around the city centre Roads are not nearly adequate to deal with traffic now (see A338 Bourne valley rd Porton) Cut down on half of the propose
971	Adequate parking for shoppers should be maintained.
972	Having attended a meeting at the Rose and Crown, Harnham, last evening (September 10 2008) it was satisfying to find that inclusion had been made in the present stage of the process towards Core Strategy policies, that a five year review takes place at in
1030	Development is needed but only natural growth should take place.
1044	any development, housing or business, should have the appropriate infrastructure, including extra school provision, extra traffic provision and adequate parking facilities built in from the start
1049	Housing quota for Whiteparish should be set at 75 houses or less.
1057	The clarity of Our Place in the Future is much appreciated. We support a maximum of 50 houses being built in Alderbury over the whole 20-year period, in order to preserve the rural character of our village. It should not become a suburb of Salisbury. I
1058	I am respondent 1058. The officer comments made to my suggestions are flippant and condescending. They are platitudes that do not respond at all to the comments on reducing rates and rents to encourage tenants in the already existing, but unused facilitie
1063	We need truly affordable housing for young people to be able to stay in the village they grew up in. Otherwise the villages will become dormitories for those who can afford it. Also smaller properties for retirement which will free up larger ones for fami
1068	Whiteparish: 100 houses is disproportionate. Proposals conflict with previous aims. Modest growth is fine. Not acceptable to impose large developments.
1077	The need to provide housing for younger people should not be the responsibility of the council.
1085	I do not agree with UKLF being made into a business park, houses would be better because Wilton has a good bus service so that residents could get into the city to work. I do not agree with making Churchfields a mixed use, houses are what is needed not em
1092	I feel very strongly that Old Sarum which ideally includes the view seen from the hill itself, should be preserved as possible in its present state. It is a site of historic in terest but more than that in being an exceptionally beautiful site partly beca
1092	Q5 cont, Ones that employ apprentices in trades, not more office blocks Q6 Where will the industrial units at present in Churchfields be relocated Will the developers be mindful of climate change and be made to adhere to building regulation

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1095	Whiteparish: Not against all planning, and more affordable housing is needed. However should be modest, 100+ is too many and the infrastructure is not adequate. No mention of Whiteparish's proximity to the National Park have been made.
1108	I have reservation about the proposal to build about 200 homes - the central car park of Salisbury. There is a need for some central car parking for those people who wish to be in the centre of Salisbury for a short time (e.g. 1hr) and do not have the ext
1112	Response to public consultation: Our place in the Future: LDF core Stately Preferred Options The identification, allocation and protection of land for employment use is a critical issue for Salisbury and South Wiltshire. The importance of this to the
1121	Wish to record points raised in my original response to the Core Strategy and also the SHLAA written early this year. Take the opportunity to thank SDC and the planning officers for, listening and acting on the genuine concerns of residents
1124	Detailed reply regarding implications for Whiteparish - it is not a main village and existing problems relating to congestion and traffic will be exacerbated
1124	Whiteparish: Object to 590 dwellings in the southern area. The allocation of Downton is too small. It is not a main village. Is already full to capacity. The services will not cope with more population. More traffic will cause more problems. The pr
1124	Whiteparish: page 13 – 590 houses are proposed for the Southern area. Incompatible with the paragraph e of the consultation. Will be devastating to the community. Would appear the concerns for our village has been completely disregarded. Whiteparish s
1127	Far too many houses are being imposed on Western area. Local infrastructure will not cope.
1138	1. Lack of due process in the Black Book proposals. Disagree with preferred option 51, as amount of housing is far more than necessary. 2. Disagree with preferred option 53 because there is already allocated land on the edge of Mere for employment devel
1178	Referring to the proposal to build on land between Bishopdown Farm and ford. · The suggestion seems reasonable · The current proposal states that there will not be any scope for the northern link road here: I fully agree with this position and believe t
1192	Whiteparish: not against development, but they should be sustainable and not compromise the characteristics of Whiteparish.
1199	Very little mention is made of parking facilities, which would appear to be shrinking in future. You fail to appreciate the transport needs of satellite villages whose occupants need to get to work and / or shop and do not / cannot use the park and ride.
1204	*For full response see hardcopy* Summary: The proposal requires major infrastructure improvements. 1. Why Harnham? 2.Size - Scheme is too large for Harnham 3. Transport - Traffic levels in Harnham are already causing environmental, safety and social pro
1205	Sailsbury values its natural environment and landscape setting above all else.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1214	Having responded to the core strategy document suggesting 200 to 250 dwellings over 20 yrs (including the Wick Lane allotment site) would be acceptable I am content with the suggestion about 200 new homes envisaged for Downton, part of the 590 for the sou
1237	Primary concerns are in relation to the development of a new business park between Harnham Business Park and the Livestock Market on the Netherhampton Road. Harnham business park has not attracted much attention, therefore why will a new business park at
1260	Q1 and Q2 - It is all really vague, but I agree on the whole.Q3 - yes, all except the central car Park - where are you going to put the cars that do come into the city - the leisure, market and the October fun fair vehicles. Q4 and Q 5 - overall I agree.
1267	I commend the document and it is well thought out. However I don't agree with the relocation of the business/industrial areas. Access needs to addressed.
1286	Developments are not necessary.
1311	Whiteparish: The number houses are disproportionate and will have a huge impact on our village. Sustainable development should be judged in the context of the current situation. The school is already at full capacity, inadequate public transport
1313	Whiteparish: A significant amount of new houses would be detrimental to the village. The roads and school is already at full capacity. I agree with modest growth but nothing more than this.
1323	You have completely ditched the issue of roads, judging by the standard of the pot holed cart tracks to which many of our roads have deteriorated the soon to be one local and county councils cant even maintain the roads, we have got, let alone cater for i
1329	Object strongly to proposals for Mere. The document fails to adequately assess the impact on Mere which would be caused by around 500 new homes. According to you representatives at your roadshow display at the Grove Buildings, you are merely facilitator
1334	Only support a combined maximum of 50 new homes in Alderbury and Whaddon. I specifically agree with limited development in the Southern area as a whole.
1343	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
1348	I think the access to Salisbury especially from the South is bad and can be terrible at peak times. The whole structure needs to be improved – an awful lot of time and travel can be wasted sitting in a traffic jam, you cant always chose the time you have
1364	1. We accept city must grow and develop 2. We accept there are government targets 3. We understand that the further land at Old Sarum is adjacent to the existing land currently being developed to hold 850 homes. This means that a huge proportion of firs
1368	No concern for rural life and activities, especially horse riders. Road infrastructure plans need to consider cyclists, walkers, and

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	horse riders. There are already low wages in Salisbury which causes problems, to build houses here makes no sense.
1370	Against any large scale development in Whiteparish. It will overload the facilities. Local employment is limited. We have had over 100 houses built in the last 20 years anyway.
1387	Whilst I appreciate that you are required to produce plans to satisfy government demands, I do not accept that there is a need for such a high level of new housing either in south Wiltshire or in the UK as a whole. Due to economic recession, many EU work
1388	Whiteparish: 100 homes is in direct conflict with aim in paragraph e) page 12. Not against modest growth that maintains rural character of the village. It clearly isn't a main village. Limited local employment and services
1399	If Central Car Park developed, where are cars going to park? There is no doubt that whilst Park and ride is a great idea, car parking is required in Salisbury, for those occasions when Park and Ride is not appropriate. Dispersal of development is ok, but
1436	The following comments are the result of much discussion between my wife and my daughter in law, my son and myself who all live in Whiteparish. Living where we do in South Wiltshire as we do we are not really competent to comment on areas we hardly know
1444	590 houses is too high. Will resist any employment that threatens the unique rural character of the village
1452	All the decisions so far taken by the government and the council were made in the light of economic growth. Now there is a very difficult scenario especially in relation to housing. Obviously this makes it more difficult than ever to fund and sustain more
1509	Very unfair that Whiteparish will be taking lots of extra houses due to us making less fuss than Alderbury. Already our pre-school, school and mother and baby group is at full capacity. By all means give us some affordable housing, but nothing else.
1527	Roads need to be considered.
1548	There is no justification for the number of houses proposed. There is no work in the area and what is available is low paid. There is not a 7-day a week bus service as stated in the paper. Some places can only be accessed once a week. At least 12 busin
1551	Whiteparish: page 13 – 590 houses are proposed for the Southern area. Incompatible with the paragraph e of the consultation. Will be devastating to the community. Would appear the concerns for our village has been completely disregarded. Whiteparish s
1561	Re page 14 - Nadder Area - Agree that next 5 years should be a consultation / review period. Proposed houses already in the planning process i.e. large development off Hindon Lane, Tisbury should be included in the number of houses planned for the future
1598	It is important that transport is adequate near the employment developments.
1599	1 There is a contradiction in your document on page 13 you state that Alderbury is constrained by road capacity and will only be able

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	to accommodate around 50 new houses and yet on p17 you state that the present condition of the roads is not a suitable ar
1624	Resident of Whiteparish. Object to the proposals for the southern area, it is far too high. Downton can accommodate more than has been proposed for that area as can Alderbury. The villages should be left to develop modestly.
1624	Resident of Whiteparish. Object to the number of houses proposed for the southern area, it is far too high. The allocation to Downton is too small, it can easily accommodate more. Alderbury should also accommodate more. The remaining VILLAGES
1626	Whiteparish: page 13 – 590 houses are proposed for the Southern area. Incompatible with the paragraph e of the consultation. Will be devastating to the community. Would appear the concerns for our village has been completely disregarded. Whiteparish s
1629	This not normal growth. Services will not cope.
1652	Salisbury and the surrounding area is already becoming grid locked. A case in point is that I now shop in Romsey, even though Salisbury is much closer, why? Because on Saturday it's quicker. The pressure to construct more industry is applying more pressur
1653	Anxious about the proposals for Archer's Gate. 400+ houses is perhaps too high considering the economic climate.
1656	Hindon: I accept the need for housing, particularly low cost, but the implications of the development in Hindon have not been clearly shown. New infrastructure and service will be needed. Hindon will suffer more traffic difficulties from any proposals.
1659	Quite satisfied with these proposals, therefore no father comment. Thank you, Stephen and Lesley Cross
1664	Amount of houses for Whiteparish is far too much. We do not have the infrastructure, or services.
1664	I have been advised by Richard Clewer that a proposal is being discussed to allocate between 80 & 100 houses within the village of Whiteparish as part of the larger plan to increase housing in the Salisbury district.
1666	Whiteparish: page 13 – 590 houses are proposed for the Southern area. Incompatible with the paragraph e of the consultation. Will be devastating to the community. Would appear the concerns for our village has been completely disregarded. Whiteparish s
1669	This new document Our Place in the Future is much clearer and easier to understand, many thanks. In respect on village of Alderbury we strongly agree with the propose limit of 50 houses to be built over the 20 year period and appreciate the recognition of
1670	1) A council official stated the proposed rise in housing is to meet normal growth plus immigration. If this happens it will put more stress on availability of affordable housing and our already overburdened infrastructure would fail more quickly in parti
1678	Is need for affordable housing in Mere and Western area, preferably for those already employed by local businesses. The assessment shows there is a need for 211 houses, proposals could perhaps be accommodated if phased in over the Plan period of the next
1686	1) There was very poor consultation on the Black Book proposals within Mere and district. 2) There has been no Local Needs Assessment so the assertion that Mere needs large increase in housing is ridiculous. There will always be support for affordable ho

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1705	Sustainable development should be judged in the context of current status of the village. Within Whiteparish there is little employment, one small village, one primary school and inadequate public transport.
1705	Whiteparish: A 20-25% increase in housing is excessive.
1719	. Thinking about Mere and Western Areas, in the previous Preferred Options document you identified certain areas fro development. How were these selected? did the landowners approach Salisbury District or was it vice –versa?
1729	Too many houses for Whiteparish.
1735	Too many houses for Whiteparish. Sustainable and modest development is fine, but it should be judged on current status quo.
1739	Before the new wilts council embarks on discussions to consider longer term growth needs I would suggest that consultation should be specific to those areas which would be directly affected by any future development As a resident of mere who is unable to
1743	Whiteparish: Object to 590 dwellings in the southern area. The allocation of Downton is too small. The villages should be left to develop on their own, they are not main villages. Is already full to capacity. The services will not cope with more popu
1745	I am against the development that has taken into consideration the following criteria – Whiteparish could not support a huge influx of people/houses. The school is already at full capacity, there is only one village shop, there is limited employment. An
1746	Feel that housing growth should be proportionate to the number of dwellings already in existence. New developments should blend with those in existence and not put a strain on the current infrastructure. Whiteparish is being asked to bear more than its
1749	Very concerned about number of dwellings for Whiteparish. 100hmoes is unacceptable – limited schools, roads, local employment – they will not cope. Rural nature of the village will be ruined. Whiteparish is not a main village as it has been identified.
1751	Minutes from a meeting held on 11th Sept 2008. (In brief). The document is very ambiguous.
1780	Progress will be very slow because of credit crunch and the recession. Don't need things that don't need fixing.
1786	Populations expand to take up the housing available plus about 10%. The underlying assumption that building x new houses solves an existing problem is therefore false, because filling a politically driven quota merely creates a new target. In the end th
1795	Feel that the consultation has become weaker since the revisit therefore it is hard to object to some proposals as they are not completely clear, particularly concerning the future nature of Salisbury as a City. We agree that we do not need some developm
1801	Here development should broaden and complement the existing range of employment. B1 office and R& D (aviation related?) and light industry uses would therefore be appropriate and are also acceptable in proximity to proposed housing (B2 uses should not int
1845	I feel that I am unable to comment on the type of business I would like to see for the sites in question 5. Any business must be efficient to succeed; e.g. availability of labour, a proper infrastructure to enable the business to function. There has bee
1851	The Britford Parish Council continues to be anxious about the infrastructure in the area.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1857	Concerns about infrastructure. We do not want an unbalanced growth in affordable and expensive properties.
1858	Salisbury District Council Draft Core Strategy – ‘Our Place in the Future’ 1. Introduction Defence Estate (DE) has taken a proactive role in responding to the 2007 and 2008 rounds of public consultation undertaken by Salisbury District Council (SDC) a
1868	Are concerned that the emerging choices are necessarily the most appropriate having due regard to significant historic assets. Believe that the identification process fails to adequately consider the impact on: Prehistoric landscape; setting of individua
1875	Rural communities have a Parish Plan. Is sufficient notice taken of these plans prior to even considering development plans? If not, why not? It is evident in Firsdwn that no one in planning ever bothered to consult with local population before presentin
1909	Provision for gypsies and travellers should not be made until such time as this group contributes to local income through the medium of taxation. And through payments for utilities - e.g. - power, water, drainage and street cleaning.
1913	Account should be of taken of large residential development in Shaftesbury now being developed this enjoins the Wilts boundary. These new residents will largely commute to Salisbury and beyond. Development should be with small holdings - not like large f
1923	Zeals has been classified as a main village which it is not. How many houses exactly are going to be put in Mere? It is not made obvious in the document, The number of developments that have been proposed is certainly not modest.
1932	As Chairman of the Southern Areas committee my general comments are: I agree with the general figure of 590 dwellings over the next 20 years. I am pleased with the comment that the proposed new developments around the Pheasant Inn and Firsdwn have remo
1945	I should like to comment as follows. 1. I understand there will be a requirement in the future for housing for increased numbers of employees at Porton Down. Rather than having these new empl
1946	You don't pay much attention to the social needs that will be needed to serve new housing developments.
1950	Small business sites in rural areas to provide locally based residents Whilst agreeing that sites are appropriate to meeting housing needs, this is a short term political answer and needs to be constantly reassessed
1963	The Old Swimming Pool site still remains on the Council's books as a possible site for housing development (SHLAA list site 232 on council's website). Whilst I understand SDC doe not intend to develop the site. I am very concerned that when the new Wilt
1964	A 20% increase in housing would not sit comfortably. Number is too high, modest growth would be acceptable. Please come and decide and work on the developments with people live here, I warmly invite you.
1981	I do not believe that Salisbury has any need for additional housing development or job generation, this idea is a central government mantra used to disguise inaction on immigration Tidying up and improving that which already exists should be the priority

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

1996	This parish council met to discuss the latest document in this consultation exercise and would like to express the following views: 1) Wiltshire has no major north/south trunk road. Further home expansion and attendant car ownership requires urgent consid
1996	Traffic seriously needs to be considered alongside these developments. Where will the children of these new dwellings be educated? Further housing development would be more appropriate at the Winterbourne roundabout at its junction with Portway. Pitton
2023	Harnham business has been empty for many years since the electricity board left the site After all this time there is still only two site taken how are we suddenly going to fill this area with business sites by giving of grants etc Churchfields business h
2024	How can you go into the next phase of the Solstice if the first phase isn't finished So far its been rather a failure with hardly any industrial companies taking up the vacant spots
2025	How can we protect our beautiful natural environment Answer by leaving Elizabeth Gardens alone and not developing it 2 No to building houses on the central car park That is the use of trying to develop tourism if drivers have nowhere to park 3 perhaps
2026	Improve the roads especially surfaces Install new foot and bicycle paths on all routes from Andover Amesbury Fordingbridge Devises Southampton More leisure facilities in Amesbury swimming athletics tennis gym Salisbury Ring Road Nth route Stop cars d
2027	Q3 Appropriate residential locations each location suggested are on the edge of already bursting at the seams infrastructure Salisbury's roads health services etc are all centralised with houses popped on the edges How about Salisbury being innovative wit
2028	Road infrastructure must be provided for development You have to separate people from traffic Cycling is no good if you are over 60 Salisbury has hills Learn from Holland France etc They try to enhance the citizens living environment by building relief ar
2030	1 I think the council should found its assumptions of growth with links to appropriate research 2 14000 people 2026 12000 homes by 2026 why does 99 percent of the new population need a home each
2031	Other than Hampton Park I do not these sites well enough to make an informal opinion
2032	I do not wish to be a NIMBY so am reluctant to suggest where you might place the council recycling centre MOT heavy goods testing centre Building Material Suppliers Cement Works Car Showrooms all generating very heavy vehicles these should not be routed a
2033	I feel deeply concerned for the health of the city centre if the important site of the central car park is developed How can the shops city centre entertainments tourists enjoy Salisbury without this facility How can Sainsburys continue to compete with th
2034	The entire plan can be questioned as the perceived need for ever more homes in the district can only increase demand from other areas which may be more suitable If homes really are needed then some of the options such as the Churchfields Industrial Estate
2035	1 The road network must be improved 2 Poor progress has been made on establishing a safe and viable cycle path system 3 Increased population will require increased policing to keep our city safe 4 We will need better leisure facilities to attract young
2036	Salisbury is creating due to poor roads and infrastructure London Rd will soon be as bad as Southampton Rd Rail ridiculously poorly

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	served by parking Re visit the only sensible option New community at the Pheasant New station at Porton Stop letting Firs R
2038	Creating a balance between housing the environment and character of a town is not easy but I feel our problem is not lack of housing but too many people This is the problem England has and need to reduce our numbers in our overpopulated crowded little cou
2038	Harnham: Object to any further development in the Netherhampton Road area. The road already suffers from heavy traffic. Churchfields: An inefficient use of space with its layout. Solstice Park: This area is a vast tract of land ready for use, but is s
2039	1 Plan to visit all the communities that may be affected to ensure sensible public consultation and debate 2 Consider roads e.g. A338 which is already over used by very large vehicles and has had a number of fatal accidents involving such vehicles 3 Is i
2040	Archers Gate was built I believe as a residential area not for business industries As a resident I would be opposed to this My only concern with building on central car park is that parking can sometimes be limited in Salisbury would this make it to tight
2041	Housing 1 New housing to take account of wheelchairs, prams, especially doors 2 Where required adequate sound proofing against a Aircraft noise b noise from clubs and areas of entertainment 3 Sustainable moves to improve eco efficiency built in to new bu
2042	Page 17 Para 2, The present condition of roads is not a sustainable argument against necessary development (what rubbish) If I wanted to set up a business or buy a property in these days of recession and fuel shortage I would require easy access, no time
2043	The Brown old manor site on Wilton Rd should be compulsorily purchased from the health authority and used for building The new build on the Netherhampton Rd in Harnham is a good example of a n attractive looking small development the houses look unique a
2044	The present road system in Harnham (residential area) is already overloaded and therefore further development must be avoided Churchfields seems very well established where it is and I see no sense or reason to remove it
2046	Why do we have to accept that there is unlikely to be central government funding for a Salisbury bye pass Since the 1997 change of government when plans were postponed over 10 years have passed Why is no pressure being applied
2047	Any industrial development along Netherhampton Rd would be a disaster in terms of traffic, my study faces Harnham Rd so I observe the present traffic which is constantly increasing and includes many heavy wagons which continue to the ring road and do not
2048	Q5 Has 2 columns headed offices Surely one of these should be headed housing This is poor proof reading or maybe I have not understood the question Q6 GENERAL The Salisbury ring rd Churchfields way etc needs a major rethink that has not been addressed T
2049	Set up a small building operation so as to erect 5 to 10 houses at a time using your own team of builder, electricians, plumbers Run it from the planning dept (you will not need directors or shareholders creaming off) Peg costs at start of each project an
2050	Regarding building homes development it seems very inappropriate to suggest the central car park as a possible site Parking is required to maintain the town do we really need more empty shops It can only be people who live in central Salisbury and can wal
2051	The proposed site in Harnham is not suitable foe a business industrial park, which would generate increased traffic The single lane

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	approach Rd A3094 through the residential area is already overloaded by heavy lorries as a through route and the slightest
2052	The mistakes of the inner ring road and the Southampton Rd should not be repeated Forward planning requires thinking outside the box It should be obvious that the grid system of roads in the city centre and the junction at Wilton Rd and Netherhampton Rd c
2053	P6, You quote a housing list of 2894 is this individuals or families P6, What happens to this affordable housing when the owners move does it go back into the main housing sale system at a normal price or does it remain affordable, if so how P7,Case st
2054	The lorries were forced to use the A3094 while Skew bridge was being repaired so has increased the traffic as they have continued to use that route through Harnham as opposed to going into the town I cannot understand how important to the Harnham gyratory
2055	1, See responses to Q1 and Q2 2, roads and transport links need to be vastly improved before any expansion starts 3, this area is not ideal for medium to heavy industry 4, need supermarkets like Morrison's, Asda and Aldi to improve decent options for sh
2056	It is much more sensible to expand already proposed sites and not encroach on countryside any further It is not a case of (not in my back yard) as you put it, it needed working out, and not panicking about as you were doing and trying to put them anywher
2058	Whereas I agree that more housing is needed in a and around Salisbury, industry should NOT be moved into residential areas, especially Harnham where the road system is already overloaded
2059	How on earth can a blanket yes or no be given to Q3, some I agree with some I don't and then you say if no can you suggest any alternative sites how amateurish is this surely you have looked at all sites before coming to a conclusion This form is worthless
2060	The future of Salisbury must lie in heritage, new type business (home / small office based) and in providing shopping and business in providing shopping and business facilities to support the villages and small towns of the area. There may be an increasin
2061	ALL NEW BUILDS must be built with roof solar panels, rain water from roofs to be used in Brown water systems and or drained to soak always, all driveways and paths and patios to be laid with porous materials
2062	Whole area below Bookers remains derelict. I understand Solstice Park is only partly used and is restricted to plc. I fear if further land is developed between Harnham business park and Livestock market it will have a major environmental impact on the me
2064	I feel very strongly that before any new business pk is built trees ought to be planted to minimise the visual impact of the, on the whole Very ugly new business, trees make a huge difference to any city or town
2065	1, please call me on 07770898246 as I would like to discuss 2, Look at Gillingham, Dorset and learn from their alleged mistakes
2066	Key to so many locations is efficient, public transport and safe cycle paths (badly needed along Harnham rd, Netherhampton rd and on to Wilton)
2067	The old Wilton school site at the hollows should be houses for workers and offices or new council offices As overleaf UKLF should be the new council offices or houses Wilton does not need more heavy traffic

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2068	Q5, Mixed offices, small medium warehousing, and industrial NOT an RDC as it will take too much land with too little employment
2069	Although it is current government policy not to provide a bypass there are many people who believe Salisbury should have one I suggest that planning should include a preferred solution in the hope the government policy is changed The council should ensure
2070	One person households will increase significantly They want small well insulated flats with a balcony large enough to accommodate small table and two chairs to relax on after their daily commute to Reading, Basingstoke or beyond What they do NOT want is
2071	1, on page 3 you state that if you don't find sites for new housing, then private developers will do it, leading to the potential for uncontrolled development It is very worrying if you believe that planning applications for uncontrolled development would
2072	Far to many houses on sites, to many people for medical services, schools, local people would be swamped No recreation facilities Rivers will flood downstream Badly thought out plans we will be all houses, no food grown
2073	I live in suburban Salisbury because I want to be near facilities like public transport, schools etc I have no objection to further residential development around the area where I live, it insures these facilities are retained People who live in villages
2074	My major reaction is to the development of central car pk, I use the excellent regular bus service from Wilton frequently, but I often have a heavy parcel to collect, for this a car is almost essential when seldom for more than one hour in the central car
2075	Qs 2 and 4 can not be answered by an unqualified YES or No It is important that realistic improvements are made to the road systems at the same time as the developments are underway, particularly in the case of the Harnham business pk We visited the exhi
2076	I came over from the Netherlands a couple of yrs ago to work for Wessex archaeology, My specialist skills require me to see at least all of Europe, and possibly even beyond, as my job market For instance I have lived in Germany for many years what always
2077	I am glad to see the plans for Mere have been put back 5 yrs as we have a better idea of the future needs economic climate etc The Qs which came to mind in particular reference to housing are 1, the dictionary between the facts that we are told that we n
2079	Churchfields, The solution to the terrible access to this est is surely to build a road out across to Harnham and traffic would then have to come in that way and not thru our ancient and beautiful city Moving the whole thing seems too large a project, ce
2080	Where there are large numbers of homes being built, I would like to see a community centre included, that could be used by all ages also a grassed area where outdoor games can be played, and other activities enjoyed such as pleasant flowerbeds to see Wher
2080	You may need to reconsider some areas where you plan to build affordable housing, as I understand there are included on your list where you would like to build areas that have been subjected to flooding in the past.
2081	I think you have carried out a pragmatic and, sensible study the initial document was full of pragmatic assumptions and statements This is a significant improvement Well done
2082	Bypass to Salisbury Stonehenge developments, local shopping public transport, schools, libraries etc Consider street cars to reduce

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	number of privately owned cars
2083	If the government would only learn to control the number of people in this small country it would solve a lot of its problems including spoiling the village community Mere and other villages in wilts have suffered enough More building is not conservation
2084	A clear and concise book, thank you
2084	There is a need for a small number of affordable, low cost housing in this area, but there are sites already available for this. Greenfield areas are precious and necessary for the well being of everybody. Too many houses will turn Mere and it surroundin
2085	Any scheme for fields which would take huge lorries away from the centre of town would be welcome Any scheme to give the youth of Salisbury healthy activities are needed Older people no longer feel safe walking in the city after dark, more policing More
2086	Max use of Brownfield sites for any development Traffic lights may make an improvement on college roundabout whilst retaining the filter system for South to nth (very satisfactory) plus the filter from A36 to South bound ring road
2087	Need to focus on transport links (buses, trains) to all new employment areas, also look to decrease traffic problem thro Salisbury by increasing public transport All London school children have free public transport on buses, this reduces need for parent
2088	I find this magazine to be an excellent way of clearly explaining the issues and presenting the options well done
2089	Whilst the proposals allude toward public services there are two areas that need imaginative and long term solutions, these are children's play areas (although improving) and cycle lanes from out lying areas into Salisbury These need to be dedicated, usin
2092	Salisbury is polluted by aircraft noise from old farm, mainly due to the flying school doing circuits over the town I moved
2093	How many businesses in cfields want to move and will pay to move, the roads do want doing up Why cant the houses that are required be built be put over Harnham and Fugglestone red Leave cfields and CC pk as they are People will always want to use there
2096	Reduce the level of business in Salisbury as they are killing of enterprise and make it difficult for new ventures to establish themselves
2097	Small shops for day to day needs should be encouraged on housing estates Q5, its very confusing as no options are given for housing or leisure, I strongly believe that cfields should be re developed for leisure facilities and hotels or conference c
2098	Q5, Cfields housing Q6 Cont It is absolutely crazy to plan anything which does not include the by passes as stated in this document They will have to be built sooner or water so heads need to be banged to get some sense from central government
2099	There is too much emphasis in this planning document on controlling what sort of houses facilities developers are to build without any assurance that these proposals are financially viable profitable for the developers For instance, 40 percent of the tota
2100	Q5, Harnham Bus Pk, None to many heavy lorries causing vibration to properties
2101	How are you going to match up the housing situation with job prospects and the transport situation, I feel to see

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2105	Not familiar with the above sites and therefore unable to make a balanced observation. I would hope that a similar amount of effort would be applied to the mere and western area's employment needs in the future years to accompany the building of the expe
2108	Did You Know - Planning policy - we were promised this was likely to be modifies by legislation so that small Parish Councils really could have allowed their villages to reap the benefits over the years. This was well over three years ado, our views on t
2109	Harnham bridge is too weak to sustain lorries. Horrifying lack of understanding to our community.
2109	The infrastructure in Harnham is not a place to take transporter lorries. This is a quiet residential area. Local feeling is desperate at the thought of a commercial transformation of our area - the lorries already travel over the medieval bridge. Old
2110	I am concerned at the proposed development of the Central Car Part especially if it leads to a reduction in the Parking Spaces. With the increase in the number of houses in and around Salisbury access to the city will become more difficult in the future.
2111	1. Wiltshire has no north-south Trunk Road, mainly because of the Salisbury Plain acting as an obstruction. This should receive urgent action once Wiltshire Unitary authority is formed, but representation should be make by SDC for a suitable route now i
2112	To secure the long term future of Salisbury, you need low rental housing. 2. Light industrial development within walking distance of the housing to reduce travelling costs. 3.. Shops, doctor surgeries and youth centers on the housing estates. And a m
2116	Water. There is already a shortage of water. Wessex Water are extracting water both from the rivers, especially the Wyle and the Avon, and from deep boreholes. The consequence of this is very low rivers with a severe knock-on effect on the wildlife po
2117	There is a severe lack of local services in Fugglestone Red, everyone gets in a car just to buy bread, milk or a paper. When there are more houses up in this area a decent local shop is a priority (exclamation mark). It cannot be considered good service
2118	Parking in Salisbury is always a problem. If the central car park is used for housing etc - where will there be room for people coming into the city to park? Using the Harnham Road for a further industrial park is a good idea. But the incre
2119	I am horrifies to read of plans to build on all the green belt areas around Salisbury. If these area's were to be heavily built upon, we would be over run with people, buildings and houses- and it will loses all its character. Salisbury would become jus
2120	Salisbury's key charm and reason to live here was the beautiful buildings. PLEASE can new buildings continue this tradition
2121	I cant believe that you intend to build on part of the Central Car Park. At peak periods it is jam packed with vehicles. It may not be important to Salisbury's residents (other than shops and businesses), but for more rural dwellers it opens the door to
2122	Please can we see some innovative, modern design that well reflect the architecture of the early 21st century in the future. The Poundbury syndrome is being used in excess - obviously an easy option - There are examples in Europe, and there must be some
2123	Anything that could be done to encourage small private having shops in the city centre would be beneficial not only to the residents but also tourists. It would enhance the cities industrial character, rather than letting Salisbury become a small clone o

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2124	All the new developments must be properly supported by the appropriate infrastructure including - adequate water supply and sewerage. And good systems and drains, and good public transport systems, and good electrical and telecommunications systems. In
2125	I see an awful lot of employ business units in Salisbury - Southampton road and Old Sarum and in Amesbury - Solstice Park and at the Amesbury business park. Should not build houses on the Churchfields or the Central Car park. Should build another Multi
2126	Devizes road. I find we are having out fair share of HGV's, speed seems to have gone over the wall. Fugglestone Road goes ahead then speed restrictions will need to be reinforced
2127	There is to be a development in Hindon it would be very useful to include the provision of a doctors surgery. The present building in Hindon is already too small for the existing needs. The patient list sixe is increasing and also the medical care to e
2128	All the proposals made have obviously been through a very demanding period of analysis already and so are worthy of making giant sense. HOWEVER - it's the DETAILS in all these proposals that will make or break them. The new houses, offices and industrial
2129	If Churchfields businesses were relocated elsewhere, I would prefer it not to be too far so space such as Wilton would be ideal. Would rather see car parks in Salisbury developed for retail space rather than living space. Concerns raised for the traff
2131	Yes. Whoever. There are more paved area, less drains, less vegetation for talking up water - this adds up to increase risk of flooding. Youngsters - need space to play their games. Every French village has a play area. WE build estates and then put up
2132	Provision for older folk - house owners - who need to vacate their too large houses and gardens. Some blocks of pleasant half room dwelling with share of amenities, maintenance, washing machines and a caring warden/nurse. Like Archers Courts and Elizabe
2133	All new housing should be energy efficient and self efficient. WE desperately need to make more use of solar panels and other green sources, extensive use will reduce their costs. I am very concerned by the number of new houses that are desired is ever
2134	1) Why so little consultation? Option 51 should be completely rethought and then any new option bought to the attention of all PCC's 2) Option 53 is wrong. There are numerous brown field sites e.g. Solstice Park 3) Where would the proposed new populatio
2134	Housing in South Wiltshire - presumably it has been accepted that more houses have to be built somewhere. Given therefore that SW Wilts has to accept its share - the following concerns need to be addressed and suitable actions taken, prior to any build.
2135	All homes built should be energy efficient, with sonar panels as standard. Attractive mixed developments along the lines of Poundbury and Dorset
2139	None of the proposals offer the prospect of any new jobs, despite that being their objective. The new homes are proposed in an area of too few facilities, including basic amenities like grocery store and no new roads. There are already too many houses w
2140	PLEASE could you put the 30mph sign earlier than before entering Chilmark from Salisbury, past the Black Dog Pub so people SLOW DOWN well before missing down the hill to make it safer coming out of the side road. Also to make bigger rumble humps so one c

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2141	Some of the areas assets are correctly identified 9wildlife, precious landscape, archaeological heritage etc) Stonehenge is possibly the most important and the quality of the APPROACHES to the site are so vital for the future of tourism, which could be e
2142	I am left feeling concerned by the could be worse attitude to Salisbury's roads, especially perpetuating the problems of access to Churchfields at either end. No mention has been made of the excellent rail link and land occupied by railway property - sur
2143	Cars will still be used - is there sufficient parking space for these
2144	Yes, If all this money is to be output, perhaps the dreadful roads in and around Salisbury could be resurfaced
2146	In terms of transport - a public bus service starting at Fisherton Street (in from on Station Road) to Rebbecks, Blue Bow Row, Brown Street, Milford Street, Milford Hill, Shady Bower, Manor Farm Road, though Laverstock with appropriate stops, London Road
2148	Roads need great improvement, could key worker housing be nearer to Hospitals, council offices so travel to work of those key workers could be by foot - saving sums of money and transport costs. Key worker housing, should also be redefined, as well as
2149	Nadder Area - 110 houses envisaged in front of Tisbury, the majority in Hindon, this would almost double the size of this conservation village. 1 - Hindon has not the infrastructure, nor the existing houses all being connected to mains sewerage. 2) roa
2150	We need subsidised housing, tenants should have a minimum of 10% stake in property. There should be a bus that runs every 10 mines, there should be more cycle paths and secure parking. Different local shops will continue to bring in visitors too
2151	I feel in addition to questions 1-5 It is very important to reach a balance where a site is to include offices and various forms of industry - sooo very IMPORTANT
2152	Affordable housing - agree this is important but I believe that all potential residents/tentants/owners should expect to undertake at least 2-5 years of saving to achieve this - to demonstrate an understanding of ability to live within budget, to understa
2153	Relentless lobbying for bypass
2154	Moor Hotels are required for the tourist industry
2156	do not support building in Central car park. I believe that all development should be restricted in scale and height and always of the highest quality and well planned and laid out so it is pleasing to the eye as well as favorable. Wherever possible
2158	Central Car Park is Full - over subscribed daily. It is obviously essential for the peoples needs. This suggestion is too long, too detailed, it took an effort to get around to reading it. Please remember a very large proportion of those who would wish
2159	Question 2-Development should not only be on large sites but for individual houses on the edges of villages including outside the village envelope. Villages have grown organically over many centuries and this should be allowed to continue rather than spo
2160	where are the planning issues for our young peoples recreational needs/facilities? There is nothing in the countryside or villages, and very little in Salisbury. No wonder they move away and we are left with old people and bored kids only.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2161	All roads around leading to Salisbury are appalling and completely ridiculous, its not a fine example for Tourism. This needs urgent addressing before we can go forth on any brave plan for the future
2162	It would be disastrous to have a business park on the Northampton Road. The traffic is already very heavy and with juggernauts it will add to the congestion, about gridlock - it will be awful. Schools - are already over subscribed - so we cannot have mo
2163	We have a beautiful city and I would like to be prod of it. I agree with the overall strategy in your plans for the future of Salisbury and South Wiltshire. However with this present financial climate I feel this is to be a long term place. What in my m
2164	Post offices in this area should NOT BE closed
2165	Total lack of due process over the Black Book proposals. The amount of housing is far more than the area needs or could accommodate. There is already enough employment land on the edge of Mere so I disagree with option 53. Disagree with proposals on p.
2166	I cannot fault Paul 's The Future Of Salisbury. There is one item missing - and that Sheltered Housing. Salisbury has 22 schemes with 512 people I believe we are the only city that has this responsibility and employ Supporting People services, in the
2167	The local roads in villages need much work. They are a disgrace and are causing damage to cars and making cycling extremely dangerous. If you doubt this come to WINTERSLOW
2169	1- I agree with 40% of new schemes should be affordable houses not just for key workers but for those on lower incomes - like single parent families. 2. Community Chest funds should go to provide a village Bus scheme in Downton similar to the Tisbus in
2170	I am concerned about the water resources for all these new developments in the area. We are already over stretched from the quicker, chalk streams duplicate and damaged. Reservoirs downstream needed.
2171	I think you have got it about right. It is a very challenging problem to win, there will never be a complete agreement but you suggested a way ahead which seems both sensible and sensitive
2172	Any development of Churchfields must take into account better parking and traffic needs
2173	My main concern is parking and PARKING CHARGES within the city centre. Although there are several small car parks, multi-storey by the court is the main, most convenient car park, but this is often full on market days. With the main Sainsburys car park
2175	A most dispiriting excercise. We are asked to make decisions without there being any reference to the future needs of the transport system for Salisbury. In the present economic climate, I would suggest that all new extensive housing plans are put on ho
2176	I am new to the area and as such I do not know the locations suggested well, hence do not consider I can comment with any authority on the open questions of 5&6
2177	My main interest is that infrastructure i.e. - doctors, vets, schools, shops, drainage, roads etc etc are improved to accommodate these building projects. In Salisbury, development has been allowed WITHOUT these things being done in the past. If we much h

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2178	We do not want Harnham business part to be developed anymore. At present the A3094 is very busy and often congested. We already have the livestock market, garden centre, new housing estate and the new school in Saxon Road.
2180	Chrchfields - The resiting of the waste management and the HGV weigh station should be concluded first. If this cannot be achieved then persuading commercial firms to move cheaply wont happen
2182	, no but it would be really good to see the speedy delivery of the above plan. It is long overdue
2183	I find the limitations depressing. Most Wiltshire villages are slowly dying because of a refusal to allow developers for any purpose
2184	all - if it generates employment in a green way
2185	I feel that the Old Sarum, Archers Gate, Hampton Park and Fugglestone Red developments should only be residential - not for other uses
2186	long term houses needs. I do not consider Woodfalls and Morgan vale villages should be included in the list of longer term sites. Both these villages are an integral part of the parish and would have a detrimental effect on the New Forest National Park.
2188	I do feel it is vital that there are more affordable housing, particularly for the key workers, but planning permission continues to be given for large houses so that in villages there seems to be a huge predominance of very expensive houses
2190	Any development of new housing or industry must be carried out in such a was as to AVOID DEVALUING existing properties, as well as meeting the other criteria stated in the document. I.e. retaining character of area etc.
2192	southern Area - Whiteparish. On page 13 - you refer to homes being dismantled in Whiteparish with smaller infill developments in other villages/ The view of the white parish residents is that we do not have or want in the future, the appropriate infrast
2193	smaller houses - even in villages are required to have 2-3 bedrooms not 2-3 bathrooms, which reduces water usage. Al our new building should have solar panels, water saving/gray water systems etc. PUBLIC transport desperately needed. Developments in cit
2195	in one of your own proposals you proposed to create a City of Salisbury Parish Council. No indication was given to exploring the funding for this. I am totally apposed to this, we will have representation to eh unitary council that is sufficient.
2196	Whist I appreciate steps need to be taken to deal with the current population boom, and jobs and homes, I am very concerned indeed that these steps need to be taken regionally and nationally - to curb even reduce our population. The country is overcrowd
2197	growth just leads to more global warming which is our major problem - what we need to do to reduce the population
2199	Road planning must be the key to all these proposals, existing residents must be protected from eh huge increase in traffic on the existing roads serving their communities
2200	what really worries me is your complete disregard for housing to rent aimed at young local people. My so and his wife have had to rent at over priced rates and from a land lord for the last 5 years. Because they have no children wither (medically not pos

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2201	As the housing market has reached a clump and even main government is likely to reduce its targets, why build when it is unlikely that developers will get buyers. If you are trying to make Salisbury into a more industrial city rather than a tourist area
2202	instead of large green field sites as maintained SVF could identify and utilise inner city brown field sites of which there are may. The Gas works side at Coldharbour Road Gas Lane. SDC should also take a less restrictive and obstruction new to applicat
2203	Affordable not needed in Zeals.
2203	I feel that discussions need to take place across county borders. In Zeal the previously proposed development could have almost me with the proposal for Burton, Dorset creating a huge site. It was also mentioned then that Zeal's can absorb development a
2204	I have greave concerns about the extra traffic and feel that major road improvements will be essential. There muss be no developments not eh floodplains or anything which will in any way damage the local rivers which are actually national treasures
2205	this magazine appeared confused and mixed up, and did not get out a simple message
2206	I believe there is a major flaw in the proposal to put parking to the city edge and yet increase the number of visitors. Visitors will want to see the city before parking to park on the outskirts will discourage this. Also local people who shop in Salis
2207	we strongly object to the provision for gypsies and travellers UNLESS they pay an economic rental for such facilities. Traffic calming is not necessary, enforcement of speed limits is. WE do not want a transfer of employment from Churchfields to Netherh
2208	As we are in a recession at the moment, businesses are folding in Salisbury, jobs are fewer and far between. Who is going to buy new homes without work/job? Unless Salisbury can attract a major injection of employers into the city there doesn't appear to
2210	1) Congratulations on retaining the 40ft rule, anything else would have been disastrous. 2) Is there any way of making the often derelict space above Salisbury shops not affordable accommodation? It would make the city centre a livelier and safer place an
2211	I do not mind houses and places of work and better public transport, for the future of up and coming families and kids. As long as the houses don't look out of place and take away the Wiltshire views and places of lovely sites, Wiltshire is a lovely place
2212	Although not entirely clear from this document I assume that any development on the Hindon Lane site will result in the reduction by a minute number of the 250 houses in Tisbury. SDC will be under pressure to consider windfall numbers as part of the total
2213	would depend on planning permission given to the business that would be most suitable at that time
2214	Re page 11 : Harnham does not need an industrial estate . I appreciate the need for more houses but not by making the lives of residents in Netherhampton Road unbearable. We already suffer the noise of huge lorries, often speeding, along this road, and wi
2215	Relating to Q5 - would like to see land developed as i.e. market gardens, mushroom farms etc, to provide local produce for shops and allotments. I would like to see light industries set up with training schemes with spaces for disadvantaged/ disabled youn
2216	There seems to be no plans to develop on the Lowe gardens along the Hilton Road between the law courts and Hawthorn Close.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Houses for young families could be built around a green. Present houses to be demolished too big and outdated this area has looked s
2217	Salisbury is on the brink of developing a massive bank of housing and buildings for employment - it is the ideal (and only) opportunity to consider the Environmental Friendliness of these buildings - Please, Please be forward thinking enough to incorporat
2218	It is regretted that the planning document Our Place in the Sun is based on false promises in that the RSS higher level strategy, household projections and economic forecasts are out of date and are unsupportable by robust evidence (page 6) in particular
2219	Leave Fugglestone Road Alone! Devizes Road and the estate is busy enough.
2220	I think Salisbury District Council is totally incompetent. I am totally against increase of tourism I strongly object to paying anything towards it. On no account should the market place be used for anything other than as a market. Salisbury is supposed t
2221	Infrastructure is essential , retail important to future economic development. Southampton Road surely has potential for employment land / mixed use.
2222	Yes - reference Q3 to which I have answered no - I agree with all the proposed sites except using the central car park which would mean losing car parking spaces - Salisbury is already a nightmare to get parked on Saturdays and pre- Christmas - we need mo
2223	Development in Donhead St Andrew Easting 391968 Northing 125224
2227	Don't know areas in question sufficiently to make an informed choice but would suggest the more diversity the better, wherever it is situated.
2228	Don't know enough to judge sorry. Document not particularly easy to I suspect this will limit the number of responses you get
2230	Would be nice to have Lidl/ASDA as if like me and lots like me you don't drive, we only have limited choice of supermarkets. Andover has more than Salisbury, all within town. I agree we will need more homes especially affordable ones but surely more choi
2231	Still very annoyed about the Flying Club at Old Sarum. While in administration, the levels of noise from owners has been bearable, but elderly, noisy aircraft used for learners are a very bad influence on Salisbury, Particularly Stratford. If the flying c
2232	Really important to develop the business park idea for Harnham sensitively as the landscape is so beautiful - lots of tree planting. Costs of building on elevated land?
2234	Central government must drastically reduce the number of people settling in this country as a whole to a small number 5000 people maximum from the present number of 250,000 to make the population more sustainable in Wiltshire and the UK as a whole.
2234	We do not need any more housing in Mere. During the past 20 years we have had over 300 houses built. So many houses would completely ruin our rural town and its quiet character. The solution to cut immigration to nothing, or, at most to a few thousand pos
2235	Unnecessary duplication has unacceptably increased the length of this document (e.g. page 18)
2237	Main concern is loss of Central Car Park spaces. Park and Ride is not the complete answer.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2238	I think Salisbury is large enough as it is. Traffic is already bad and there should be no more development.
2239	I don't know what elderly people think in general about their free bus passes but it must mean that sometimes buses run on no fares. I feel it would be fairer if we had to pay half. However, this may be difficult now that there are more grey heads in the
2240	Light industry - suggest Mushroom Farms. They're quiet and environmentally friendly. If you develop the Maltings where will people park? You cannot say people cant bring cars into city centre - its just not practical. Where is all this industry going to c
2241	We agree that further housing, including affordable housing is acceptable in Mere but feel strongly that the maximum should be 250 rather than the 300 new homes suggested. We would object to more than 250 on the grounds that it would have too detrimental
2242	Please make sure that the Recycling Centre is moved from its current position on the Churchfields Estate. It is poorly resourced, space for parking is dreadful, long queues develop quickly thus blocking roads on the estate. On some occasions I have had to
2243	1) Climate change is mainly due to world population growth. There needs to be a firm plan to bring local population growth in 40 years say. The logic of more homes for more people, more people for more jobs, more jobs for more economic growth is flawed. 2
2244	I believe the best way to address housing shortages in this overcrowded country is to ensure fair distribution of housing stock. This could be achieved through both local & national taxation on second home ownership (holiday homes) and targeting property
2245	The mistake of building an inner road and not completing it should not be repeated by developing more light industry sites within and just outside the city boundary thus causing more gridlock on roads that are not designed or capable of dealing with exist
2246	Whiteparish - (ref Key changes to core strategy) p5. Without care use of any highlighted land in this village, the whole ethos and way I live would change here. Yes, we have the A36 & A27 routes. Yes we have pubs and a school, but we have a situation alr
2246	Whiteparish is not a 'main village' and must retain it's character - further development will affect character, school standards and will encroach on the New Forest National Park
2247	Q2,3,4 &5 i see no point at all in bringing new industry etc into this area which will encourage more people to want to move into the are when there is already a severe housing shortage and the price of housing is so high a local couple both working ful
2248	I think transport is of paramount importance and great strides have been made in Park and Ride Facilities. I should like to see Exeter St (in part) New St, Crane St, Catherine St closed to all cars now extended and there roads only open to taxis and buses
2249	As an 83 year old the future does not affect me personally I definitely agree with your planning proposals when I consider the younger families in the area. One thing which I find extremely difficult to cope with is the very bad states of pavements in aro
2250	I find this consultation process totally unfounded and un-necessary. You have paid officers and elected councillors to appraise the needs and requirements. It strikes me that this consultation like the one on the replacement council offices is yet another
2251	I think the idea of planning Salisbury area without a complete overhaul of the roads is ridiculous. Look at the history of planning in

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Salisbury and you will see all the mistakes are due to access I.e. Churchfields Southampton Road the ring road all you w
2252	I believe that there are 750,000 unoccupied homes in the UK but they are of the wrong kind to meet present demand (cost size etc). This mismatch should be tackled. Within S. Wiltshire we seem unable to provide facilities except in the largest communities.
2253	We live in Rambridge crescent, how will I building effect our privacy at the rear of our property. We bought thinking that we lived on the edge of town far away enough to be able to live in a country environment. We would be devastated to find this taken
2254	General Point: I found the phraseology in this planning document very loose, superficial and, I suspect deliberately non-specific. This means there is a great danger that agreement by a respondent to any question posed by the authors runs the risk of bein
2255	The portfolio holder - what a grandiose title. Can you live up to it?, if you do not improve on the results of the previous Planner probably without portfolios, then Salisbury City dwellers are in for more disappointments. Salisbury City is not just a ce
2256	With considerable interest my wife and I read the document Our Place in the Future. This is a lengthy work, duly illustrated with attractive pictures of present day Salisbury and the surrounding areas, and it clearly ,seeks to address concerns held by a
2257	The development of the Central Car Park will effectively kill off business in the city centre. Park and ride has its place, but is not the complete answer. For evidence of this, survey the usage of Park and Ride after 9.00 and before 5pm. Buses are virtua
2258	All us older inhabitants of Salisbury are very concerned about being able to park in the future. It is not possible for us to carry heavy weekly shopping home on a bus, although the bus is fine for normal shopping. There is hardly any mention about parkin
2259	At the moment within a mile or so of Salisbury City centre, green fields and countryside surround us. This is one of the main attractions of living here, and this is what makes Salisbury so special. The temptation to build an enormous amount of housing (
2260	0 Question5 gives no room for the other uses apart from yours - and graph is not very helpful as not detailed enough. Industry is out of character with the area. Harnham has a garden centre and livestock centre - up the road there is a racecourse and oppo
2261	Living in Mere it would be hard for me to disagree with any of the preferred options. I do think you have presented it all very well in Our Place in the Future. However all the people living in all these new houses need a place to work, school and play. P
2262	The whole concept is false. Current take up of allotted space is poor. Shops in Amesbury & Salisbury are blighted by the idiotic traffic systems and poorly located parking areas. More attention MUST be given to the infrastructure Co-Operative stores kille
2263	Affordable housing is an expression that implies many things and means nothing. It is therefore difficult to comment on para (a) on page 6 without a better, more down to earth definition of the term than the wooly one provided on page 6. For example: does
2264	Bad Idea - Building on the Central Car Park area would be a disaster. There is not enough parking in the city & not enough parking at the railway station. It will drive shoppers away to out of town developments - leaving Salisbury centre to coffee shops a
2266	We want to encourage tourists to come to Salisbury - Having nowhere to park gives people a bad impression before they've even got

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	into town. You cant expect everyone to use Park and Ride. I expect a lot of people arrive in town before realising that parki
2267	1 - Salisbury by pass necessary 2- Dual carriageway at Stonehenge needed 3- Large complex for sport / dance / leisure at Amesbury site needed. Full athletic track and field, hockey, football astroturf - dual use. Netball pitches, Dance Studios. 4- Keep a
2269	I support a max of 50 houses being built in Alderbury over the next 20 years. This is more in line with the statement made in the Village Plan
2270	Favour dispersal strongly. All new Buildings to have accompanying infrastructure as part of planning permission.
2271	We appreciate the clarity of the plan Our Place in the Future. The proposed maximum of 50 house to be built in Alderbury has our support as it will preserve the rural character of our village for the foreseeable future. The village must retain its integri
2272	Presumably when the Churchfields estate enters its new phase, the council waste disposal site will be moved. Could some thought be given to re-designing of the facility? A one-way system for cars would be a great help: the present arrangement is far too c
2273	I am resident in Gomeldon. I am attempting to respond to the September 2008 Our Place in the Future magazine. Q1: Whilst on pages 6-8 you rightly identified needs – I am unclear to what the suggested steps I should be commenting on. Q2 & 3: Whilst
2275	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future, which suggest another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2275	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future, which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2276	I am deeply angered at the proposals to 1045 build additional properties at Archers Gate. There is no requirement for additional houses in Amesbury due to the lack of civilian jobs in the area. Of the current development, approximately 25% have been bough
2276	I am deeply angered over the proposals to an additional 1045 houses at Archers Gate. Archers Gate is a development in different phases an amount of about 500 homes are already passed for construction when the housing market picks up again. There are curre
2277	SDC and it planners should take note of the decision of the planning inspector who conducted the enquiry into the development of Archers Gate, Amesbury, a few years ago before formulating outlandish plans for the future.
2278	To give us a sustainable future for the next 20 years and beyond, Salisbury's overriding need is for local employment. To this end we need to clearly designate suitable employment land. These housing numbers come from the RSS, which is nationally imposed.
2279	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2280	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2281	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2282	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2283	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2283	Re Proposal for additional houses at Archers Gate – Our Place in the Future I am deeply angered at the proposals to 1045 build additional properties at Archers Gate. There is no requirement for additional houses in Amesbury due to the lack of civi
2284	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2285	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2286	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2287	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2288	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2289	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2290	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2291	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha
2292	I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current economic climate perha

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2293	From Peter Le Count - Chairman of South Wiltshire Economic Partnership, Ian Newman Chairman of Salisbury City Centre Management Ltd, Ian Hudson President of Salisbury and District Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Mary Webb Chairman of Federation of Small
2293	Response to public consultation: Our place in the Future: LDF core Stately Preferred Options The identification, allocation and protection of land for employment use is a critical issue for Salisbury and South Wiltshire. The importance of this to the
2298	Response to public consultation: Our place in the Future: LDF core Stately Preferred Options The identification, allocation and protection of land for employment use is a critical issue for Salisbury and South Wiltshire. The importance of this to the
2299	Response to public consultation: Our place in the Future: LDF core Stately Preferred Options The identification, allocation and protection of land for employment use is a critical issue for Salisbury and South Wiltshire. The importance of this to the
2300	My wife and I wish to express, in the strongest terms, our deep unease at the impact of building 510 new homes in the Mere Community Area over the next 20 years. We understand that this figure has been determined by the need to conform to the Regional Spa
2300	Total lack of due process. Disagree with preferred option 51 and 53. There are too many houses in the proposal and there is already employment land that is underused.
2301	Reference Our Place in the Future I write to express my very real concern about the proposals for Archers Gate in your publication Our Place in the Future, which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable.
2302	The term affordable housing is very bleak it should not be given to social as this will disrupt the WHOLE neighbourhood which at the moment is pleasant and friendly, imagine having a load of jobs living here house prices will fall & crime is more than lik
2303	Re: Our Place in the Future 1045 Extra Houses at Archers Gate As a resident with a young family I am quite concerned about your proposal. Especially of the prospect that at least 40% will be affordable. In these uncertain times I fear that in fac
2304	Broadly speaking the assessments for the future seems sound.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Preserving the local landscape and environment is essential with sensible development. I feel there is a need for VERY LIGHT development in some smaller villages in order to give the opportuni
2305	Re- Our Place in the Future – extra houses at Archers Gate I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which 40% will b
2307	Re: Proposed additional houses at Archers Gate – I am writing to express my grave concerns about the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future. Archers Gate is a new development and it is still only half way through its pr
2308	Having returned to Salisbury after sixty-six years away – part of the time near Exeter – I plead with you to get the flow of traffic right before thrusting more development upon people. A new ring road is required to the North and one is needed to the Sou
2309	The number of new houses should include the infill and the redevelopment of existing sites by the demolition of sole units and the replacement with several units.
2310	The number of new homes should include all infill and redevelopment of existing sites by demolition of single units and replacement with several units.
2311	1. Road system and parking in Salisbury (and other towns) must be expanded to provide facilities for additional traffic from new homes. 2. Application of R2 funds must be more flexible. At present this resource is being wasted / not fully utilised as pro
2312	Re: Our Place in the Future – extra houses at Archers Gate I am writing to express my very grave concern over the proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future, which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum
2313	Re proposed additional houses at Archers Gate – Our Place in the Future I am to advice concerns about the councils proposals for Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future. Archers Gate is a new development and it is still only half way t
2314	Re Proposal for additional houses at Archers Gate – Our Place in the Future I am deeply angered at the proposals to 1045 build additional properties at Archers Gate. There is no requirement for additional houses in Amesbury due to the lack of civi
2315	Re Proposal for additional houses at Archers Gate – Our Place in the Future I am deeply angered at the proposals to 1045 build additional properties at Archers Gate. There is no requirement for additional houses in Amesbury due to the lack of civi
2316	I do not agree with moving more industry to the Harnham area and the Netherhampton Road.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	As so much of the heavy traffic either comes from or is bound for Southampton via the A36, most of the traffic will end up going through Harnham and the already over
2316	I was astounded to hear of the plan to build another Business / industrial site on the Netherhampton Road as part of the Salisbury Core Strategy Plan. At the moment the two existing sites, i.e. the new Business Park and the Trading Site both have numerous
2317	Orcheston is not suitable for any development in excess of 5 homes in one block. There is no infrastructure/ road/ shop/ pub/ doctor. Somerton can accept infill development e.g. 5-10 homes in gaps but not 100-200 homes in a new development as per your
2318	We in Harnham cannot cope with the volume of traffic now, we have difficulty coming out of our road at peak times. We certainly don't want Churchfields coming here with all the huge car transporters and other huge container lorries. My friend and I we
2319	As a lifetime resident of Salisbury, I notice a great many properties, which are left vacant for many years leading to vandalism and general dilapidation. These buildings would make excellent flats, bed sits, student accommodation etc. For example, the I
2320	You quote that you understand our thoughts and need, as usual these are just words on paper. A great deal of housing going up is quite nice but built and crammed in beside other buildings. I call it modern day slums. I saw better buildings in the London su
2321	Re – Our Place in the Future – extra houses at Archers Gate I am writing to let you know of my concerns over the proposals for the above which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 40% will be affordable. In the current eco
2322	The geographical and environmental of the Salisbury and Amesbury area with the close proximity of downland largely utilised for family precludes any major expansion for new employment & housing to utilise Salisbury, as an area for systematically increased
2323	Re – Our Place in the Future – extra houses at Archers Gate I am writing to express my very great anxiety over the proposals for Archers Gate your document Our Place in the Future, which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a minimum 4
2324	I have attended recent village and Parish Council meeting when the Core Strategy document has been discussed. One meeting it has to be said was poorly attended, despite being widely publicised. The Parish council meeting last week was the subject, I belie
2325	We are short of gas, water and electricity. The reason is simple, too many people. This country particularly its roads are overpopulated. Against the redevelopment of China and India any futile attempt at controlling climate change is a waste of time an
2326	1. The city has a good tourist outlook, so keep improvement, like Summer Flower office at Guildhall

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	2. Good retirement homes, to free up old [people in 3 bed homes
	3. Roads are very poor condition, repairs fail within days (Lawrence road example, check
2327	With regard to Churchfields, which I have already suggested to Salisbury vision Consultation, which is located in one of the most beautiful parts of Salisbury, is to develop it as the centre of culture of city. Magnificent concert hall together with a the
2328	1. It could be recognised that in the new economic climate, creating affordable homes by the 40% affordable rule imposed on developers may become pointless, since for every 100 homes built 60 will be un-sellable. It may mean that overall housing numbers
2329	The amount of housing is far more than our area needs and it hasn't been though trough. The Western Area should be allowed to grow organically according to the needs of the community and the infrastructure. This imposition of houses in Mere is putting the
2329	There is a serious lack of parking in Mere to the extent that it is already frequently difficult to get a parking space when visiting the doctor's surgery. 510 more homes could mean up to 1000 more cars. No parking means Mere shops will not benefit from a
2330	Looking in the Book of Mere and reading about its history every one would realize that here are many old and beautiful buildings of great interest. Please do not spoil them by building new houses crammed together with tiny gardens and no room for trees. A
2331	I strongly disagree with Fugglestone Red development, but I'm sure you will take no notice so bear in mind. · A360 & Devizes Road – poor state cant cope with extra traffic. · Fugglestone Red Roundabout – Very poor visibility, single access point needs
2332	In view of the housing downturn at present, who is going to want new houses? How are they going to pay for them without mortgages? More importantly where are they going to work? Unless you work on the land, or in retail or for the army there is very littl
2335	You have ignored most of the comments I have made before in consultation so this is POINTLESS I am worried about planning allowing creeping modern development of our old beautiful tourist sought after villages like Ansty Swallowcliffe once lost or in fil
2337	Whaddon recently had to take a new office development, supposedly to provide local employment for the village. Judging by the number of cars parked there this has not happened and we now have increased traffic and blight on the village environment. The on
2338	If the roads system in and around Salisbury is not improved – and ignored, then very shortly Salisbury will be gridlocked with the proposed expansion schemes. Indeed on most days of the week it is already self evident, especially since the continuing expa
2339	When developers are involved in infrastructure change e.g. road improvements it would be helpful if this was more widely promulgated other than the statutory planning process. E.g. Had I been aware of the roundabout at Southampton Road which I understand
2340	I do think the council should press the Government to improve the road links to Salisbury before any redevelopment starts. Road improvements should include a proper route for the A36 and improved access to the town centre with adequate parking facilities
2341	There is little demand for young people to live in Salisbury, relatively speaking. As a 27yr old I am well aware the population is ageing

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	because the jobs simply aren't here. Salaries in Salisbury are not competitive or related to expensive housing; so ma
2342	I live in Amesbury and have few ideas of my own 1. Why not make the central car park into a green space with trees and shrubs, benches for people to sit and have a sandwich at lunchtime or walk the dog. Bonnymead is too far to go for people who work in
2343	The most shocking and enduring planning decision in living memory was the decision to allow retail use of Southampton Road without providing a service road parallel to the major A36 trunk road. Although the A36 is now de-trunked it is the major artery hea
2344	To all intents and purposes, most of the content of Our Place in the Future magazine is more of the same guff we had before. It does not reflect the views of most residents in the Salisbury area. We do not need any further development over and above what
2345	Salisbury District Council. Local Development Framework – Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options – Our Place in the Future Consultation. Thank you for your consultation letter, received 22 August, regarding the Salisbury District Council Revised Prefe
2346	Market Place 1. Parking could be confined to disabled only, thus offering more space for (???) otherwise use nearest section to shops of Central Car Park. Salisbury Retail Centre 2. Explore reasons for fairly constant closure of shops – Rates too hig
2347	We have the leaflet, placed through our door, entitled Our Place in the F. and from what we have read, we feel extremely concerned about the area in which we have invested a large amount of money in buying our home. We live on Shears Drive, part of the
2348	I am enclosing herewith the form you sent – duly filled in but I have typed it again as there were some additions to make to it which I feel you should be made aware of and it is easier to read this than my handwriting. From what we see, this town has
2349	I would like to know of what new infrastructure the Council is planning for the proposed residential and business developments. There is very little mention of new infrastructure particularly roads. If the Central Car park is to be removed where all the c
2350	Please do not even consider the allotment area either side of the Avon River – from meadows – Devizes road to the rivers – along Fisherton Farm tracking pony paddocks to Cowslip Farm fields. The abundance of wild flowers is unique for walks and wheelchair
2351	New libraries and cycle ways paid for by developers do nothing to relieve the chronic road and highway congestion in much of Wiltshire. Only a totally dedicated project to build NEW highways and roads to connect and ring large areas of work and living are
2352	It's incredibly naive to think we can do all this without improving the roads – we must lobby government and tell them reports are unrealistic without them giving funding for roads including the bypass. We should look at York as similar city, which has r

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2353	To have a good future, Salisbury must learn from the past and address the present. Running side by side with the Future Plan. I feel passionately that the following must be addressed by the council as they are necessary NOW.
2354	I believe that the redevelopment of Churchfields is essential; it is not only a depressing eyesore but worst of all, is largely responsible for the huge amount of utterly unsuitable lorry traffic which clogs the whole city (and I am not saying that just b
2355	I must return to the state of the local infrastructure that on a daily basis is visibly crumbling and incapable of supporting today's traffic in particular. Park and Ride, along with the normal service buses, are incapable of adhering to published timetab
2356	The first document as long and hard to read but at least appeared democratic and informative. The latest document gives every impression of the decisions having been taken already based on how vociferous certain communities were last time. What is really
2357	Salisbury's bus station could be moved nearer to the Railway Station (e.g. to where the coaches pick up point is and nearer the Fisherton street Area. Moving the bus station creates space fro more houses in the centre of Salisbury and it means the Railway
2358	Q1 7 different a roads converge on Salisbury A's 338,354,360,345,3094,36,30. Through traffic makes life near impossible for local traffic & I have yet to see a cycle route. Money needs to be spent to solve this problem before any consideration is given t
2359	re Q5 in the above could have resulted from the fact that the proof reader failed to spot that the column headings were:- Offices Research and Light Industry
2360	The central car park should not be used for housing.
2361	Q1. No. Why have picked out gypsies and traveller's lifestyles for special mention and attention? There is, conversely, no mention of rural lifestyles and culture, no recogn
2362	Q1. Agree Q2. Agree Q3. Disagree. Do not think that using Central car park for any housing would be an option. I would prefer the option to cover a larger Mall type shopping precinct with
2363	I am writing to provide my thoughts on the long range planning policies, as outlined in "Our place in the future", which was delivered through my door recently. Salisbury and t
2364	Thank you for this informative insight into progress with your ideas. You dismiss road building and traffic glibly. I do believe that the A30 through Wilton, access to the Salisbury Station, and the Southampton Road are major structural problems for the
2365	As a former Chief Executive of a housing association, and having been involved in housing for 25 years ( a chartered surveyor by profession) the primary issue driving the need for affordable housing is the breakdown of the family unit. Instead of a typic

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2366	Q5 – none of the above activities. What about an entertainment park – Skating Rink, Bowling alley, multiplex Cinema, Swimming pool? Whatever is done please pay attention to using the correct and appropriate traffic signals and routes. At present traffic
2367	1. Housing developments must be ecologically sustainably designed to conserve energy and reduce the carbon footprint of Wiltshire. Alternative sources are more efficiently government granted & incorporated into new builds than existing housing. 2. Park a
2368	Godshill Parish Council, in the New Forest National Park, has severe concerns regarding the proposal for a major new business park at Harnham, because it would attract a great deal of traffic across the New Forest via the A338 (to avoid the extra mileage
2369	Recently I was sent a magazine entitled our Place in the Future, by the Council and comments on it were requested. No doubt you are familiar with this magazine. I am questioning strongly the need to develop the south and south east of England in the wa
2370	1) The planning assumption seem muddled sometimes: we were told we needed Solstice park to provide employment, but then told we needed more houses at Archers Gate for all the new workers – Which comes first? 2) What are the expected outflows of people fr
2371	Before any building will the main infrastructure roads and services must be in place. London road will not cope with any increased traffic and Southampton and Church Roads the same. By pass essential and empty car parking. By building properties out of
2372	I appreciate you have listened to our plea to keep villages within bands to keep a village community.
2374	1 unless the flow of traffic is greatly improved no one will be able to get to work on time. The A30 is slow – gridlocked at peak hours. 2 Housing instead of business premises, on the one time designated ASDA site would at least reduce the number of deli
2375	HAMPTON PARK. 1. When deciding the number of houses for Hampton Park you must consult Greentrees Primary School to see how much the school can expand. The number of houses must tie into school capability. 2. The shop (1 stop) is not enough for an extra
2376	Re – Our Place in the Future – extra houses at Archers Gate I am writing to let you of my great anxiety over the proposals for the Archers Gate in your document Our Place in the Future, which suggests another 1045 houses at Archers Gate of which a mini
2378	Salisbury District Council Core Strategy Thank you for consulting Test Valley Borough Council on the Salisbury District Council Our place in the Future Core Strategy document. The

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	magazine update was a clear and useful method of identifying the changes
2379	I do not think that Netherhampton Road is a suitable place to re-locate businesses from Churchfields. Harnham is a residential area with schools, playgroups, nursing and elderly care homes. We already experience heavy lorries speeding through Harnham as t
2380	I have concerns over the amount of development at Hampton Park and Old Sarum both within the Parish of Laverstock. Consideration must be given to the educational needs of the residents with planned arrangements made for schools at primary and secondar
2381	I endorse modest development of 50 houses in Alderbury over 20 years because a) of the excessive overdevelopment over the past 35 years and b) the publicity expressed wish to remain a RURAL village as opposed to an urban extension of Salisbury City.
2381	All these increases in development of any kind (domestic or business) must be dependant on improvements in traffic control – roads, flow, etc as far as Alderbury is concerned the Park and Ride at Petersfinger will benefit us not a jot. It should have been
2389	Re – Our Place in the Future – extra houses at Archers Gate I am writing to voice my grave concerns / objections regarding the proposals for Archers Gate published within your document Our Place in the Future, in particular I have great worry over the s
2390	An enormous amount of taxpayers' money is being spent on these reviews but I thought the idea of having one council instead of five was to reduce unnecessary costs so why not leave it to the Wiltshire Council to decide. In any event the ruling council cannot
2391	Affordable homes are wanted. Seems public resistance to amount of full market price housing suggested. Perhaps more exception sites to obtain numbers of affordable homes. Homes purchased should not just benefit the 1st purchaser. In rural areas must address
2391	Correspondence between Councillor Jeans and Councillor Spencer regarding rural development.
2392	Mere and Western Areas The proposal for 300 new homes in this area far exceeds the current infrastructure, schools, medical, sewers, power etc the facilities in Zeals are virtually non-existent Any new housing would involve total use of cars for work a
2393	re q5 - mixed - wholesale, retail and manufacturing.
2394	I must declare an interest as a MERE resident. Further to my previous correspondence on plans to construct houses in the west of Salisbury district, I would like to underscore my opposition to the scale of construction proposed and to question the rigour
2395	We support the concerns of Netherhampton Parish Council and have also signed a separate letter in common with several other Harnham residents expressing our misgivings and suggesting alternatives.
2396	Our place in the future - a well written document . Thank you
2398	Disagree with the 510 houses to be built in Mere and surrounding villages. Traffic already too much without added population.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2398	Mere roads are totally unsuitable for the traffic that the extra houses will incur. It will change our village to be like Gillingham. All houses and no heart.
2399	have you done an assessment of the traffic already using the Netherhampton road. I live in Montague road and can hear the noise of the heavy traffic. Even people living in Richmond way say they can hear the traffic.
2400	more local needs assessment required
2401	Response for Mere and district At Mere, the doctor's surgery has s reached its capacity, as has the schools The sewage system is overloaded. We have no car parks of any size. The side streets are full of cars parked. The main road through Mere is clog
2403	1) No local needs assessment 2) Why is Southwestern regional spatial strategy only in draft form? 3) Increasing the housing in Mere and Zeal areas will only place more strain on local infrastructure 4) Totally disagree with proposals on p14 of Our Plac
2404	We already have business sites in Mere that are underused. Without using more Greenfield land. I strongly feel we need only a modest increase of affordable housing for our local young people. Mere has not the infrastructure to cope with more. At present
2405	As apparent of a teenager I think affordable housing is vital to this area. But I do think it is so important to retain the character of the area, especially the villages that are proposed to be developed.
2407	Mere is totally unacceptable, the infrastructure is not flexible, to see such growth and I am sure any developer would shy away, from the cost of paying for (not the tax payer) to establish water, gas, electricity, sewerage to such a much larger supply sc
2408	I have lived in Mere for 21 years. I have seen it grow from a sleepy village to a town twice as large as when I came. There are many more cars and the main road through Mere is congested and busy. I live on the main road, the noise and dust is much worse
2409	Having been born in London (West Ham) 87 years ago and spent my working life in the City of London, the last thing I want now, a widow, is to be thrown into anything remotely like a business area. I a totally against Mere becoming a large housing estate o
2410	1) Appalled at the lack of due process and the quality of what little information has been provided. 2) Why is this not being revisited in light of the recent economic downturn? 3) When is a Local Needs Assessment going to be carried out? Don'ts see ho
2411	It does not appear that a thorough research has been done as to the needs of Mere, Western Area was made. It would be ridiculous

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	to put people in areas where they had to drive several miles each day to and from work. The villages are already building affo
2414	The number of new homes proposed is way too much. There are already trading estates in the area unutilised i.e. Solstice Park and new homes should be concentrated around these areas. We need to preserve our precious villages.
2415	We do not have anywhere near the facilities to sustain all these houses.
2416	Our economy is entering a very difficult period and at last the government is discussing population growth. I would suggest this is certainly the wrong area to build a huge number of houses - and possibly the wrong time.
2417	The infrastructure around Mere is totally inadequate to support more than minor development.
2418	The figure of 300 new homes for Mere is far too high. The infrastructure will not cope. There is inadequate transport and other services too.
2418	The number of new houses given for Mere exceeds the SDC needs assessment and is far more than Mere needs or can accommodate. The current infrastructure would be totally insufficient, with major spending needed on health services, education, public transpo
2419	The proposals are far too much for Mere and surrounding countryside and its infrastructure is already not coping.
2420	I am led to believe there has been no proper local needs assessment at all. Building houses just to meet targets that cannot be justified is unacceptable
2421	Whilst I accept there has to be some growth, I become increasingly concerned about the affect on infrastructure. Where for instance is the water to come from, without affecting river flow and / or water table levels affecting streams? Electric / Gas both
2422	The amount of housing proposed is far too much. Obviously we need houses to be built for the future, however this must be carefully planned in order for our local area to develop in the best possible ways. Local people should be given more consideration.
2423	1) Due process of consultation has not been observed. The SWRSS is still on in draft. Even the SDC assessment: 2006 proposed a figure less than half the total now indicated for Mere and Western area.
2424	1) We totally disagree with the preferred option 51, as the amount of housing is far more than our area needs or can accommodate. 2) We totally disagree with preferred option 53 as there already allocated employment land on the edge of Mere not taken up,
2425	Mere and the surrounding area simply does not need the housing proposed on page 14, I strongly disagree to this proposal. I also therefore totally disagree with preferred option 51. Page 14 in Our Place in the Future suggests 510 houses for Mere and Weste
2426	Q5 – this question can only be answered by a top-level strategy investigation to identify the needs of a particular area in the future. We are not here to do the government or local authorities job. We pay through the nose for others to do it for us.
2427	There is already un utilised employment land on the edge of Mere

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2428	1) The Mere and Western Area is home to significant communities of bats, reptiles, great crested newts, water voles, otters dormice and many others. Development on this scale can only harm their welfare. 2) Such housing numbers across our community are
2429	Housing proposals are far in excess of what is needed or can reasonably be accommodated Already significant employment land in Mere and Harnham which has not been taken up. Consideration should be given to wider context e.g. Gillingham only 4-5 miles fr
2430	If there should be more houses built then they should be council houses for the children of Mere to rent then buy as we did. We do not need any more over priced houses. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with any more building.
2433	We totally disagree with suggesting 510 houses in Mere and Western area over the next 20 years. This is completely disproportionate to the size of the community and infrastructure.
2434	The proposals on page 14 of Our Place in the Future suggesting 510 houses for the Mere and Western area are disproportionate to the size of the current infrastructure, and is more than 140% above the SDC's own needs assessment. No local assessment had bee
2435	1) There has been no local need assessment 2) 510 Extra houses in Mere and Western area is wholly disproportionate to the size and infrastructure of the area (see Gillingham, Road chaos etc) 3) More houses, more cars, more pollution, more logjams on A3
2436	I feel that 510 houses over 20 years is too much for the infrastructure of Mere and the Western area, and it far in excess of SDC's own needs assessment. That any houses built should be for local people and their families, too much housing in an area
2437	Q5 – this needs to be studied carefully – as extra traffic cost of petrol etc all becomes part of this - it's not a case of picking one out of the air. There must be valid reasons for choosing each site.
2438	Mere is a lovely, historical location, which is in danger of becoming a –commuter town – development, should not happen · Local employment cannot support 500 new households · Local roads not suitable (303 needs to be dual) · Local schools / service not
2439	What are you really doing about the infrastructure? Already Mere is overrun by new housing, causing traffic congestion, pollution, both of air and sound, a lack of parking. We are a very small ancient market town (really a village). We already have some
2440	I absolutely disagree with the so-called preferred Option 51 – which suggest far more than this area can accommodate easily. I also fundamentally disagree with the proposal for 510 extra houses in Mere and district. Mere was provided with a by pass (A303
2441	I am very concerned about the potential impact of developing Harnham for the relocation of Churchfields – particularly on traffic volumes and type through residential Harnham. Existing volumes are already so high that I have to leave fro work before 7.30

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2442	All development needs to ensure that the unique attractions of Salisbury are preserved and enhanced. We do not want to end up another Andover or Basingstoke or Southampton. Salisbury is very special in terms of its history, building and landscape and this
2443	Q5- this question should really be what types of business activity would be interested in relocating to these areas. A wish list is just that, it bears absolutely no relationship to what businesses may be prepared to do. At the display mounted in the Grov
2444	Churchfields was badly sited and the problem of access for customers and supplier is clear. Any new sites must therefore have good access and transport links for staff, suppliers and customers. Do all the suggested sites meet these criteria? I can only c
2445	Against proposal of 510 houses for Mere. A) Lack of due process in consultation. B) Totally disagree with Preferred Option 53 – use of brown field sites first. C) A concerted use of abandoned, boarded up, neglected properties should be employed before
2446	There was total lack of due process in the consultation on our area the Black Book proposals. I absolutely disagree with preferred option 51 there in as, the amount of housing is far more housing than our area needs or can accommodate. No reliance can be
2447	1) Mere does not have the facilities / amenities to cope with 300 odd new homes which will completely spoil the character of the village. 2) Where would new residents work? If for elderly / retired there are too many of us already and present facilities
2448	We were unable to attend the meeting but we do feel that 300 new houses in Mere alone will seriously strain the services that are in place especially sewage and schooling and as to where they are all going to work your guess is as good as ours, at the moment
2451	Q. 5. Comments; On page 15 you say Churchfields would be for mixed use development - What about the 500 homes mentioned on page 4, mixed use development?
2451	Q5. Comments:
2452	Dear All, I am writing to add my voice to the many hundreds (or even thousands) that find the housing numbers in your proposal unacceptable. What we simply cannot understand is how our own area around Zeals can be expected to accommodate so many new houses
2453	The issues facing Mere in particular, and elsewhere in SDC arise from the UK government's population growth policies over the last 50 years or so. Throughout the U.K. the disastrous consequences of open house immigration are becoming evident. More housing
2454	It would seem that your proposals are driven by an illogical central directive from? Who knows where. In my opinion you are proposing to build houses (when they are already many empty here) without due regard for all the consequences. I do not expect to go
2455	This place is ill-considered and unsustainable. 1) Where will all the new people come from? 2) Where will they work? 3) How will they get there? A) Our narrow roads and lanes are already dangerously overcrowded owing to the present volume of traffic. Th
2456	As I live in Mere my comments are based on this area. I don't have a problem with the need for new housing in the Western and

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Mere district - it is the volume of housing being proposed that seems unjustified and unsupported by any recent needs analysis (
2457	The proposal to focus the development strategy to delivery in the first five years of the Plan to Salisbury and Amesbury has a lot to commend it. However should the current recession persist the time frame may need to be restated and extended. It needs
2458	I hope that housing is being planned with coherence to the local infrastructure. If 14,000 additional people will be living in South Wiltshire will the local schools be able to cope with the entire pupils? Will the roads be able to cope with the extra
2460	Pavements in Amesbury i.e.: from Holders Road to Salisbury Road the pavement is very narrow, it can just accommodate two people passing provided they do not have any large shopping bags, buggies, or children. At the moment one meets this obstacle by fili
2461	It is my belief that any expansion of housing along the A36 routes into/out of Salisbury should not be accepted until a Salisbury ring road is built. Any development should be either to the East of Amesbury where people will either seek work towards Ando
2462	1.As there was total lack of due process in the Consultation in our area, the Black Book proposals I absolutely disagree with preferred option 51 therein. The amount of housing is far more than our area needs or can accommodate. No relevance can properl
2463	1. This is a local issue not a central government issue. 2.No due process and care has been taken to regarding the environment of the local area, the area will be unable to sustain the number of houses proposed. 3. The area will be turned into a dormit
2464	1.Preferred option 51 amount of housing far too much, the amount doesn't relate to the needs of the Mere's community. 2.Preferred option 53 no more Greenfield land needs to be commandeered. Land on the edge of Mere has already been identified as land th
2465	1. Lack of due process in the Black Book proposals. Disagree with preferred option 51, as amount of housing is far more than necessary. 2. Disagree with preferred option 53 because there is already allocated land on the edge of Mere for employment devel
2467	1. Lack of due process in the Black Book proposals. Disagree with preferred option 51, as amount of housing is far more than necessary. 2. Disagree with preferred option 53 because there is already allocated land on the edge of Mere for employment devel
2468	1. Any growth of up to 25% to a village is inappropriate. 2.At one stage Whiteparish was identified as a main village, the medical centre to **, the school cannot be expanded and the shop is only just breaking even thanks to local investment. 4. Whitepar
2469	Accept the need that there is a need for new housing and employment, but do not feel the areas chosen are appropriate. Main concerns are with Whiteparish, there have been many complaints and alternative suggestions by the residents but they seem to have
2470	Lack of due process in our area over the Black Book. Area does not need the amount of housing that is being proposed. Disagree with option 53. The doctors would be flooded with patients in Mere and other services. Has been proved that the more housing
2471	The proposed plans would completely spoil Mere. Already have a parking and traffic problem. I am strongly against the proposals. 1. Lack of due process in the Black Book proposals. Disagree with preferred option 51, as amount of housing is far more t
2472	510 houses is too many. Not against building that is genuinely tailored to local needs. There is not enough employment and by

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	building business parks does not mean that employment will be attracted to here. Development would ruin the character of the v
2474	Affordable housing should be prioritised and for those that are already part of the community, not for new in-comers. Last in the queue should be private developers. Salisbury will have to budget for increasing medical centres, sanitation requirements,
2475	Lack of due process in the consultation. Absolutely disagree with preferred option 51 as housing is excessive. Disagree with preferred option 53 - there are significant underutilised brownfield area that could be used first. Disagree with page 14, 510
2476	We are responding, but you are not listening. No real facilities for young people and ageing population are therefore the only visitors. Young families not catered for. No facilities for disabled. No green areas offered in the estates proposed for M
2477	Suggested housing numbers are far too high. Not the infrastructure nor employment to support it. In and outside of Mere there will be too much traffic. Preferred option 51 is not appropriate nor is preferred option 53. No proper consultation has taken
2478	510 houses is out of proportion, and could not be sustained. Solstice Park should be used first. We need to provide local housing only for those working locally, not for commuters.
2479	I object to the complete lack of due process in the consultation. Disagree with preferred option 51; the quantity of housing is far too high. Disagree with preferred option 53, brown field areas and Solstice Business Park should be used before Greenfield
2480	Whiteparish is small and therefore has quite significant road constraints. There is a lack of employment and facilities. The school has reached its capacity, and the roads are already very congested. Whiteparish cannot accommodate further growth. For
2482	Traffic problems on Harnham Road preclude further development. The area near the dual carriageway would be more efficient.
2483	1.Lack of due process in the Black Book proposals. Disagree with preferred option 51, as amount of housing is far more than necessary. 2. Disagree with preferred option 53 because there is already allocated land on the edge of Mere for employment develop
2485	Proposals for 510 houses is disproportionate to the size of the current community and infrastructure. Further houses to increase the population in and around Mere and Zeals would just exacerbate parking difficulties and the shops in Mere would suffer by
2486	Whiteparish is a small country village with a school, surgery, shop, and church appropriate to its size. Not equipped to deal with an increase of 20-25% of its present population. You say you don't want to change the character of the village but an incr
2487	West Wiltshire is an area of outstanding natural beauty and should be preserved as such for us and for the future generations. Already been a massive amount of building in this area. Better to develop near/in Salisbury and Amesbury where the road and r
2488	Strong opposition to the idea of the Harnham Business Park becoming the new Churchfields. Is it realistic to think that Church fields industry can just be moved? Who will you pay for this? Transport infrastructure will not cope. Harnham is already heavil
2489	The Black Book consultation was not carried out properly and I disagree with preferred options 51 and 53. I totally disagree with the proposals on page a 14, 510 house is completely over the top. I think these proposals should be rejected.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2490	No housing plans should be considered in Mere until the following have been addressed: 1) Improvement to roads and parking. 2) We have no police station and no secondary school. 3) Nearest hospital is Salisbury. 4) Home to bats, newts, otters, and dorm
2491	Lack of due process during consultation. Preferred option 51 – the amount of housing is excessive. The acquisition of the greenfields makes a mockery of the green belt concept. 510 houses is completely disproportionate.
2492	Increased housing at this level with little employment will result in the area becoming less of a real community and more of a dormitory. There has been insufficient consultation. There does need to be affordable housing for locals and their children, b
2494	Number of units to be built outside Mere would cause the village almost being destroyed. The infrastructure is not suitable to take it, if it was to be changes the whole area would become involved causing more building surrounding area.
2495	Total lack of due process taken in consultation. Absolutely disagree preferred option 53 – significant existing under utilised brown fields in Mere and also Solstice Park. Disagree with p.14 suggesting 510 homes. This is completely disproportionate to
2496	Am horrified by the prospect of over 500 homes being built in this already overpopulated area. The enormous housing developments at Gillingham have already had a huge impact on local infrastructure, changing the rural atmosphere.
2497	Its common sense that there will be a need for additional housing in future years. In an area of outstanding natural beauty such as Mere and its surroundings this must be done with sensitivity and should be modest.
2498	You have put a lot of thought into your document but your suggestions on page 14 for Mere and the Western Area are unreal.
2499	Development should take place where there is space available, i.e. Salisbury and Amesbury. There is a great need for housing, but not industry.
2500	I would like to make specific comments on Whiteparish. Page 13 of the consultation – 590 houses is completely at odds with the aim stated on page 12 paragraph e – growth will not be sit comfortable. Whiteparish does not have the infrastructure, service
2501	Stop wasting our council tax for the foreseeable future
2502	There is already allocated employment land on the edge of Mere that has never been fully taken up. The housing development is completely out of proportion to the housing needs.
2503	There was a complete lack of due process or proper consultation. Disagree with preferred option52 – far more houses than necessary with existing facilitates and roads.
2504	Recent building in Mere and Kilmington has covered the immediate needs for accommodation. Additional building in the Western Area will only lead to a strain on the local services. Mere is already having problems with traffic congestion. There is no e
2505	The plans for Mere and the Western area are excessive and huge increase on the SDC's original thoughts. There are better areas for this expansion such as Solstice Park and Salisbury.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2506	The consultation has been flawed because no effort was made to consult local residents. No evidence for the proposals.
2507	Lack of due process in the consultation. Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation. Also there is much unused employment land already.
2508	510 houses needs a rethink. The villages should have their character protected. The infrastructure in these areas are poor and inadequate to cope with more people. Clear alternative to expand already main areas such as Swindon, as their infrastructure
2509	Transport assessment needs to take place with such developments. Issues for the capacity and safety for the roads should be identifies as well as environmental issues.
2510	Extremely difficult to answer YES or NO to the above questions. My objections are that the proposals are over ambitious. The proposals of 12400 homes across the HMA is too high. I object to the 3775 dwellings that are proposed to being built in the fir
2512	Retention and enhancement of existing landscape needs to be considered.
2514	Lack of due process in the consultation. Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation. Parking is already a problem.
2516	Consultation was flawed. Disagree with preferred options 51 and 53. Far more houses than any local needs. Will only increase traffic and pollution.
2517	Harnham Business Park will not be able to cope with associated traffic, nor will the surrounding roads.
2518	Whiteparish: virtually no employment, poor public transport, full school, poor public transport, therefore housing will not be accommodated. A maxim of 5 or 6 houses should be built a year. Village atmosphere will be lost. Far too many houses propos
2519	Mere and other local villages do not have the road infrastructure to support additional cars. It is already difficult and at times dangerous to get from one end of Mere to the other.
2520	Consultation was negligible. Preferred option 51 is in excess of what can be accommodated. Preferred option 53 is also unacceptable as there is already employment land that is not being used. There is not enough employment and the infrastructure will b
2521	Lack of due process in the consultation. Amount of housing is too much
2522	Mixed use developments may well have the advantage of more affordable housing which is needs, but it will create vast amounts of traffic.
2523	The number of houses proposed in Whiteparish is too high, changing the village forever. The village would not object to modest growth, which preserves the character of the village. Infrastructure and services will not cope.
2524	Harnham Business Park and Churchfields need careful traffic management. The design of the employment sites should also have standards.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2525	Highway agency must be involved in coming up with traffic solutions.
2526	Highway agency must be involved in coming up with traffic solutions.
2527	100 houses is too many for Whiteparish. Would not be possible to keep rural nature of the village with this many more houses. The proximity of the National Park needs to be considered.
2528	Whiteparish: number of new houses in unsustainable. I strongly object to any development that is more than modest. There is limited employment and schooling as well as transport.
2529	Modest increase in housing has already taken place in Tisbury. This has increased the traffic problems. With increased housing and population this problem will only become worse.
2530	Lack of due process in the consultation. Preferred option 51 is disproportionate to the needs of the area. There has been no growth in employment. The infrastructure is insufficient.
2531	Preferred option 53 is nonsense. Existing employment land that has not been used yet in these areas. 510 houses is excessive for Mere and surroundings. These houses will damage the rural character of the village. Should be evolution not revolution. A
2532	Our previous objections seem to have been ignored with regards to the building of houses in Whiteparish. The housing number is incomprehensible. Whiteparish has already had development, and cannot accommodate any more. Cannot sustain the amount of g
2533	No thoughts been given to those who chose to live in this rural area. Who under 60 would choose a rural area with no work, hospitals or theatres, no shops as they have had to close and no schools.
2534	All I can see is new Sainsbury's store and luxury apartments along the river bank.
2535	Already allocated employment land on edge of Mere not taken up at all. More housing is not needed. Apart from a small need for affordable housing for locals. Parking in Mere is already difficult.
2536	Mere has already had 3 major developments over the past few years and cannot cope with anymore.
2537	More housing will cause problems with schools, doctors etc. The last lot of houses built took over a year to sell indicating a lack of demand. Mere has a problem with traffic congestion as well parking; it will only be made worse with the increase in
2540	Since 1984 there has been too much building in Mere. The residents have contributed nothing to the community, except increase traffic. There is very little employment and facilities.
2542	Disagree with the proposals on p.14. 510 houses in the Mere and Western area is completely disproportionate to the existing community. No local needs assessment, if one were done the only need that would be apparent is the need for a modest amount of a
2545	High unemployment, what is the point in making it worse?
2546	Local houses for local people. There are not enough jobs to sustain large amounts of houses.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2547	Seems consultations are all very well, but once done the authorities consult, review, don't listen and then proceed as they please. The communities are ignored.
2549	Its all too much.
2550	Lack of due process in the consultation. There is much unused employment land already. Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation
2551	I have not been given any answer to my repeated questions as to why the SDC consultation which ends in this Friday is based on housing numbers contained in the GOSW RSS
2551	I have not been given any answers on my repeated questions as to why the sdc consultation which ends this Friday is based on housing numbers contained in the gosw rss, which itself is still only in draft form, and which is out to public consultation which
2551	Whole structure has been sadly lacking. Mere and Western residents were kept in the dark about the proposals. Unanimous votes in the meeting 400 people in April that no due process had been taken. 1.Lack of due process in the Black Book proposals. D
2552	Lack of due process in the consultation. There is much unused employment land already. Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation
2553	South Wiltshire is not homogenous; each village has its own character. Mere has a friendly, supportive and generally cohesive character and is a community, which services many of its own needs. Further expansion has a danger of disrupting social network
2554	Lack of due process in the consultation. There is much unused employment land already, therefore preferred option 53 is ridiculous. Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation. Preferred option 51 is disproportionate
2555	Lack of due process in the consultation. There is much unused employment land already, therefore preferred option 53 is ridiculous. Amount of housing is too much. More than Salisbury District Councils estimation. Preferred option 51 is disproportionate
2556	Preferred option 51 is disproportionate to the needs of the area. There is not need for preferred option 53 as there is much unused employment land at Solstice Park. 510 houses for Mere is excessive. Parking and traffic is already a problem. The natural
2557	Support the concept of mixed use developments within Churchfields. Welcome the proposal of employment in Fugglestone Red and Old Sarum as housing will need to be balanced. Employment should be phased in. Transport assessment would need to be made.
2558	Completely opposed to the plan. Mere is already at saturation point with new houses. A303 grinds to a halt each weekend because there is already too much traffic. There are no local needs for more housing. No local needs assessment. We do not want mo
2559	Outcome of previous LDF consultation has shown the high value that people place on the environment and character of Salisbury. Need to specify more what needs to be protected.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2559	People place high value on the environment.
2560	Design of communities requires evidence and assessment of impacts through modelling on the lines of development for a society. These are employment, housing, retail, education and leisure.
2561	Whiteparish: The number houses are disproportionate and will have a huge impact on our village. Sustainable development should be judged in the context of the current situation. The school is already at full capacity, there is inadequate public transport
2562	District council can be amended on its response. Object to statement on p.13 that Downton should comprise of a main service centre.
2564	Please don't spoil the Mere and Western Area.
2565	Where do you find the black book as before this form came through I had known nothing about this.
2566	Preferred option 51 is disproportionate to the needs of the area. There is no need for preferred option 53 as there is much unused employment land at Solstice Park. 510 houses for Mere is excessive. No facilities for young or old
2569	Assumptions of employment opportunities in Mere are wrong. Already employment development areas in Mere that have not been fully used. 510 houses in Mere is ridiculous, and there has been no local needs assessment. The heart will be taken out of Mere.
2570	Believe that any new housing needs to be built within existing housing areas as infill - not on green or brown field land. Furthermore all housing should be built in sustainable locations.
2571	100+ houses for Whiteparish IS NOT modest. Employment opportunities in Whiteparish are limited and more houses will equal more commuter. Too much traffic already.
2572	More houses = more cars, and with limited jobs and schools there will be far more travelling.
2574	PO 47 fails to provide a strategy that would meet the qualitative needs for food shopping for Amesbury residents. A more flexible approach should be adopted.
2575	Mere will become a commuter town. Solstice Park and other brownfield sites should remain the sites for development instead of scattering them randomly. Proposals are far from addressing housing needs.
2576	Difficult to believe that Mere and the area west of Salisbury needs all this housing. A proper consultation needs to be carried out addressing schooling and roads.
2576	Mere has already been overdeveloped and the local infrastructure is now totally unable to keep up with the demands. There is little employment here, the transport system is a joke, and there are no facilities for the young. There has been no local needs
2577	The present economic climate suggests the whole question of housing should be revisited including capacity of people, employment opportunities.
2578	Whiteparish: allocation proposals are incompatible.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2579	There is not need for preferred option 53 as there is much unused employment land at Solstice Park. 510 houses for Mere is excessive, I believe 510 would be sufficient.
2580	Why is plan 140%above the SDC's. Parking/infrastructure focused around shops and businesses leading to sustainable community.
2581	Traffic needs to be considered.
2582	Would like to see the issue of Stonehenge/dual carriageway issue.
2583	Whiteparish: Cannot be viewed as main village - has very limited facilities and thus significant additional housing would be unsustainable. Modest affordable housing development is acceptable.
2584	Agreed that Mere is an important local services centre that provides a good level of service to surrounding area. The settlement is well suited for both housing and employment development.
2585	Tisbury should be identified as a local centre, as it is suitable for further growth.
2586	Whole thing needs to be revisited. Everyone involved must stand back and take a big breath.
2587	We are encouraged to express our opinion, but we are never heard. Whiteparish has one shop, one school, and very congested roads. Don't see how this proposed growth will sit comfortably as the document says.
2588	We are encouraged to express our opinion, yet it is not listened to. We have limited employment, one shop, one school, and very congested roads. These new proposals will not sit comfortably.
2589	Use of rail land?
2590	Infrastructure needs to be taken into consideration.
2591	Important that the allocation of homes will include windfall and infill. Infrastructure must not be overwhelmed and character of village needs to be preserved.
2593	Should be no new buildings in the Market Square. There is not need for a new tourist information centre. No need to rebuild or relocate the library.
2594	Lack of consultation over this document. Has been no local needs assessment.
2595	Disapprove of the proposals for Whiteparish. There is limited employment, a small school, one local shop and already a problem with traffic congestion. Therefore such proposals will not be accommodated.
2596	Believe over 200 houses have been built since the construction of the A303 bypass. Traffic is already heavy and parking is very limited.
2597	Plenty of employment land on outer edge of Mere that is not used. 510 is far too many houses, and is disproportionate.
2598	Proposal is far more than area needs and can accommodate.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2599	Planners seem to want to make the problem of Salisbury even worse.
2600	Strongly object to the development of the business park at Harnham. Pollution will increase and so will traffic.
2601	What jobs will people be doing? What are the resources in the area that will attract people. People will not attract employment this is putting the cart before the horse. Are the transport and other facilities going to be able to cope?
2602	Councillors and planner will do this developing regardless of what we say. This exercise is just to prove democracy.
2604	Agree that some need for housing, but the amount proposed is far too high. The proposals show the lack of consultation that has been carried out. These amounts will be unsustainable.
2605	Mere facilities are strained anyway. There is no work, roads are full, and no public transport. The proposals will not be sustainable.
2606	No proper consultation in the first place. Far more housing than is needed. Not enough employment, services, infrastructure and already too much traffic.
2607	definitely NO PROCESS OF CONSULTATION. Disagree with option 51 as it is too much. There is already significant amounts of employment and brownfield so don't agree with 53. Totally disagree with 510 houses.
2608	This top down thinking and would be better with a bottom up approach.
2609	No objection to a reasonable amount of development, but the number suggested are far too high. Villages will become faceless towns, and facilities will not cope.
2610	Housing on this scale will totally destroy the community. Not to mention the strain on local services.
2611	Mere has unused and available employment land already. 510 houses is too many A development of modest size would be fine.
2612	No local needs assessment, disagree with option S1 and S3 - housing proposed is excessive and detrimental to Mere
2612	Proposals lacked due process. Disagree with option 53. There is already too much traffic.
2613	Already allocated employment land locally. 510 houses for Mere is excessive. Infrastructure in Mere inadequate to cope with extra traffic, schools, parking etc.
2614	Parking, roads, sewage treatment, and water will be insufficient for developments. Mere has already had considerable development in the last 15 years also. The developments will lower the charm and character of the villages.
2615	There has already been enough development, and as a result shops have closed down and roads have become congested. We cannot accommodate more.
2616	Amount of housing is far too high. Increase in traffic will become a big problem. Our village will lose its heart and shops will not do well if we cannot park to use them.
2618	Only infilling should take place in The Mere and Western Area, as it is an area of Outstanding National Beauty

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2619	Houses should be built in urban areas instead for rural, RSS information is not correct
2620	RSS is flawed - over optimistic growth rate for new houses
2621	RSS flawed - overestimated number of homes
2622	We do not have the infrastructure to support so many new houses unless they're affordable
2623	RSS fatally flawed
2624	Whiteparish is not a 'main' village as stated, and should remain rural and retain character - wrong site
2625	Infrastructure along the A3094 makes development unsuitable - existing congestion, high HGV frequency and other traffic and transport issues
2626	The key must be sustainable development
2627	Too many homes imposed on the Western Area - local infrastructure cannot cope
2628	Derelict employment land at Station Works, Tisbury should be used for mixed development. Road infrastructure will not cope and the growth will not be sustainable. Tourism will be affected.
2629	Revised sustainability appraisal is currently underway to test strategic sites, the SFRA level 2 should be completed for the sites identified above.
2630	Infrastructure and services will not cope.
2632	With regards to the A3094 route into Salisbury, it is important to retain the rural and the residential character of the road.
2636	Need more than 24 new houses in Zeals.
2637	Large building estates are not a good idea. More in favour of small developments so community spirit can be maintained.
2638	Disagree with proposals on page 14. 510 houses in completely disproportionate. There has been no local needs assessment. Not sufficient infrastructure. It will ruin the areas beauty, if any development is required it should be on existing brownfield I
2639	No more housing is needed.
2640	The developments will ruin the beauty and would cause too much traffic.
2641	Disagree with proposals on page 14. 510 houses in completely disproportionate. There has been no local needs assessment. Not sufficient infrastructure. It will ruin the areas beauty, if any development is required it should be on existing brownfield I
2644	What about transport, employment, and services? Houses need to be built where the employment.
2645	Lack of due process. Option 51 is far exaggerated.
2646	When you say that Mere needs another 500+ houses, does that reflect the fact that Shaftesbury is to have 700+ in a shorter time frame? Also would like to know whether you take into account of all the infill housing that has already been granted permissio

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2647	No current shortage of houses.
2648	Infrastructure is limited, but we do need development therefore it needs to be done carefully.
2649	Lack of die process. Disagree with option 51. The numbers are disproportionate on page 14.
2651	510 houses is far too much, 200 is a better figure. Traffic is already a problem, development of housing is only going to make the problem worse.
2652	Do not have employment to support new population. The whole process appears to be floored.
2653	Need more affordable housing.
2655	Housing for locals should be top priority. Our villages are unique and should be protected.
2656	Hurdcott is not a settlement, it is a delightful Hamlet. Is essential that the character is maintained. Better to put the homes proposed in Amesbury.
2657	Hampton Park: Accept additional housing is needed. Needs to done with caution.
2658	East Knoyle already infilled to the maximum. Infrastructure is already struggling.
2659	Proposals are inappropriate. There isn't the infrastructure to support this development.
2660	Do not support the proposals.
2661	Document seems impressive. We need development but it needs to modest (Whiteparish).
2662	These are not local needs. Amount of housing is far high.
2663	No room for 510 houses in Mere and Western Area.
2664	How are you going to meet the demand for parking with the central car park gone? There will be too much pressure on our infrastructure.
2665	Strongly disagree with options 51 and 53. 510 houses is far too many.
2667	Consultation period was not long enough. The infrastructure will need to be improved to cope.
2668	Consultation too short. Already much unused brown field areas. Infrastructure will not cope unless expanded. Local employment does not demand more housing.
2670	How can areas of greenfield be made into housing estates?
2671	Where is the infrastructure?
2672	Not enough infrastructure. Small developments of affordable housing would be fine.
2674	Do not agree with options 51 and 53. Too many houses for Mere and Western area.
2675	Areas are constrained by road capacity. House number are too high.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2676	Traffic is already a problem for the access of business parks. Will only get worse with the developments.
2677	510 houses for Mere and Western is completely disproportionate. No local needs assessment.
2678	Disagree with option 51 and 52.
2683	We do not need more houses in Mere. More houses to rent are needed for younger people.
2684	Disagree with option 51. Consultation lacked due process. Housing needs are not in keeping with the size or character of the village. Also oppose option 53.
2685	Housing proposals are excessive.
2687	Disagree with option 51 and 53. Housing proposals for Mere and Western is completely disproportionate.
2688	Against the proposed number of houses. This is a real community and it will be destroyed by large developments.
2689	Total lack of due process. Already enough allocated employment land.
2690	A local needs assessment needs to be carried out. Affordable housing for local people only. Already much allocated employment land. There is much more room in Gillingham including a very large school.
2692	Housing numbers are excessive. There is not enough employment as such developments will ruin the rural character of the village.
2693	Cannot see the need for so many houses. Current houses are not selling.
2694	Number of houses proposed is excessive.
2695	Lack of due process, disagree with options 51 and 53. Also roads and infrastructure will not cope.
2696	Whiteparish: page 13 – 590 houses are proposed for the Southern area. Incompatible with the paragraph e of the consultation. Will be devastating to the community. Would appear the concerns for our village has been completely disregarded. Whiteparish s
2698	Whiteparish has significant road constraints and a rural character that needs to be maintained. There is little to no employment and limited services. The proposed increases are therefore excessive and unsustainable.
2699	Infrastructure in Mere will not cope. The creation of so many homes is completely inappropriate.
2702	Disagree with option 51 and 53. There are too many houses in the proposals and there is already unused allocated land for employment.
2704	Road infrastructure will not cope with the extra road vehicles.
2705	Lack of consultation in the relevant areas.
2706	Wherever the developments take place traffic must be considered.
2708	Mrs Jenkins and 429 others. At our recent Public Meeting it was agreed to ask all households if they would support the restriction of all new building developments within our village. Our community has steadily grown from just over 800 residents to well

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2709	T. Lodge and 291 others. Dear Councillor Clegg, As one of the several hundred residents of Harnham and Netherhampton I wish to draw to your attention my views, regarding the proposed plan to develop a major new business park at West Harnham. I feel tha
2710	The current business parks are underused and believe the reason for this is because businesses do not find the area attractive for business. There is already too much congestion on the A3094, any developments will only make this worse. Concerned about t
2711	The Assembly will endeavour to respond within the consultation period.
2713	We do not have the resources to respond to consultations unless they concern matters which are directly related to the work of EHRC.
2714	Comments following the public meeting at Greentrees school on the 8 October 2008. 500 houses between Hampton Park and Ford is too high. My main concern is the rain water drainage in this area, we already have problems with this and I think it needs to b
2715	500 hundred houses is far too much for the Mere and Western District, with little regard to infrastructure, ageing population and lack of cheap housing for young families.
2716	Harnham Business Park developments: we do not need another business park and I am concerned about the volume of traffic. Also concerned about the ground water levels, as flood work is presently being carried out here.
2717	New houses to built on Salisbury Retail Park site and Pearce Way/London road roundabout, not the strategic gap between Ford and Hampton Park. Infrastructure is carefully thought out. Developers provide funds for community amenities. Developers provide f
2717	Would like to make the following suggestions: 1)Any new houses built on the Salisbury Retail Park site not on the gap between Ford and Hampton Park. 2) Infrastructure is carefully thought out. 3) Developers provide funds for community amenities such as
2718	Object to the site 232 the Old Swimming Pool site Salisbury on your SHLAA document. This land was not for this purpose when sold to the council. Taking away recreational space would be contrary to the new Core Strategy.
2720	Writing on behalf of our client, The Harvest Partnership. Recognise the need for affordable housing but think that the statement can be revised. Should recognise that the development of affordable homes should not be at the expense of other community be
2721	Object to the inclusion of The Old Swimming Pool site Salisbury. Plans for the building here are against the purpose for which the land was sold to the Council. Plans to build here are against the Government's planning policy -PPG 17. Taking away recre
2723	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2724	Whiteparish: Is already full to capacity. The services will not cope with more population. More traffic will cause more problems.
2725	The proposed housing does reflect the needs of the villages. The proposed developments will not be accommodated for in our community.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2726	Behalf of Mr and Mrs S White: they have previously put forward their freehold land at Hindon for consideration as a potential new housing site within the Nadder Community Area. The land holding is identified as site 158 in the strategic land availability
2726	On behalf of our client Mr J. Roberts and Tracey Hammond: The publishing of this document should allow for a further consideration of land for future housing and employment needs and these sites should be considered alongside the aspirations of the prefe
2728	Writing on behalf of our client who seeks to put forward this land for consideration as potential new housing land in the Our Place in the Future document. The site size is some 1.66 hectares gross and is well placed to provide housing land. Given the s
2729	On behalf of our clients who own land at Hackthorne, Durrington no 98. They seek to put forward this land for consideration as potential new housing land in the Our Place in the Future document. The site size is some 4.6 hectares gross, of which we esti
2730	Whiteparish: Is already full to capacity. The services will not cope with more population. More traffic will cause more problems.
2731	If developments go ahead, following needs to be considered: 1) Strategic green gap be enlarged between Ford and Hampton Park. 2) Retirement bungalows to be included in housing developments. 3)Traffic needs be considered. 5) Church Road, Laverstock cou
2732	There is a report in the Salisbury Journal about an application for the development of 3500 houses in the Hampton Park and Laverstock areas. Can you advise if this is so and where I can find a map of the proposed developments.
2734	Whiteparish: Object to 590 dwellings in the southern area. The allocation of Downton is too small. It is not a main village. Is already full to capacity. The services will not cope with more population. More traffic will cause more problems. The pr
2735	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2736	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2737	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2738	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2739	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2740	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2741	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2742	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2743	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2744	I appreciate that the houses need to be built because Of Government targets, however am concerned where they are going to be built. Mere does not have the infrastructure to cope and therefore will need to be improved before any new developments are built
2745	Services and infrastructure will not cope with the developments, we already have a problem with traffic
2746	Most people are completely opposed to the addition of 300 houses in Mere. We do not need anymore housing in this part of Wiltshire which would ruin the rural character of out town. The solution is to cut to a minimum of 1000 the number of people allowed
2747	I wonder if the allocation of the housing developments have been considered well enough. I am concerned with the number of houses allocated to Whiteparish. I accept that some development is necessary, but this seems extreme. The roads are too small to
2748	Concerned about proposals for Archer's Gate. In the current economic climate I think that the number of houses is excessive.
2749	Do not agree with the proposals to develop the Harnham business park. It hasn't been designed for expansion and has little facilities. The volume of traffic is already too high and more will make life unbearable.
2750	No comments.
2751	Concerned about proposals for Archer's Gate. 400+ houses is too many for the current economic climate. If Solstice Park has not succeeded what makes you think the other developments will? Before any developments are built infrastructure needs to be imp
2752	Proposals for Greenfield sites seem odd as not all the brownfield sites have been used. Would like to keep the strategic gap between Ford and Hampton Park. To preserve the villages the following needs to be considered: 1) visual – the new houses shoul
2754	At a recent Parish Council meeting Kilmington Parish Councillor expressed serious concern regarding the need for new homes in our village. We need a structural forecast. We think that Parishes should have a greater contribution to our place in the futur
2755	Main concern is road provision as there are no plans to link the housing developments with Ford. Therefore the potential of 1000 is cars is going to cause a lot of problems.
2760	Invitation for Paul Leyton and Brian Eales to attend a meeting with the County and District Council officers and representative for Persimmon Homes/Wilton Estates at the meeting room at Salisbury High School on Friday 17th October 2008 at 10.00 am.
2761	Primary concerns are in relation to the development of a new business park between Harnham Business Park and the Livestock Market on Netherhampton Road. The current Harnham business Park is already underused, therefore why build another park? No local p

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2762	Too many houses allocated to Whiteparish, and there will be too many cars. Services are already at full capacity and will not cope. In close proximity to the National Park.
2763	Proposals to build over 1000 new homes in the Amesbury area would be difficult to fulfill; dramatic improvements need to be made to services and infrastructure for the developments to be sustainable. The number identified for the Western Area is far too
2764	The document was ill thought out, badly communicates and is an irresponsible strategy. Local needs is for modest growth of affordable, not excessive amounts. There is not new businesses, and more care should be taken in this rural area.
2766	Concerned that Salisbury District Council's Employment Land Review has not been made freely available. Traffic is going to be an issue.
2767	I would grateful if you could acknowledge receipt of this email and put my contact details on any mailing list relevant to the proposed housing development at Hampton Park. Number of points that feel are relevant when considering building houses on land
2768	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2769	Any increase in Mere will result it becoming a dormitory town and the developments will be in excess of employment needs. The facilities, infrastructure and services will not be able to cope with such an increase in population. No local needs assessment
2770	Please protect the Skylarks as they are on the decline and are mostly found on chalkland. There is already too much traffic into Salisbury on London Road.
2771	Believe it is a myth that young people are queuing up to come to the countryside. Few years ago affordable houses were built in Bourton - and they took a long time to fill, I think this will also be true for your development plans.
2772	We are committed to planning the Porton site in and integrated sustainable way and have proposed to sdc that we will revisit the Master plan each year to ensure any significant developments are noted and meet with sdc to discuss such issues. We therefore
2774	In favour of development.
2775	Very concerned about proposals for 510 new houses in Mere. Proposals are completely out of proportion. Facilities, services and infrastructure will not cope with the increase in population.
2776	Hindon Parish Council's response: Does not support the strategy for shearing growth with Nadder Area. Concerned about traffic. Lack of mention how infrastructure is going to cope.
2777	We wish to be involved in the preparation, alteration and review of Development Plan Documents which may affect our assets including policies and plans relating to the following issues; Any policies to overhead transmissions lines, underground cables or g
2778	How many dwellings do you think these villages are really going to accommodate? I it is appalling the way in which the two Core

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Strategy documents have been drawn up have caused so much confusion and have set village against village.
2780	On behalf of the owner (Access Engineering) of the Sawmills at Lode Hill, Downton. Our client wishes to put forward his land for consideration for housing development. The site has an area of 1 hectare and is currently in use for B2 general industrial u
2781	1250 houses in Fugglestone is a very large number and in the current economic climate I think this needs to be revisited. Where is the traffic going to exit? Essential to keep any house building separate from the town of Wilton so that it can remain an
2782	In total disagreement with the proposals for new houses. There will be no recreational space. Cc
2783	Great anxiety over proposals for Archer's Gate. 1045 houses is far too many. In the current economic climate it is not appropriate. Affordable housing should not out pace the growth in properties on the open market.
2784	Zeals has been classified as a main village which it is not. How many houses exactly are going to be put in Mere? It is not made obvious in the document, The number of developments that have been proposed is certainly not modest.
2785	Concerned about the proposed Harnham Business Park. Will have adverse impact on A3094. Accept that Salisbury needs employment sites to increase job opportunities, but the area needs to have good road connections.
2786	Very concerned about plans to develop Harnham business park. Churchfields is not a suitable location for businesses because of the amounts of HGVs that travel through the city.
2795	Protest to Harnham Business park. Traffic is already a problem.
2796	This new consultation has put off answering the difficult questions. The roads need to be improved for safety purposes. The Churchfields and Central Car Park sites have not been thought through enough.
2798	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2799	Totally opposed to the suggestion that 500 new houses should be built on the farmland to the north of Hampton Park for numerous valid reasons. The rural character of the village should be maintained. These proposals are ridiculous in this economic clima
2800	In general support plans for development, but think that clarification needs to be made in relation the exact allocation of the houses, particularly for Archer's Gate. The planning obligations must be; relevant planning; necessary to make the proposed de
2801	I would appreciate a conversation at some stage to discuss and explore your own thoughts and the reactions of the developers so far. That would enable me to continue to maintain the progress to date. You would have seen that the PC have embraced the con
2802	Adding this many houses will ruin our village. Adding to Hindon and Tisbury would need improvements to the roads.
2803	While there is a need for more housing I do not think there should be any houses built in Whiteparish. There is already too much traffic. The school cannot cope with anymore children.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

2804	Salisbury has already been ruined by developments mainly along the Southampton Road and more recently the London Road. Salisbury is already congested. We are in need of a bypass.
2805	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2806	The document completely leaves out the fact that part of the district lies within the New Forest. There will be too much traffic.
2807	Concerned because it appears that any proposed development may be built in front of my house along Pearce Way. Any new houses should be built on the Salisbury Retail Park, not on the Strategic gap between Hampton Park and Ford. Infrastructure is thought
2808	Agree with developments but thin infrastructure needs to be seriously considered.
2809	Mere is at saturation point when it come to traffic. This needs to be considered before any developments are built.
2810	On behalf of our client Sainsbury's Supermarket Ltd: Support PO36.
2811	The board fully supports the Core Strategy Preferred Options allocation of affordable housing and employment land.
2812	I don't think that this building strategy has come at a very good time for the housing market. There are not many jobs in Mere and the service and infrastructure will be unable to cope.
2813	A proper definition of affordable and social housing needs to be stated. Archer's Gate lack infrastructure as it is. Traffic is already a problem. Public transport is not adequate.
2814	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2815	You acknowledge our aging population but these developments will do nothing to benefit them. Why not include a care village or care facility of the older generation. This would also raise employment.
2816	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2817	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2818	I am a construction student conducting research on Salisbury Magistrates Court. Would you be able to le me know specifically why the court is a listed building, e.g. age, character, construction method.
2819	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2820	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927.

## SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY: EVOLVED PREFERRED OPTIONS OUTPUT REPORT

### APPENDIX 9 – COMMENTS MADE IN RESPECT OF QUESTION 6

The number to the left relates to the Correspondent's Unique ID (see Appendices 2 and 3)

	Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2821	Protest strongly about 500 houses being built in Mere and the surrounding area. No proper consultation has been carried out. Surely this economic climate is not an ideal time to build such developments.
2822	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2823	Object to the Old Swimming Pool site. Plans to build here is against the purposes for which this land was sold to the council in 1927. Plans to build housing here against the Government's planning policy – PPG 17 – which states that 6 acres of recreatio
2824	Support the identification of the site at Fugglestone Red within the document. The estate is working with developers and their technical team to design a sustainable development scheme which meets local needs. We are aware of the discussions regarding S
2825	Local Development Framework – 'Our Place in the future' (Preferred Options Stage 2) Consultation: The committee considered the previously circulated report of the Senior Planning Officer. Members' comments from the meeting were noted and would be forward