

1. If more industrial sites were to be placed next to outlying villages, I do not think the jobs would be sustainable in the current economic climate.
2. They could not be built without scarring the landscape and devaluing our homes.
3. Building houses on the scale proposed would surely falsely stimulate our economy, leaving many builders from the construction industry out of work on completion of the Wiltshire plan. This is what happened in Spain.
4. Salisbury District has already had vast swathes of countryside covered in housing estates in the last decade including Old Sarum, Hampton Park, Bishopdown Farm and Amesbury. It seems bizarre that the new housing development in progress at Old Sarum is not included in the allocation for the area.
5. Salisbury is home to many historical sites and relies on tourism for much of its business. It is in danger of losing this business to the growing over congestion and urban sprawl.

I feel that with the housing that has already been built or is planned to be built in the area (see above), Salisbury district has already had more than its fair share of additional housing, and the local transport infrastructure can not sustain anymore development even with the proposed transport changes.

If the council has any money available it would be much better spent improving and repairing the roads around Salisbury (many of which are in a terrible state with sizeable potholes) and, in the present economic climate, endeavouring to keep the council tax as low as possible.

Why don't the council simply say, "No," to the Government. Your proposals are unfair, unnecessary and will destroy our happiness and prosperity.