

Question 2.5 Removal of Housing Policy Boundaries

- a. Core Policy 1 states: “New development will not be permitted other than in named settlements”.
- b. That is adverse to small scale development, redevelopment and infill development and contrary to the historical way in which settlements have evolved throughout the subject region. Naming specific settlements for such a process of evolution in the villages is arbitrary and unnecessarily wasteful of housing (and perhaps other) opportunities.
- c. The previous drawing of Housing Restraint Areas and Housing Policy Boundaries somewhat artificially confined existing settlements, creating anomalies and excluding many areas with obvious infilling potential, and the present proposal naming specific villages only, fails to capitalise on the fresh opening now to free up a significant number of single unit and small scale development opportunities, which in total would usefully boost the available scope for individualistic housing provision, including affordable housing (AH).
- d. For example:-
 - a) Chicklade: Parcel 1252.
 - b) Compton Chamberlayne: Parcel 9445 and 9245, (the curtilage of existing dwelling “Martins Meadow”), especially the northern part.