

## Matter 6: Delivery of Development – Other Sources of Supply

### Questions 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3

- 6.1 Shieldtype reiterates why have the save Local Plan allocations not been developed already? The lack of development over a prolonged period (i.e. not just over the past two years when there has been an economic downturn) suggests there are problems of delivery.
- 6.2 Other than Old Sarum, is it appropriate for the Core Strategy to refer to the saved housing allocations from the Salisbury District Local Plan? These sites are not strategic allocations as defined by PPS12. It is properly a matter for the Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document to consider the merits or otherwise of these sites against other possible allocations having regard to up to date policies. The saved allocations should have no particular status in the Core Strategy.
- 6.3 As noted under Matter 4, the *Strategic Areas of Search* ought to be changed to the geographic definition of the SSCT. Such an approach affords greater flexibility to the consideration of the potential locations for future growth outwith any retained strategic allocations (if sound) which might be included in the Core Strategy.
- 6.4 It is evident from the SHLAA that there is significant scope to accommodate development on the periphery of Salisbury as part of the wider growth of the SSCT. Indeed, Shieldtype has land interests on the periphery of Salisbury which are in sustainable locations and are not adversely constrained by environmental or technical constraints. These sites, together with the *Salisbury Vision* and other SHLAA sites, can appropriately be considered for allocation as part of the Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document.
- 6.5 Some of the *Salisbury Vision* sites are constrained by existing land uses which may mean that they do not come forward for residential development as envisaged. However, Shieldtype does not have any site specific evidence that will assist the Inspector on this particular matter which will be debated at the Examination in Public. Again, by reason of size and their lack of strategic significance, the merits of any *Salisbury Vision* sites ought to be considered alongside other potential allocations via the Site Specific Allocations

Development Plan Document and thus not individually endorsed in any form as part of the Core Strategy.