

**WILTSHIRE COUNCIL
LOCAL DEVELOPMENT
FRAMEWORK**

**SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE
STRATEGY REVIEW DEVELOPMENT
PLAN DOCUMENT**

INDEPENDENT EXAMINATION

**EXAMINATION HEARINGS
PROGRAMME**

INDEPENDENT EXAMINATION

WILTSHIRE COUNCIL LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

SOUTH WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY REVIEW DEVELOPMENT PLAN DOCUMENT

Venue: Unless otherwise stated the hearing sessions will be held in the Marlborough Room of the Red Lion Hotel, Milford Street, Salisbury, Wiltshire, SP1 2AN, commencing at 14.00 on the 8th August 2011. The Examination will sit from 10.00 am to approximately 5.00 pm (unless indicated otherwise in the Programme), with a break for lunch at about 1.00 pm. The afternoon sessions will start at 2.00 pm unless otherwise indicated.

Statement deadlines:

All Statements, for both hearing and written representation matters, should be sent to the Programme Officer by **midday on Tuesday 26th July 2011**. This deadline relates to the receipt of the **paper copies**, and not just an electronic copy. The Inspector will not allow the submission of any further material based on the original representations. Thus, all further written submissions in the Statements should only address the "Issues for Examination". Many of the Inspectors questions will purely seek to clarify what are the Council's intentions, and so replies can be very short. The Council's Statements may also include any responses to the matters in original representations, and should include any Council suggestions for minor changes to the text or plans. Statements will be placed on the Examination web site.

Statements:

Appendix A of this document sets out the requirements for the presentation of all Statements. Its provisions should be thoroughly read and implemented as otherwise Statements will be returned. Please note the 3,000 word limit.

In the Statements from respondents it would be very helpful for the Inspector to have a brief concluding section stating:

What part of the CS is unsound.
Which soundness criterion it fails.
Why it fails (point to the key parts of your original representations).
How the CS can be made sound.
The precise change and/or wording that you are seeking.

From the Council the Inspector requires Statements which say why they consider the CS to be sound in that particular aspect and why the changes sought by other parties would make it less sound or even unsound, or suggestions for a soundness change

If you have any queries – please contact the Programme Officer, Ian Kemp, on 07723 009166 or at idxemp@sky.com

The Examination Programme is regularly updated. Please ensure you check the latest position if you wish to attend a particular hearing by contacting the Programme Officer or viewing it on the website at

<http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/planninganddevelopment/planningpolicy/southwiltshirecorestrategyexamination.htm>

HEARINGS PROGRAMME

For details of participants and representation numbers see end of Programme

WEEK 1	10.00 am	2.00 pm
Monday 8 th August 2011 Day 1	Not Sitting	Opening Comments Issue 1: Conformity and Weight to be Afforded to RSS
Tuesday 9 th August 2011 Day 2	Issue 2: Evidence Supporting Revised Housing and Employment Figures	Issue 3: Neighbouring Authorities
Wednesday 10 th August 2011 Day 3	Issue 4: Consistency with National Policy	Issue 5: Implications for Strategic Allocations and Infrastructure
Thursday 11 th August 2011 Day 4	Issue 6: Netherhampton Road and Longhedge	Issue 7: Rural Areas and Neighbourhood Plans
Friday 12 th August 2011 Day 5	Reserve Session / Any Other Business	

ISSUES RAISED BY THE INSPECTOR

Please note there are no Participants currently listed in the Hearing Sessions. This is largely a result of the minimal turnaround time between the decision to proceed and supplying this information. However if you would like to Participate in any of the Hearing Sessions please let me know which of the Hearing Sessions you would wish to participate in by Tuesday 19th July 2011 and as long as your Representations made on the Review Core Strategy are related I shall add you accordingly.

ISSUE 1 MONDAY 8TH AUGUST 14.00

Conformity and Weight to be afforded to RSS

What weight should be attached to the emerging Regional Strategy for the South West in preparing the Core Strategy?

Is the Core Strategy, in the form now proposed by the Council, generally consistent with the emerging Regional Strategy?

Correspondence between the Council and the Inspector is relevant to this issue (See attached to accompanying email)

ISSUE 2 TUESDAY 9TH AUGUST 10.00

Evidence Supporting Revised Housing and Employment Figures

What evidence is there to support the revised housing and employment figures now being proposed by the Council?

How robust is that evidence as compared to the evidence supporting the emerging Regional Strategy?

ISSUE 3 TUESDAY 9TH AUGUST 14.00

Neighbouring Authorities

Have neighbouring Local Planning Authorities been consulted on the revised housing and employment figures and, if so, what was their response?

ISSUE 4 WEDNESDAY 10TH AUGUST 10.00

Consistency with National Policy

How consistent are these revised housing and employment figures with the aims of Government policy as set out in Planning for Growth and the objectives of the Core Strategy which include providing everyone with access to a decent, affordable home and delivering a thriving economy which provides a range of job opportunities to match a growing population?

ISSUE 5 WEDNESDAY 10TH AUGUST 14.00

Implications for Strategic Allocations and Infrastructure

What are the implications of the revised housing and employment figures on the delivery of the Strategic Allocations identified in the Core Strategy and the infrastructure associated with these, including the Transport Strategy?

ISSUE 6 THURSDAY 11TH AUGUST 10.00

Netherhampton Road and Longhedge

What evidence is there to support the deletion of the site South of Netherhampton Road and part of the site at Longhedge as opposed to other sites?

What alternatives to the deletion of these sites have been considered and why were they rejected?

The case of *Save Historic Newmarket Ltd v. Forest Heath District Council* [2011] EWHC 606 is relevant to this point.

http://www.landmarkchambers.co.uk/cases/cases/save_historic_newmarket_ltd_v_forest_heath_district_council_2011_ewhc_606_admin?queries_barrister_query=7190;%206240

MATTER 7 THURSDAY 11TH AUGUST 14.00

Rural Areas and Neighbourhood Plans

Is sufficient provision made for housing development in the rural area?

How would development proposed as part of Neighbourhood Plans and Community Right to Build Schemes be accommodated?

RESERVE SESSION / ANY OTHER BUSINESS FRIDAY 12TH AUGUST 10.00

Appendix A - Format for statements

- A. Please send, where possible, emailed electronic versions of all Statements and Appendices to the PO for the Examination web site, as well as the paper copies as detailed below.
- B. I emphasise the need for succinct submissions, with the avoidance of unnecessary detail and repetition of the original representation. **Important:** you should only answer the questions as they relate to the content of your original representation, e.g. if you have not previously commented on Rural Housing you may not now do so at this stage.
- C. It is the quality of the reasoning that carries weight, **not** the bulk of the documents. There is no need for verbatim quotations from the CS or other sources of policy guidance. It is vital that the fundamental elements are set out clearly and succinctly – the Examination is not the place for surprise contributions!
- D. None of the statements should be longer than **3,000 words**. Any submissions longer than this will be returned by the PO for editing. Statements should be prepared on A4 paper, printed on both sides, and **not bound** but just stapled and hole punched. Any photographs should be submitted in A4 format and should be annotated (back or front).
- E. Supporting material in the form of appendices to statements should be limited to those which are essential and should not contain extracts from any publication that is already before the Examination, such as the evidence base and nationally available Government guidance – a paragraph or page reference will suffice. Any appendices should have a contents page and be paginated throughout. Whilst the word limit does not include text in appendices, they should respect the aim of succinctness. Anyone submitting appendices should indicate in their statement which parts they are especially relying upon.
- F. Those submitting either written statements or hearing statements the PO will require **four hard copies together with an electronic copy**.
- G. All participants should adhere to the timetable for submitting statements. Late submissions and additional material **are unlikely to be accepted** on the day of the relevant hearing session since this can cause disruption and result in unfairness, and can result in an adjournment of the hearing. If material is not received by the deadlines stated below, the PO will assume that you are relying only on the original representations:
- All Statements: by **midday on Tuesday 26th July 2011**.
 - **It is stressed that this last deadline refers to the receipt of the paper copies of statements. It is not sufficient to send an electronic copy by this deadline, to be followed by paper copies at a later time.**
 - **All paper copies of statements should be addressed to the Programme Officer at the following address:**

**Mr. Ian Kemp
18 Cameron Close
Cherry Orchard
Daventry
Northants
NN11 9HX**