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Appendix 1 - Examples of posters for localism meetings
Big Society & Localism: what does it mean for the Melksham area?

Tues 12 April 2011, 6pm
Seend Community Centre

A special seminar hosted by Melksham Area Board:

- Big Society and the Localism Bill
- Local arrangements in Wiltshire – Moving Forward
- Neighbourhood planning and the Wiltshire Core Strategy
- Wiltshire Core Strategy update for the Melksham community area.

Everyone is welcome!

Abbi Gutierrez • Melksham Community Area Manager • Tel 01225 718443 • abbi.gutierrez@wiltshire.gov.uk
Wootton Bassett & Cricklade Area Board

Present a special seminar on

LOCALISM
&
WHAT IT MEANS FOR THE WOOTTON BASSETT AND CRICKLADE COMMUNITY AREA:

SEMINAR

4th April 2011
at 6.30pm
Purton Village Hall, Purton

You will be very WELCOME!

We will be discussing:-

-The Localism Bill and what it means to you

-Neighbourhood Planning & Update on the Wiltshire Core Strategy
Appendix 2 - Sample presentation on neighbourhood planning and the core strategy
Agenda

6.30  Welcome by Area Board Chairman
6.35  Localism presentation
            Questions
7.10  Neighbourhood planning and the Wiltshire Core Strategy
            Questions
7.40  Short break
7.50  Planning for Devizes Community Area
8.40  Feedback session

Localism in Wiltshire

Building stronger, more resilient communities in Wiltshire.
Localism Bill

- New freedoms for local government
- New powers for local communities
- Giving local people more of say
- Changes to the way we plan for new houses

---

Localism Bill

- Cut through red tape and things that make life difficult
- Communities taking things over and running them
- Services meet the needs of people living in communities
- Services provided in a variety of ways
- Everyone has the opportunity to voice opinions and challenge on issues close to their hearts
Localism Bill

- Community Right to Buy, e.g. Pubs, village shops, swimming pools
- what’s important to your community?
- Community Asset Transfers, e.g. schools, land, buildings
- Community Right to Challenge – you take over the running of a local service; could be local organisation, parish council, social enterprise, mutual
- Local referendums – you can suggest votes on any local issue you think is important
- Referendums to veto council tax rises you think are too high

A commitment to work together locally...

“Our vision is to create stronger, more resilient communities in Wiltshire... where communities can solve problems locally with our support.”

Councillor Jane Scott
Leader, Wiltshire Council
What does this mean for us?
Helping communities to tackle local concerns. For example...
• Safe Place Project
• Speedwatch
• Community farm
• Community hub in Calne
• Saving local shops
• Running local advice cafes
• Mobile cinemas
• Volunteering in the library

What does this mean for us in Devizes?
Helping communities to tackle local concerns. For example...
• Community shop in Rowde
• Mobile skatepark in Devizes
• Installing drop kerbs to help access
• Preventing dog fouling in Devizes
• Funding for a range of community projects from hospital radio to ‘Bluez n Zuz’
• Tackling speeding through the villages
The challenge for us together...

- What do we know about the area?
- How much are we spending here?
- How are services performing?
- How do we compare with other areas?
- What are the local issues?

So, what can we do together?

- Pass more powers to the local area and invest a further £3.2m over four years through the Area Boards
- Create more opportunities for people to get involved and continue to work to make sure everyone has a voice
- Changing role and accountability of elected councillors
Responding to the local challenge

So, what will we do?

• Work with the community to produce one simple action plan for the area

• Make sure public service plans and budgets are talked about locally and included in the area action plan

• Give people more of a say in the decisions made about where they live and how their services should be provided
Introduction

1. Introduction to neighbourhood planning and up-date on Wiltshire Core Strategy programme

Questions

2. Developing the Wiltshire Core Strategy - Planning for Devizes Community Area

Questions and group discussion

“For far too long local people have had too little say over the planning system that has imposed bureaucratic decisions by distant officials in Whitehall and the town hall. We need to change things so there is more people-planning and less politician thinking, so there is more direct democracy and less bureaucracy in the system. These reforms will become the building blocks of the Big Society”

Eric Pickles
Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government
Neighbourhood Planning:

Basic Principles

- Empower local communities to take control of their areas Community ownership of the process
- Inspire innovation and creativity in communities
- Restore the idea that development can be a force for good

(Communities and Local Government)

Neighbourhood plans ......

“Cannot say no to growth. They are not a licence to opt out”

- Greg Clark, Minister for Decentralisation
Preparing a Neighbourhood Plan

• Neighbourhood plans enable communities to bring forward and influence development

• Emphasis is on supporting development and shaping change within defined neighbourhoods.

• Bill suggests neighbourhoods be built on parish footprints but more than one parish could come together

• Wiltshire Council has to agree area

• Identify ‘non-strategic’ sites and policies for local distinctiveness

Neighbourhood Plan Process

• General conformity with the Wiltshire Core Strategy and has regard to national planning policy

• Light touch examination by independent assessor

• Wiltshire Council must adopt a legally compliant plan if local people vote in favour through referendum

• Neighbourhood plans will form part of the development plan alongside, not as a replacement for, the Wiltshire Core Strategy.
Progressing the Wiltshire Core Strategy

Government:

• will introduce strong presumption in favour of sustainable development

• expects local planning authorities to approve applications where plans are absent, out of date, silent or indeterminate

“Local planning authorities should therefore press ahead without delay in preparing up to date development plans”

- Greg Clark, Minister for Decentralisation
Role of the Wiltshire Core Strategy?

Wiltshire Council still has a duty to prepare its Core Strategy (Local Plan) setting out how, where and when new development should take place within Wiltshire.

Important to ensure the needs of the economy, environment and communities are properly balanced.

---

Wiltshire Core Strategy Timetable

- Wiltshire 2026 Consultation: 2009/10
- Cabinet Approval: Late May 2011
- Public Engagement on Draft Core Strategy: June / July 2011
- Formal Consultation & Submission: End of 2011
- Examination (including hearing / receipt of binding Inspector’s Report): Early 2012
- Adoption: Date to be confirmed
Thank you for listening

Any questions?
Purpose of this part of evening

To discuss the emerging strategy for Devizes Community Area.

Taking into account:

- Initial proposals in Wiltshire 2026 for Devizes Community Area
- Community responses to Wiltshire 2026 consultation
- Continuing work by Wiltshire Council
- What the evidence tells us about the challenges Devizes faces

Wiltshire 2026 proposals

- 2400 homes 2006-2026
- 700 homes on sites in Devizes + new employment site
- 200 homes on sites in Great Cheverell, Market Lavington and West Lavington/Littleton Pannel which are suitable for modest levels of development
- Bromham and Potterne suitable for limited infill development.
- Elsewhere classed as villages in the countryside
Wiltshire 2026 proposals (2009 data)

- **Built**: 780 homes
- **Committed**: 650 homes
- **Windfall est.**: 120 homes
- **Devizes**: 700 homes
- **Rural areas**: 200 new homes

1430 homes already planned
1200 homes still to be built

**Total Devizes Community Area 2006 – 2026 = 2430 homes**

Wiltshire 2026 proposed allocations

- Housing adjacent to Police HQ
- Employment land at Horton Rd/A 361 (8.4ha)
- Mixed use south of Horton Road/ north of canal
- Housing adjacent to A342
Issues raised during consultation on Wiltshire 2026

The main areas of concern were:

- The scale of new housing – too much or too little?
- The need to protect and promote a diverse employment base.
- Appropriate retail and tourism opportunities
- The future of the Wharf and Assize Courts
- Continuing traffic congestion
- The need for a clearer rural policy for the villages
- Supply of windfall sites

What does the evidence tell us about the Devizes Community Area?

- In the last 10 years more homes have been built in Devizes than Chippenham or Salisbury
- Devizes has a strong employment base compared to other market towns in Wiltshire
- 57% of employed residents in Devizes are employed in Devizes.
- The highway network in Devizes is reaching capacity
- The population of the community area is ageing which will influence the type and nature of housing needed.
Issues specific to the Devizes CA?

- Maintaining and enhancing the levels of people both living and working in Devizes.
- Protecting and promoting a diverse employment base
- The right level of housing growth for the Devizes Community Area given highway capacity.
- Develop a positive policy for the rural areas which allows villages to respond to local needs
- Do specific sites need to be identified in Devizes? Sites could be identified through an alternative document in the future or through a more detailed appraisal of windfall potential

Proposed abolition of Regional Spatial Strategies - Wiltshire’s response

Draft Regional Spatial Strategy required 44,400 dwellings for Wiltshire, including 6,000 for east Wiltshire

“Local Planning Authorities will be responsible for establishing the right level of local housing provision in their area, and identifying a long-term supply of housing land without the burden of regional targets”

(Eric Pickles, Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government)
Why should we plan for new housing?

• Population growth and smaller household sizes
• The lack of access to both open market and affordable housing, coupled with an ageing population, could impact on the viability of the local economy
• The lack of appropriate housing can lead to increased commuting
• Increases disposable income in an area, supporting vitality of town
• Supply of affordable housing

Reasons not to plan for new housing?

• Constraints on local infrastructure
• Limited opportunities on brownfield sites for housing
• Encroachment into countryside surrounding towns
• Environmental impact e.g. flood risk, landscape impact
• Impact upon built and environmental assets including the AONB
• Development often seen as a threat rather than an opportunity
Developing the Wiltshire housing requirement

Initial range for Wiltshire:
35,900 - 43,200 homes 2006 - 2026

Number of dwellings (Wiltshire)

Natural demand
Future population
Actual completions

Initial range for Wiltshire:
35,900 - 43,200 homes 2006 - 2026

Consulting growth in Devizes CA (2010)

Built 945 homes
Committed 694 homes
Windfall est. 136 homes
Possible range for additional new homes – up to 1000

1639 homes already planned
1775 Homes including windfall allowance
1730 Needed to house future population
2400 homes proposed in Wiltshire 2026
2840 homes needed to support employment growth
Scenarios for discussion : Devizes CA

In the period 2006 to 2026, for the Devizes Community Area:

- **1730** new homes needed to meet population led scenarios (both natural growth and projected patterns of migration)
  - 0 new **dwellings**.

- **2400** new homes proposed in Wiltshire 2026 proposal
  - up to **600 additional dwellings**

- **2840** new homes needed to provide population (economically active) to support employment growth in line with that across east Wiltshire
  - up to **1000 additional dwellings**

Developing an appropriate housing requirement for Wiltshire and Devizes Community Area

- The Wiltshire Core Strategy will come under scrutiny through the examination process
- Need to be sure proposals are robust and evidence based
- Important to find the right balance between the views of local communities, national policy objectives and responding to the evidence base
- Presumption in favour of sustainable development
Thank you for listening

Any questions before we break into discussion groups on the emerging Core Strategy?

Discussion Point 1

Are we considering the right issues within the Core Strategy for the Devizes Community Area?

Discussion Point 2

Is the level of growth of 2400 dwellings, over the plan period 2006-2026, for the Devizes Community Area still appropriate?

• Should the Devizes Community Area plan for a different level?
• What factors should be taken into account in determining a different level of growth?
Appendix 3 - Summary of comments at Localism meetings
APPENDIX 3 : Summary of comments at localism meetings, February to April 2011

Bradford-on-Avon
St Margret's Hall, 6th April 2011

A3.1 In Bradford-on-Avon Community Area concern was expressed about the delivery of infrastructure. The town already suffers from issues associated with traffic and transport, congestion, road safety, and pollution. The issue of out-commuting was also raised, and the feasibility of reducing this through development was questioned. It was suggested that development should be appropriately phased to ensure that the necessary infrastructure can be delivered, in order to address the identified issues, before any additional housing or employment development is built.

Calne
Calne Town Hall, 5th April 2011

A3.2 There is general support within the town for balanced and measured growth that is supported by the necessary infrastructure being in place at the appropriate stages of development and across the plan-period.

A3.3 The impact of the closure of RAF Lyneham raises questions regarding the scale of growth to be accommodated in the towns in the vicinity of the base. The closure and potential re-use of the base is an important strategic consideration that will be addressed through the LDF process however Wiltshire Council should continue to ensure plan-led growth is achieved in Calne.

Chippenham
Neeld Hall, 14th March 2011

A3.4 From the Spring 2011 consultation event it is clear that the local community consider that brownfield land should be developed first before any greenfield sites. At the same time it was also recognised that there is a shortage of employment land available in the town.

A3.5 The delivery of economic growth and employment opportunities is considered to be important and it was recognised that economic development can help to reduce out-commuting and provide greater opportunities for existing residents as well as making the town and attractive employment centre for young people and school leavers.

A3.6 There was genuine concern, based on historic experiences of housing development being delivered without the necessary infrastructure to support such growth. Housing should not be provided in isolation, instead future development must ensure that infrastructure is embedded with development, in addition housing should be delivered to meet a variety of different needs, including key workers, young families and the elderly.

A3.7 There was general support for Chippenham’s future development to meet the natural demand for housing whilst also providing for employment growth with less support for providing for the future population. Any development must firstly take advantage of current PDL and only then look to appropriate Greenfield sites. In terms of the delivery of development in Chippenham it is clear that employment delivery must come forward in the short-term to help improve the self-containment of the town and to reduce out-commuting levels. Longer-term development should then be balanced to ensure there is a better balance between residents and job opportunities.
Corsham
Corsham Town Hall, 13th April 2011

A3.8 The recent consultation events identified a number of issues that are considered relevant to new planned development. These included, the impact that the decreasing military presence will have, which will reduce the employment offer in the area, as well as the impact of development at the neighbouring settlement of Chippenham. Both of these are considered to have the potential to have negative impacts on the self-containment of Corsham, which already sees large out-commuting flows. In order to counter this, sufficient employment land should be delivered in conjunction with future housing delivery. There was also a desire to ensure that the rural areas could deliver to meet local needs, including the delivery of rural business.

A3.9 A further concern was the lack of infrastructure associated with recent developments to the west of the town.

Devizes
Corn Exchange, 7th April 2011

A3.10 The consultation event raised several issues, among which a need to provide opportunities for young residents, in terms of housing, employment and education provision was raised.

A3.11 Similarly to other areas, there was a strong consensus that employment and infrastructure needs to be delivered before housing to ensure that the town is sustainable.

A3.12 In Devizes the particular issues of traffic congestion, the road network and the consequential noise and air pollution that is created was raised several times. Discussions considered how opening up cross town routes could help relieve pressure on the radial routes. In relation to new development there was a view that housing growth should follow employment growth, and that the employment provided should add to the diversity and choice of employment in Devizes. (This could also help provide an opportunity to look at opportunities to improve the through flow of traffic before more housing.) Where development takes place the Council should make sure the money is spent on local community initiatives and not lost. There was little discussion of the ‘right’ scale of growth for Devizes Community Area. There remains concern about how the status of villages within the core strategy has been determined. There was a feeling that the nature of employment in the rural areas is changing and needs more support to introduce better IT and broadband to enable home working.

Marlborough
Marlborough Town Hall, 30th March 2011

A3.13 It was felt that the level of development within the town, and the community area, must take due account of the communities significant environmental constraints including the AONB and the World Heritage Site.

Malmesbury
Malmesbury Secondary School, 22nd March 2011

A3.14 Over the plan period the general consensus from the engagement exercise is that growth scenarios towards the lower end are considered to be appropriate and that this growth should come forward towards the end of the plan period given the current number of existing commitments. Economic development in the town is also considered to be a very important issue for the community area and to move away from a single large employer by expanding its employment base to protect the town from future economic uncertainty. On this basis
there was some agreement that slightly higher levels of growth could be provided within Malmesbury and the rural settlements to ensure that the economic base was maintained as well as making important key services such as schools viable and ensuring the delivery of affordable housing.

**Melksham**

**Seend Community Centre, 12th April 2011**

A3.15 A Localism Meeting was held in Seend on 12th April 2011, and this included discussion around proposals relating to Melksham to be included in the Wiltshire Core Strategy. It was concluded that those who attended the meeting supported employment development in Melksham, and broadly supported the proposals set out in Wiltshire 2026 to provide a total of around 2,040 homes in the Community Area over the plan period. There was a suggestion that employment development should be provided first, and that housing should come later.

**Pewsey**

**Burbage Village Hall, 6th April 2011**

A3.16 In Pewsey Community Area, the issue of infrastructure and employment delivery and its relationship to housing delivery was raised. It was felt that growth needs to be balanced to achieve sustainability.

A3.17 The issue of ensuring that housing delivery catered to the local community, especially in terms of affordable housing was noted. This related to creating an attractive environment to retain young persons.

A3.18 There was also recognition that some growth needed to be supported in the villages.

**Tidworth and Ludgershall**

**Tidworth Community Centre, 11th April 2011**

A3.19 The recent consultation highlighted that the community felt that connectivity and transport were very important and that development had to be led by employment. There was general feeling that housing had to be supported by genuine local employment otherwise the area would become a commuter area. Broadband connectivity was highlighted as a particular concern. Support for development was also highlighted as crucial with doctors, dentists and schools seen as fundamental to success. Concerns over the infrastructure of the specific sites included transport issues, sewage and water supply problems and the amount of affordable housing coming forward on the sites. It was also highlighted that the changes in military careers and the lifestyles of soldiers and their dependants is likely to have a great effect on the area.

**Trowbridge**

**Bridge House, Stallard Street, Trowbridge, 24th March 2011**

A3.20 At the recent consultation events, there was general agreement that growth is required in order to deliver the improvements to infrastructure which have been highlighted as lacking within the town and the wider community area. Concern was raised regarding the promised delivery of economic growth and whether or not this is achievable, although it was generally accepted that growth is a positive thing for the town. The delivery of vital infrastructure and improvements to the physical infrastructure is seen as crucial in order to ensure that Trowbridge develops which should take the form of town centre regeneration and better access to schools and other vital services and facilities. The delivery of jobs is an important aspiration within the community area and it was stressed that planners need to avoid simply delivering housing without the necessary growth in jobs and delivery of appropriate
infrastructure at the right stage in the development process. Growth was generally accepted in the community area as long as it is delivered in a sustainable manner and ensures the integration of jobs and homes.

Warminster
Corsley centre, 7th April 2011

A3.21 There was recognition that additional employment is required, especially as an existing employment centre is closing. There was also support for encouraging the people of Warminster to work in the town. It was proposed that infrastructure and employment should be delivered first and act as trigger points to prevent developers front-loading housing development, without the required infrastructure.

A3.22 There was general consensus that the figures are about right (1,770 homes) especially if growth is linked to new jobs in the area, however some discussion was made as to whether the people present were qualified enough, or had enough information to make an informed decision. It was understood that we need housing figures in the Core Strategy now and that it is reasonable to base these figures on the assumptions outlined in the presentation.

A3.23 There was some appetite for more accommodation in villages (particularly Corsley) but that this would need to be supported by additional employment opportunities in these areas. It is believed that this should be addressed through revisions within the settlement strategy.

Westbury
Laverton Hall, 12th April 2011

A3.24 There was real concern about facilities moving from the town centre at the recent consultation event. In particular the GP is set to move to the outskirts and the library could follow suit. These losses are considered to affect the viability of the remainder of the town centre, which is in need of improvement. The transport linkages across the town and in particular to the railway station were also a cause for concern.

A3.25 It was also noted that employment delivery is required prior to additional housing. Another point was that more housing should be directed to the villages outside of Westbury.

Wootton Bassett & Cricklade
Purton Village Hall, 4th April 2011

A3.26 Given the proximity of the Wootton Bassett & Cricklade Community area to Swindon it is likely to being significantly affected by the long-term growth at the Borough. Equally the relationships that towns such as Wootton Bassett and Cricklade, as well as the rural areas of Purton and the Lydiards, have with Swindon will require careful planning. The targeted consultations recognise the dormitory role that Wootton Bassett has with Swindon and agree that increase the towns self-containment is an important part of the strategy for the long term development of the town. Furthermore growth in the town was generally accepted as a means to address the current imbalance between homes and jobs and to help to improve the self-containment of the town as well as providing the opportunity to deliver affordable housing.
Appendix 4 - Press advert and briefing for WCSCD
WILTSHIRE CORE STRATEGY CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Wiltshire Council is in the process of preparing the Wiltshire Core Strategy DPD and is consulting on the scope and content of the draft document. Once adopted, the Wiltshire Core Strategy will replace elements of the Wiltshire Structure Plan (2016) and the District Local Plans prepared by the former District Councils of North Wiltshire, West Wiltshire, Salisbury and Kennet.

The Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document is a step towards preparing a core strategy for Wiltshire and includes:

- the key challenges and opportunities facing Wiltshire
- the vision and strategic objectives underpinning the development of the core strategy and the use of land within Wiltshire
- a spatial strategy and settlement hierarchy that clarifies the proposed level of new jobs and homes required in Wiltshire
- community area strategies for each community area in north and mid Wiltshire
- the council’s general policies to support the plans environmental, social and economic objectives in relation to the development and use of land.

You are invited to make representations to us about what the Wiltshire Core Strategy ought to contain and the proposals in the Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document. The consultation will last 8 weeks, running from Monday 13th June until Monday 8th August 2011.

Public exhibitions are being arranged so you can find out and comment on what the document says about your area. The exhibitions, staffed by council officers, will be open between 2pm and 8pm with one taking place in each Community Area. The dates will be posted on the council’s web site. (www.wiltshire.gov.uk/ldfconsult)

To submit comments please complete the online representation form which can be downloaded at http://consult.wiltshire.gov.uk/portal or, you can complete a representation form and email it to: spatialplanningpolicy@wiltshire.gov.uk

Alternatively please send your representations to: Spatial Planning, Economy & Enterprise, Wiltshire Council, County Hall, Trowbridge BA14 8JN.

Representation Forms can also be obtained from Monday 13th June from Wiltshire Council offices in Trowbridge (Bradley Road and County Hall), Devizes (Browfort), Salisbury (27 - 29 Milford Street) and Chippenham (Monkton Park) and at all libraries across Wiltshire.

Please note the closing date for submitting your representations is 5pm on Monday 8 August 2011. Any representations made to us after this date may not be taken into consideration when preparing the Proposed Submission Draft Plan.
Cabinet Press Briefing May 19th 2011

Plans to encourage sustainable and vibrant communities

Creating jobs and encouraging strong and sustainable communities through appropriate development underpin proposals, which will go out to public consultation if Cabinet agrees when it meets on Tuesday (May 24).

Council leaders have asked to approve an additional stage of consultation on a document which would help form the draft Wiltshire Core Strategy (WCS) – a plan which sets out long-term planning and development aims and principals for the county.

The WCS consultation document details the amount of new employment land to support job growth and figures for new homes required over the next 15 years to ensure the county’s community areas can grow in a way that meets the needs of local people.

If Cabinet agrees, people will be able to have their say on the document and the strategies and policies which will be would be used to help shape how Wiltshire will develop. People would be able to comment on the consultation document from June 13 until August 8 and the responses will be used to inform the draft WCS.

The WCS process was delayed following the government’s announcement of its intention to revoke regional spatial strategies – binding housing targets set by government. As a result of the announcement, Cabinet decided that Wiltshire’s housing requirement should be reviewed.

This has now been carried out and consequently the consultation document includes a reduction in the number of homes required in Wiltshire from the government target of 44,400 new homes to the council’s assessment of 37,010 over the next 15 years to be consulted on. Approximately half of the homes required have already been completed or are in the process of being developed.

The need to strengthen communities and make sure jobs, services and homes are developed in a balanced way underlines the council’s approach. Communities should be as resilient and sustainable as possible so people have to rely less on travelling out of their communities to access services and jobs.

Cabinet member with responsibility for economic regeneration, Fleur de Rhe-Phillipe said: “We want the public to help us make sure communities across Wiltshire are able to flourish – this means growth both in terms of creating jobs and building homes but in a controlled and appropriate way. If Cabinet agrees to the council’s approach then communities really do have the opportunity to have their say and make development work for them.”

Notes to editors:

For more information contact the communications team on 01225 713664/713116.

The Wiltshire Core Strategy, when adopted, will provide the up-to-date strategic planning policy for Wiltshire and ensure Wiltshire develops in the most sustainable way. The South Wiltshire Core
Strategy, which is progressing ahead of the Wiltshire Core Strategy, will be subsumed into the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy to ensure delivery of a single Core Strategy for Wiltshire.

Consultation was completed on ‘Wiltshire 2026 - Planning for the future of Wiltshire’ January 2010, with the outcomes reported to Cabinet on April 20 2010. Wiltshire 2026 formed the first stage in the development of a Wiltshire-wide Core Strategy. The document was based on the community areas outside of south Wiltshire, alongside an overarching spatial strategy for Wiltshire as a whole. Wiltshire 2026 enabled the council to identify with the local communities what the issues, opportunities and challenges are in planning for jobs and homes in each community area. The number of jobs and homes to be planned for within the document were based on the latest version of the Draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (draft RSS).

Since then, the Government announced its intention to revoke Regional Spatial Strategies. As a result, on October 19 2010 Cabinet agreed that a comprehensive review of Wiltshire’s strategic housing requirement be undertaken as part of the Core Strategy process. The outcome of the Wiltshire 2026 consultation, together with this review, has informed the development of policies and proposals for the emerging Core Strategy.

The review of the strategic requirement for jobs and homes for South Wiltshire was reported to Full Council on February 22 2011. This informed the approved changes to the level of jobs and homes within South Wiltshire (9,900 new homes and 10,400 jobs over the period 2006 to 2026) submitted to the Inspector examining the draft South Wiltshire Core Strategy. Consultation is being undertaken on these and related changes, which ends on May 27 2011. The Inspector has indicated his intention to reopen the examination formal hearing sessions during August 2011.

During March and April 2011, meetings hosted by Community Area Boards outside of South Wiltshire took place to help shape proposals for these Community Areas. At these meetings, officers were able to test their understanding of the issues relating to the provision of jobs and homes in each Community Area, using what was learnt from Wiltshire 2026 as the basis of discussion, to help determine how development could better support the sustainability of local communities. Officers have also continued to develop evidence to support the emerging Core Strategy through discussions with other key stakeholders (e.g. Environmental Alliance, Wiltshire Strategic Economic Partnership, English Heritage and Natural England).

Following the consultation, there will be the need to move forward with the preparation of a sound draft Core Strategy for Wiltshire (subsuming relevant policies and proposals from the South Wiltshire Core Strategy), to meet the Council’s published timetable of bringing the pre-submission consultation draft Wiltshire Core Strategy to Cabinet November 2011.

Once the consultation has closed, all responses will be made available for viewing on the Council’s website as soon as possible. The response together with new evidence will be used to inform the development of the draft Wiltshire Core Strategy, which following Cabinet approval, can then proceed to its final stage of formal public consultation before being submitted for examination.
Appendix 5 - Poster advertising consultation WCSCD
Wiltshire’s communities are being asked to comment on two draft plans available for public consultation this summer.

**Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document** - proposals for new jobs and homes in Wiltshire over the next 15 years and policies to shape how Wiltshire develops.

**Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Site Allocations Development Plan Document** - proposals for the location of new waste management facilities.

Please get involved by visiting an exhibition near you between 2pm and 8pm to find out more:

- **29 June** Town Hall, **Marlborough**
- **4 July** Town Hall, **Corsham**
- **6 July** Neeld Hall, **Chippenham**
- **13 July** Village Hall, **Lacock**
- **15 July** Town Hall, **Calne**
- **18 July** Town Hall, **Malmesbury**
- **18 July** Library, **Wootton Bassett**

The consultations are open until **5pm on 8 August 2011**.

Documents can be viewed and comments submitted using the council’s consultation website: [http://consult.wiltshire.gov.uk/portal](http://consult.wiltshire.gov.uk/portal).

Alternatively documents can be viewed in all libraries and council offices in Chippenham (Monkton Park), Devizes (Browfort), Salisbury (Milford Street) and Trowbridge (County Hall and Bradley Road).

For more information, telephone 01225 713223 or visit [www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wcsconsult2011](http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wcsconsult2011)
Wiltshire’s communities are being asked to comment on two draft plans available for public consultation this summer.

**Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document** - proposals for new jobs and homes in Wiltshire over the next 15 years and policies to shape how Wiltshire develops.

**Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Site Allocations Development Plan Document** - proposals for the location of new waste management facilities.

Please get involved by visiting an exhibition near you between 2pm and 8pm to find out more:

- **29 June** Guildhall, **Salisbury**
- **7 July** Antrobus House, **Amesbury**
- **12 July** Nadder Hall, **Tisbury**
- **14 July** Memorial Hall, **Downton**
- **14 July** Library, **Warminster** (2-7pm)
- **20 July** Memorial Hall, **Ludgershall**

The consultations are open until **5pm on 8 August 2011**.

Documents can be viewed and comments submitted using the council’s consultation website: [http://consult.wiltshire.gov.uk/portal](http://consult.wiltshire.gov.uk/portal).

Alternatively documents can be viewed in all libraries and council offices in Chippenham (Monkton Park), Devizes (Browfort), Salisbury (Milford Street) and Trowbridge (County Hall and Bradley Road).

For more information, telephone 01225 713223 or visit [www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wcsconsult2011](http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wcsconsult2011)
Appendix 6 - Bradford on Avon cake sales
APPENDIX 6, Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document SCI Part 1

Consultation to inform the Wiltshire Core Strategy June 2011: Bradford on Avon cake sales

Spatial planning officers attended two cake sales at Bradford on Avon primary schools to publicise the consultation amongst parents. This was a pilot set up in response to a suggestion by Cllr Hewson (Bradford on Avon Area Board Chair).

The following materials were available:

- flyers with details of forthcoming consultation events in the west Wiltshire area, and web address/contact details to find out further information
- information pack showing the proposed overall spatial strategy and delivery strategy across Wiltshire
- A3 maps of the community area and the proposed strategic site
- sign-up sheet for people who wanted to be added to the consultation database.

At the Christ Church event a print out was also available of the Bradford on Avon Community Area Strategy (an extract from the Consultation Document).

**Fitzmaurice Primary School cake sale: Friday 10 June 2011**

There was not much interest at first, but much more interest following an announcement from Cllr Hewson. Peter Dunford (Community Area Manager) directed people to the table from the entrance. There was positive feedback about the fact that officers were available at the cake sale, as a way of informing parents about the consultation. Three people provided email addresses for future updates.

**Comments about the proposals**

- Bradford on Avon needs workshops for people to work from (e.g. carpenters). These could be provided at the strategic site: they should be big enough to form a proper workplace and should be purpose built. In the past workspace has been lost to residential development. The workshops should form part of a mixed-use development, which could include a leisure aspect as well. The workshops could generate a hub for local workers.
- Traffic is a big issue in Bradford on Avon: this is particularly dangerous for children.
- Strongly against 150 new homes being provided. Bradford on Avon is already too big, and the schools are too small to accommodate more children.
- A new school is needed.
- Development should be more balanced across the community area, with more homes being directed towards the villages (and less of a focus on Bradford on Avon).
• Traffic is the big issue in Bradford on Avon.
• A bypass is needed.
• A new supermarket is needed.
• Concern that development shouldn’t be permitted on existing open spaces within the town (e.g. playing fields near Fitzmaurice School).
• Concern that employment space and infrastructure will not actually be delivered alongside housing, even if this is what is in the plan.
• Some support expressed for the proposed strategic site, and agreement that development should not be provided at the Golf Course.

**Christ Church Primary School cake sale: Friday 17 June 2011**

There was a good level of interest, with a steady flow of people visiting the table. Peter Dunford and Cllr Hewson both directed people to the table. Eight people provided email addresses for future updates. This event lasted longer than the Fitzmaurice cake sale (around 70 minutes, as compared with around 40 minutes). School plays were taking place on the same day, which may have led to increased attendance levels.

**Comments about the proposals**

• Development at Kingston Farm sounds a good idea as long as we are not building on any parks.
• Public access to the river (through the Kingston Farm land) would be good, as this is not currently accessible.
• Question about how many jobs the development would create.
• Question about implications for Christ Church School.
• Question about detailed design of development.
• Overall the proposal seems logical, but there are issues with traffic in the town, school places will be needed, and the B3107 is currently very narrow.
• Bradford on Avon is growing too much – where will it stop?
• Approximately half of the development land will be used for housing (assuming 3 hectares for employment, and excluding area indicated for green space) – this proportion is too high. There is a need for more commercial units.
• Question about density of housing.
• Access to the river would be good, but is blocked by the railway.
• Concern that 40% affordable housing is too high.
• Existing trees on the Moulton Estate should be protected.
• Proposals would lead to more traffic through town, and along the B3109 to access the Bath Road.
• Is more housing needed?
• How would schools be expanded? Where would they be expanded?
• New residents are likely to look to Christ Church School for school places.
• Schools/GPs – will need to build extensions to these – just requiring money from developers is not enough; need to consider how the schools/GPs will expand.
• How will GP surgeries expand?
• A road link/bypass crossing the Moulton Estate land and crossing the river and railway would be very useful to alleviate traffic in the town centre. Development at the site could help to fund this.
• Bradford needs a bypass.
• Traffic over the town bridge needs to be sorted out.

**Actions following the cake sales (Fitzmaurice and Christ Church)**

A number of the comments received related to highways/transport issues (e.g. traffic in the town centre, suggestions for a bypass). It would therefore be useful for a highways/transport officer to be available at the exhibition on 28 June.

There were also a number of comments received about the need to expand schools and GP surgeries. It would be useful to obtain a briefing on any available details relating to potential expansion of schools/GP surgeries before the exhibition on 28 June.

A total of 11 people provided email addresses for future updates. The 11 people have all been added to the consultation database, and have been sent an e-mail with details of the consultation and the exhibition on 28 June.

The comments received will be considered alongside other feedback received during the consultation period, to help inform the preparation of the Submission Draft Core Strategy.
Appendix 7 - Wiltshire Assembly and library display
This is your opportunity to express your views about how your community and Wiltshire should develop in the years to 2026.

Wiltshire needs a clear vision to guide and influence future development in the most sustainable manner.

The planning policy document to deliver this is known as a core strategy.

The purpose of this consultation is to seek your views on the Wiltshire Core Strategy consultation document. In particular:

- the issues covered in the consultation document
- employment land to deliver jobs and homes needed in Wiltshire 2006-2026
- a strategy for Wiltshire to deliver growth and ensure sustainable development takes place
- specific proposals on the nature and scale of growth appropriate for each community area and at main settlements
- general policies to support the plan's environmental, social and economic objectives.

Your opinions could make the difference.

The consultation document is supported by an interim sustainability appraisal, a Habitats Regulations Assessment and a series of draft topic papers all available on the council’s web site (www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wcsconsult2011).
The Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document contains:

**Introduction**
Clarifies the role of and approach to the core strategy

**What is Wiltshire like and where does Wiltshire want to be?**
Sets out the key challenges, principles, vision and strategic objectives underpinning the emerging core strategy

**Delivering the vision for Wiltshire**
Proposes the level of new jobs and homes required and the role of settlements and explains how infrastructure to support development will be provided

**Community Area Strategies**
Sets out proposals for individual community areas outside south Wiltshire and the key issues to be addressed in those communities

**Delivering strategic objectives**
Policies to shape and manage development

The document pulls together responses to the Wiltshire 2026 consultation (2009/10), recent meetings in each community area about levels of growth in Wiltshire and emerging proposals to change the planning system (2011) and ongoing dialogue with Wiltshire’s many partners.

**Note**
The community area strategies for south Wiltshire (Salisbury, Amesbury, Wilton, southern Wiltshire, Tisbury and Mere) are within the South Wiltshire Core Strategy proposed submission draft which is at a more advanced stage. These community area strategies will be subsumed into the Wiltshire Core Strategy at an appropriate time.
A proposed spatial strategy for Wiltshire

The spatial strategy promotes the most sustainable pattern of development based on an assessment of the role of places and considers the appropriate level of development in those places as follows:

**Principal settlements** – strategically important centres and the primary focus for development.

**Market towns** – the focus for locally significant development.

**Local service centres** – modest levels of development acceptable to safeguard their role within the rural area.

**Large villages** – small sites acceptable primarily within existing settlement boundaries to reflect the level of jobs and services available.

**Small villages** – only limited infill allowed to reflect very limited jobs and services available. Settlement boundaries to be removed.

Do you agree with the proposed settlement strategy summarised above? Are there any changes that you would suggest?
The delivery strategy sets out the level of new employment land and homes proposed to be delivered over the period 2006 to 2026 in a way that maximises benefits from development whilst minimising environmental and social impacts.

It proposes 175 to 182 hectares of new employment land and 37,000 new homes be delivered. A significant number of homes are already planned for, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of dwellings (net)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed housing requirement (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Wiltshire</td>
<td>9,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiltshire (excl. South Wiltshire)</td>
<td>27,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiltshire total</td>
<td>37,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The delivery strategy also proposes to:

- phase development to manage the supply of homes to ensure it is better aligned to the delivery of job growth and infrastructure, to help redress imbalance between jobs and homes and to address out-commuting
- make the best use of previously developed land to support regeneration opportunities, in particular the central areas of Chippenham, Trowbridge and Salisbury
- focus employment land on settlements with economic potential to ensure Witshire’s economic prosperity
- deliver 11,000 affordable homes
- release non strategic allocations through community led neighbourhood plans or other development plan documents to deliver levels of development proposed.
Summarised below are the total proposed level of housing and employment land for each community area. The consultation document identifies what proportion of these figures relate to the principal settlements and market towns.

Proposed strategic allocations and employment land are to be delivered at the principal settlements or market towns within the relevant community area.

‘Still to be identified’ - relates to the number of new homes left to be provided once dwellings built since 2006, deliverable sites with planning permission (including sites subject to completion of legal agreements) or outstanding local plan sites and new proposed strategic site allocations have been taken off. It is proposed that the residual number of homes could be brought forward as windfall sites or subsequent site allocations.

Do you agree with the proposed delivery strategy summarised above? Are there any changes that you would suggest?
Delivering strategic objectives

It is important that the policies to deliver the overall strategy of the plan are supported by other policies to manage and shape development. These are listed below and will apply to the whole of Wiltshire.

To deliver a thriving economy that provides a range of job opportunities
- CP21 Additional employment land
- CP22 Existing employment sites
- CP23 Economic regeneration
- CP24 Re-use of military establishments
- CP25 Rural diversification and enterprise

To address climate change
- CP26 Sustainable construction and low carbon energy
- CP27 Stand-alone renewable energy installations

To provide everyone with access to a decent, affordable home
- CP28 Providing affordable homes
- CP29 Meeting housing needs
- CP30 Lifetime homes standards
- CP31 Meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers

To help build resilient communities
- CP32 Protection of services and community facilities

To protect and enhance the natural environment
- CP33 Biodiversity and geodiversity
- CP34 Landscape
- CP35/36 Green infrastructure/development Management policy

To safeguard and promote a high quality built and historic environment
- CP37 High quality design and place shaping
- CP38 Protection of the historic environment
- CP39 Housing density
- CP40 Stonehenge and Avebury

To enhance the vitality and viability of town centres
- CP41 Retail and leisure

To promote sustainable forms of transport
- CP42 Sustainable transport
- CP43 Transport and development
- CP44 Development impacts on the highway network
- CP45 Transport strategies
- CP46 Demand management
- CP47 Movement of goods
- CP48 Strategic transport network

To minimise the risk of flooding and ensure effective water management
- CP49 Flood risk
- CP50 Water efficiency and the River Avon Special Area of Conservation
- CP51 Pollution and phosphate levels in the water environment

Do the policy areas summarised above cover the right subjects? Are there any other subject areas that need to be considered?
The consultation lasts until 5pm on 8 August 2011. All documents are available on the council’s web www.wiltshire.gov.uk/wcsconsult2011 or can be viewed in the council’s offices in Chippenham (Monkton Park), Devizes (Browfort), Salisbury (Milford Street) and Trowbridge (County Hall and Bradley Road) as well as all local libraries.

You can also get involved by visiting a more detailed exhibition between 2pm and 8pm at the following venues:

24 June    Paragon Hall, Westbury
28 June    St Margarets Hall, Bradford on Avon
29 June    Marlborough Town Hall, Marlborough
29 June    Guildhall, Salisbury
4 July     Town Hall, Corsham
5 July     Bouverie Hall, Pewsey
5 July     Assembly Hall, Melksham
6 July     Neeld Hall, Chippenham
7 July     Antrobus House, Amesbury
11 July    Ceres Hall, Corn Exchange, Devizes
12 July    Nadder Hall, Tisbury
13 July    Bridge House, Trowbridge
13 July    Village Hall, Lacock
14 July    Memorial Hall, Downton
14 July    Library, Warminster (2-7pm)
15 July    Town Hall, Calne
18 July    Town Hall, Malmesbury
18 July    Library, Wootton Bassett
20 July    Memorial Hall, Ludgershall

Comments can be submitted:
• online at http://consult.wiltshire.gov.uk/portal
• by email to spatialplanningpolicy@wiltshire.gov.uk
• in writing to Spatial Planning, Economy and Enterprise, Wiltshire Council, County Hall, Bythesea Road, Trowbridge, Wiltshire. BA14 8JN

All comments received during this consultation will be used to develop the draft Wiltshire core strategy.

More information about the South Wiltshire Core Strategy is available at:
http://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/planninganddevelopment/planningpolicy/southwiltshirecorestrategy.htm
A proposed spatial strategy for Wiltshire

The spatial strategy promotes the most sustainable pattern of development based on an assessment of the role of places and considers the appropriate level of development in those places as follows:

- Market towns: key strategic centres and the principal focus for development.
- Local service centres: towns of significant service role within their area.
- Large villages: built-up areas which are significant service and employment centres.
- Small villages: only included if allowed to serve local jobs and services within the employment boundary or to serve a cluster of villages.

Do you agree with the proposed settlement strategy summarised above? Are there any changes that you would suggest?

The delivery strategy sets out the level of new employment land and homes proposed to be delivered over the period 2006 to 2026 in a way that maximises benefits from development whilst minimising environmental and social impacts.

It proposes 175 to 182 hectares of new employment land and 37,000 new homes be delivered. A significant number of homes are already planned for, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Proposed Housing Requirement 2016/17 (ha)</th>
<th>Completions 2006 to 2010 (b)</th>
<th>Deliverable commitment 2010 (already allocated) (a)</th>
<th>Residual requirement 2010 - 2036 (b) (a)</th>
<th>Residual requirement 2010 - 2036 (b)</th>
<th>South Wiltshire</th>
<th>Wiltshire total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Wiltshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>1,781</td>
<td>1,585</td>
<td>6,315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiltshire total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27,110</td>
<td>6,583</td>
<td>7,385</td>
<td>11,226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The delivery strategy also proposes to:
- phase development to manage the supply of homes; to ensure it is better aligned to the delivery of jobs and infrastructure, to help redress imbalances between jobs and homes; and to address not-commuting;
- make the best use of previously developed land to support regeneration opportunities, in particular the central area of Chippenham, Trowbridge and Salisbury.
Appendix 8 - Parish newsletter item
This month, amongst other things we’re talking about the local development framework the cost of highways work in Wiltshire and new self service machines in libraries.

Wiltshire Council local development framework

Wiltshire residents are being asked to comment on two plans out for public consultation this summer. The first outlines the number of jobs and homes needed in Wiltshire over the next 15 years and the second makes proposals for the location of new waste management facilities across Wiltshire and Swindon. The two consultation documents which will be made public on 13 June are:

- The Wiltshire Core Strategy consultation document, and
- The Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD)

Public exhibitions are being arranged so you can find out and comment on what both documents say about your area. The exhibitions, staffed by council officers, will be open between 2pm and 8pm with one taking place in each community area. The dates confirmed so far are listed on the council website. Additional dates will be posted on the council’s consultation portal as soon as they are available.

The consultation is open from 13 June until 8 August 2011. Wiltshire Council encourages anyone wishing to view and comment on the consultation documents to use the council’s consultation portal. Alternatively hard copies of the documents can be viewed in the council’s offices in Chippenham (Monkton Park), Devizes (Browfort), Salisbury (Milford Street) and Trowbridge (County Hall and Bradley Road) as well as all local libraries.

For further information on the Wiltshire Core Strategy consultation document please telephone 01225 713489 or email: spatialplanningpolicy@wiltshire.gov.uk.

For further information on the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Site Allocations DPD please telephone 01225 713429 or email: mineralsandwastepolicy@wiltshire.gov.uk.
Appendix 9 - List of respondents to WCSCD
APPENDIX 9a : Alphabetical list of all respondents to Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document by type and name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person ID</th>
<th>Respondant</th>
<th>Group Code</th>
<th>Responses made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>396063</td>
<td>Burden, Richard</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 1465 to Question 21.8, Comment 1464 to Question 21.6, Comment 1463 to Question 21.5, Comment 1462 to Question 21.4, Comment 1461 to Question 21.3, Comment 1460 to Question 21.2, Comment 1459 to Question 21.1, Comment 1458 to Question 18, Comment 1457 to Question 22, Comment 1456 to Question 22, Comment 1153 to Question 3, Comment 1151 to Chapter 3., Comment 1150 to Chapter 2., Comment 1149 to Chapter 1., Comment 1146 to Chapter 3., Comment 1108 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395940</td>
<td>Burt, Miss Katherine, Planning Liaison Technical Specialist Environment Agency (Wessex Area)</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2177 to Question 22, Comment 2176 to Question 22, Comment 2175 to Question 21.1, Comment 2174 to Question 21.8, Comment 2173 to Question 21.5, Comment 2172 to Question 21.3, Comment 2171 to Question 21.2, Comment 2170 to Question 20, Comment 2169 to Question 19, Comment 2168 to Question 18, Comment 2167 to Question 17, Comment 2166 to Question 16, Comment 2165 to Question 15, Comment 2164 to Question 14, Comment 2163 to Question 13, Comment 2162 to Question 12, Comment 2161 to Question 11, Comment 2160 to Question 10, Comment 2159 to Question 9, Comment 2158 to Question 8, Comment 2157 to Question 7, Comment 2156 to Question 5, Comment 2155 to Question 22, Comment 2154 to Question 3, Comment 2153 to Question 2, Comment 2152 to Question 21.1, Comment 2151 to Question 21.5, Comment 2150 to Question 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543781</td>
<td>Downing, Ms Meghann, Asset Manager Highways Agency</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2476 to Question 21.8, Comment 2475 to Question 21.1, Comment 2474 to Question 20, Comment 2473 to Question 19, Comment 2472 to Question 18, Comment 2471 to Question 17, Comment 2470 to Question 16, Comment 2469 to Question 15, Comment 2468 to Question 14, Comment 2467 to Question 13, Comment 2466 to Question 12, Comment 2465 to Question 11, Comment 2464 to Question 10, Comment 2463 to Question 9, Comment 2462 to Question 7, Comment 2459 to Question 6, Comment 2458 to Question 5, Comment 2457 to Question 2, Comment 2456 to Question 1, Comment 2455 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547905</td>
<td>Fuller, Mr Mark, Group Manager Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 299 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550895</td>
<td>Gornall, Mr John, The Inland Waterways Association Avon and Wilts Branch represented by The Inland Waterways Association</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 1733 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376324</td>
<td>Hennell, Mrs Jane, Area Planner British Waterways</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 516 to Question 3, Comment 510 to Question 21.2, Comment 497 to Question 21.8, Comment 463 to Question 21.1, Comment 472 to Question 21.3, Comment 483 to Question 21.5, Comment 449 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490044</td>
<td>Jones, Mr Mike, NHS Wiltshire Public Health</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 720 to Chapter 2., Comment 719 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545679</td>
<td>Lord, Mr Andrew, Planning Advisor North Wessex Downs AONB</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 138 to Chapter 3., Comment 140 to Question 1, Comment 145 to Question 12, Comment 144 to Question 10, Comment 143 to Question 3, Comment 142 to Question 2, Comment 151 to Question 21.1, Comment 150 to Question 20, Comment 149 to Question 17, Comment 148 to Question 16, Comment 147 to Question 14, Comment 155 to Question 21.5, Comment 154 to Question 21.3, Comment 153 to Question 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445360</td>
<td>Marsh, Mr N, Principal Inspector of Health and Safety Health and Safety Executive</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 352 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488946</td>
<td>Morgan, Barbara, Town Planning Technician (Western) Network Rail</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 1636 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548656</td>
<td>National Grid represented by Mr Damien Holdstock, Consultant Town Planner AMEC Environment &amp; Infrastructure UK Limited</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2069 to Question 22, Comment 2076 to Question 7, Comment 2075 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390498</td>
<td>Ogborne, Mr D, Wessex Water</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2095 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386259</td>
<td>Oliver, Mr Ken, Canal Officer Wiltshire Council</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 568 to Question 21.5, Comment 876 to Question 16, Comment 866 to Question 9, Comment 865 to Question 12, Comment 863 to Question 4, Comment 862 to Question 15, Comment 861 to Question 20, Comment 860 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382216</td>
<td>Routh, Charles, Planning and Local Government Natural England</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 1120 to Question 22, Comment 1118 to Question 22, Comment 1115 to Question 21.5, Comment 1113 to Question 21.5, Comment 1112 to Question 22, Comment 1111 to Question 21.1, Comment 1109 to Question 21.5, Comment 1107 to Question 21.1, Comment 1105 to Question 20, Comment 1104 to Question 18, Comment 1103 to Question 17, Comment 1101 to Question 16, Comment 1100 to Question 14, Comment 1098 to Question 12, Comment 1096 to Question 11, Comment 1093 to Question 10, Comment 1091 to Question 9, Comment 1089 to Question 7, Comment 1077 to Question 3, Comment 1081 to Question 5, Comment 1077 to Question 3, Comment 1074 to Chapter 3., Comment 1073 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556404</td>
<td>Royal Mail Group Ltd represented by Daniel Parry-Jones, BNP Paribas</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2284 to Question 21.8, Comment 2280 to Question 2, Comment 2276 to Question 22, Comment 2760 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>483246</td>
<td>Sheldrake, Mr Philip, Conservation Officer RSPB (South West)</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 684 to Question 21.5, Comment 683 to Question 21.5, Comment 690 to Question 21.6, Comment 681 to Question 21.1, Comment 677 to Chapter 3., Comment 672 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396145</td>
<td>Solbra, Susan, Southern Water</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 1526 to Question 21.1, Comment 1525 to Question 17, Comment 1524 to Question 3, Comment 1523 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169659</td>
<td>Streather, Ms Claire, Chief Planner/Principal Manager Coal Authority</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 24 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449272</td>
<td>Taylor, Mrs K, Land Use Planning Adviser The National Trust</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2097 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403792</td>
<td>Torkildsen, Rohan, English Heritage</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 2443 to Question 22, Comment 2454 to Question 22, Comment 2453 to Question 21.6, Comment 2452 to Question 21.6, Comment 2451 to Question 21.6, Comment 2450 to Question 21.5, Comment 2449 to Question 21.1, Comment 2448 to Question 21.6, Comment 2435 to Question 3, Comment 2429 to Question 1, Comment 2445 to Question 4, Comment 2408 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550845</td>
<td>Wilson, David, Senior Town Planner Thames Water Property Services</td>
<td>Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers</td>
<td>Comment 1667 to Question 3, Comment 1672 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549793</td>
<td>Abell, Mora</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1175 to Question 21.1, Comment 1174 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547679</td>
<td>Adam, Mr Dan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 551 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550091</td>
<td>Adams, Hazel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1297 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549595</td>
<td>Adams, Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1052 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548493</td>
<td>Adams, Karen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 400 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556826</td>
<td>Adams, N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2512 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549962</td>
<td>Adams, Victoria</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1240 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549961</td>
<td>Adams, Wendy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1239 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550053</td>
<td>Adams, Mr Nick</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1281 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549933</td>
<td>Adams, Mr Tony</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1224 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549026</td>
<td>Addison, R.N.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 540 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548173</td>
<td>Ainsley, Mrs M K</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 346 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550778</td>
<td>Aitken, Jacqui</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1630 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556878</td>
<td>Akerman, Mr Edd</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2534 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547652</td>
<td>Allbrook, Mr William</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 235 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549891</td>
<td>Allen, Marion</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1201 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397774</td>
<td>Allen, Tony</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1887 to Question 21.1, Comment 2776 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555902</td>
<td>Allnatt, Mr Graham</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1963 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549175</td>
<td>Alsop, Mr Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 625 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447584</td>
<td>Amos, Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1663 to Question 2, Comment 1662 to Chapter 3., Comment 1666 to Question 21.3, Comment 1665 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552385</td>
<td>Amphlett, Steve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1766 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549369</td>
<td>Andre, Mrs Lynda</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2784 to Question 5, Comment 805 to Question 5, Comment 804 to Question 4, Comment 802 to Question 3, Comment 801 to Question 2, Comment 800 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550652</td>
<td>Andrews, Graham represented by Mr Les Durrant, DPDS Consulting Group</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1610 to Question 2, Comment 1609 to Question 2, Comment 1608 to Question 2, Comment 1607 to Question 22, Comment 1605 to Question 21.3, Comment 1604 to Question 21.3, Comment 1603 to Question 3, Comment 1602 to Question 2, Comment 1601 to Question 2, Comment 1600 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556822</td>
<td>Andrews, Mr Simon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2508 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542810</td>
<td>Angell, Mr Mike</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 38 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549057</td>
<td>Arlett, Joanne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 563 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556844</td>
<td>Armstrong, Sam</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2516 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391369</td>
<td>Arnall, Mr P</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 37 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549988</td>
<td>Artis, Mr Roderick</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1252 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550008</td>
<td>Ashford, Mr AC</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1265 to Question 6, Comment 1264 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549561</td>
<td>Attewell, Mrs Jacqueline</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1326 to Question 7, Comment 1325 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549766</td>
<td>Aubrey-Rees, AW</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1145 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548528</td>
<td>Auty, Mrs Fiona</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 410 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550784</td>
<td>Awdry, Mr Vere</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1632 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550123</td>
<td>Badham, Mr &amp; Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1324 to Question 3, Comment 1323 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550285</td>
<td>Bailey, Calvin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1408 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391938</td>
<td>Baines, Mr A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1659 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549689</td>
<td>Balatoire, Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1094 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550604</td>
<td>Baldwin, Terence</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1578 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549899</td>
<td>Ballinger, Julie M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1208 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549503</td>
<td>Bambury, Liz</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 922 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549874</td>
<td>Banks, G</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1193 to Question 15, Comment 1192 to Question 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549701</td>
<td>Barker, D</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1110 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549704</td>
<td>Barker, Geoff</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1114 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547969</td>
<td>Barker, J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 308 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547891</td>
<td>Barker, Mr Steven</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 289 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555983</td>
<td>Barley, Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1999 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555907</td>
<td>Barley, Margaret</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1965 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556721</td>
<td>Barnicoat, J N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2494 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556716</td>
<td>Barnicoat, S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2492 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548859</td>
<td>Barratt, Katie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 431 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556045</td>
<td>Barrett, Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2034 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547773</td>
<td>Barry, Mr Julian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 257 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549728</td>
<td>Batotore, ME</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1363 to Question 13, Comment 1126 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389879</td>
<td>Baxter, Pauline</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1697 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547971</td>
<td>Beale, J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 309 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549532</td>
<td>Beattie, Mrs Nicola</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 956 to Question 5, Comment 962 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550259</td>
<td>Beaver, Ashley</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1378 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540816</td>
<td>Beeley, Mrs Alex</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1949 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549322</td>
<td>Belk, Mr Jim</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 766 to Question 1, Comment 765 to Chapter 2., Comment 773 to Question 5, Comment 769 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555905</td>
<td>Belsom, A L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1964 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549720</td>
<td>Benke, Robin &amp; Lee</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1123 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550607</td>
<td>Bennett, Allan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1588 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549081</td>
<td>Bennett, Miss Ria</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 587 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549302</td>
<td>Bennett, Miss Sasha</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 747 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549004</td>
<td>BENNETT, MR ALAN</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1040 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549584</td>
<td>BENNETT, MRS JACQUI</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1046 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456589</td>
<td>Bennett, Mrs Lesley</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 224 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548197</td>
<td>Benns, Mr &amp; Mrs P N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 350 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546291</td>
<td>Benton, Mr Simon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 177 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548426</td>
<td>bere, mrs sally (LH), landowner</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 396 to Question 2, Comment 395 to Question 1, Comment 406 to Question 7, Comment 405 to Question 6, Comment 404 to Question 2, Comment 425 to Question 22, Comment 391 to Chapter 3. , Comment 390 to Chapter 2., Comment 389 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550282</td>
<td>Bevington, Shirley</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1404 to Question 1, Comment 1412 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549761</td>
<td>Bianchi, D</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1142 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555792</td>
<td>Biggin, Jennifer</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1925 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548924</td>
<td>Bigwood, Margaret Joyce</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 480 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549675</td>
<td>Blacker, Ellen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1084 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548884</td>
<td>Blott, Godfrey</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 441 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555882</td>
<td>Bodman, Mrs Phyllis</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1948 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545592</td>
<td>Bond, Miss</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 123 to Question 5, Comment 122 to Question 3, Comment 121 to Question 2, Comment 120 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549334</td>
<td>Bos &amp; family, Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 774 to Question 4, Comment 772 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549539</td>
<td>Boston, Diane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 960 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550831</td>
<td>Bottomley, Denis</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1654 to Question 17, Comment 1653 to Question 3, Comment 1651 to Question 2, Comment 1650 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547600</td>
<td>bowden, Mr graham</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 218 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389761</td>
<td>Bowley, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 926 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544546</td>
<td>Box, Mrs Deana</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 60 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390066</td>
<td>Boyce, John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1315 to Question 21.8, Comment 1314 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548958</td>
<td>Boyd, Creighton</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 515 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550359</td>
<td>Brace, Mr and Mrs C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1482 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549167</td>
<td>Branton, Mrs Jessica</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 756 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557719</td>
<td>Breach, Mr C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2783 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549406</td>
<td>Breavington, Mr Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 831 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549447</td>
<td>Bright, Mrs Gill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 892 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538993</td>
<td>Brodie, Mr Allan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2 to Question 20, Comment 1 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548034</td>
<td>Brookes, Mr &amp; Mrs Gordon &amp; Maureen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 313 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550828</td>
<td>Brown, Marc</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1648 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549061</td>
<td>Brown, Trevor</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 566 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557716</td>
<td>Brown, Cllr Rosemary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2781 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545829</td>
<td>Brown, Mr Jeremy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 839 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548476</td>
<td>Brown, Mr Ken</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 398 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548471</td>
<td>Brown, Mrs Janet</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 397 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556798</td>
<td>Bryan, Mrs Diana</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2500 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549792</td>
<td>Buchan, Thomas</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1173 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548615</td>
<td>Buchan, Ms Vanessa</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 420 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550093</td>
<td>Buckland, Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1299 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549344</td>
<td>Buckley, Mr Neil</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 775 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556884</td>
<td>Bullivan, Ms Emily</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2538 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556850</td>
<td>Bullock, H</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2518 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555952</td>
<td>Bunting, Mr George</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1992 to Question 8, Comment 1991 to Question 7, Comment 1990 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555921</td>
<td>Burbidge, Dorothy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1974 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549931</td>
<td>Burlison, Mr Adam</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1221 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549985</td>
<td>Cadogan, Mrs K</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1250 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545341</td>
<td>Campbell, James &amp; Dilly</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 85 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556730</td>
<td>Candy, G P &amp; S E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2497 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458208</td>
<td>Carbin, Cllr Trevor</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 991 to Question 8, Comment 995 to Question 9, Comment 972 to Question 3, Comment 987 to Question 7, Comment 963 to Question 2, Comment 1022 to Question 21.8, Comment 1018 to Question 21.6, Comment 1044 to Question 22, Comment 1043 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549365</td>
<td>Carey, Mrs Diane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 818 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546931</td>
<td>Cartwright, Mr Neil</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 207 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549928</td>
<td>Case, Mr Phillip</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1218 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549711</td>
<td>Casey, Harriet</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1117 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549929</td>
<td>Cassidy, Mr and Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1215 to Question 7, Comment 1225 to Question 8, Comment 1222 to Question 6, Comment 1220 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549432</td>
<td>Caswill, Councillor Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2785 to Question 5, Comment 881 to Question 5, Comment 864 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549254</td>
<td>Caudery, Di</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 693 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549347</td>
<td>Caughey, DR Samuel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 780 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549253</td>
<td>Cavailes, Maaike</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 691 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548323</td>
<td>Cessford, Ms Annabel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 374 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547696</td>
<td>Chainey, Mrs Penny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 241 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550779</td>
<td>Chalmers, David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1631 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461251</td>
<td>Chapman, Mrs Gina</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1051 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559320</td>
<td>Chara, Mrs H</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2893 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546277</td>
<td>Chatterton, Ms Valerie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 176 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549667</td>
<td>Churchill, Ann</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1080 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556887</td>
<td>Churchill, Mr Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2539 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547735</td>
<td>Clague, R. G.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 246 to Question 5, Comment 249 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548915</td>
<td>Clark, Jon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 462 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545613</td>
<td>Clark, Councillor Ernie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 787 to Question 21.2, Comment 789 to Question 21.1, Comment 809 to Question 6, Comment 945 to Question 7, Comment 823 to Question 7, Comment 609 to Question 1, Comment 429 to Question 21.3, Comment 428 to Question 21.4, Comment 427 to Question 21.6, Comment 426 to Question 7, Comment 611 to Question 3, Comment 613 to Question 22, Comment 291 to Chapter 1., Comment 290 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556879</td>
<td>Clarke, Mr Tom</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2535 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550023</td>
<td>Clarkson, B R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1272 to Question 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547185</td>
<td>Cleverly, Mrs Geraldine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 210 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549278</td>
<td>Clewer, Cllr Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 722 to Question 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550069</td>
<td>Clifford, Mr Rob</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1287 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549772</td>
<td>Clive, Andre</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1155 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549777</td>
<td>Clive, Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1163 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550254</td>
<td>Cloutter, Susannah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1375 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548180</td>
<td>Coad, Mrs E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 349 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556212</td>
<td>Cobb, Frances</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2118 to Question 7, Comment 2120 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549940</td>
<td>Cole, Gemma</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1231 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548540</td>
<td>Cole, Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 415 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546947</td>
<td>Colin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 209 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549249</td>
<td>Collins, Aileen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 685 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546917</td>
<td>Collins, Jillian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 204 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550065</td>
<td>Colson, Mr Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1284 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390914</td>
<td>Combe, Ms J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 493 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549687</td>
<td>Compton, Georgina, Dauntsey Church</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1092 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397010</td>
<td>Connolly, Cllr Mark</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 201 to Question 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549828</td>
<td>Constantine, Rachel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1188 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549593</td>
<td>Coole, KM</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1049 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549673</td>
<td>Coole, Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1083 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545998</td>
<td>Coombe, Mr Simon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 171 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556874</td>
<td>Coombes, Mr Roy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2530 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545713</td>
<td>Coombs, Gill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 134 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549060</td>
<td>Copeland, Ms Carole</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 565 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550250</td>
<td>Cornock, Adrian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1374 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547960</td>
<td>Coupe, EAJ</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 302 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469758</td>
<td>Courts, Ms Leslie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 899 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548512</td>
<td>Covington, Mr Leslie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 402 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548973</td>
<td>Cozens, Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 519 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548877</td>
<td>Crawley, S. R.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 435 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548879</td>
<td>Crawley, Mr R. J.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 436 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549964</td>
<td>Crewdson, William</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1242 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556157</td>
<td>Crone, K M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2101 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549692</td>
<td>Cross, Bridget</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1097 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549640</td>
<td>Cross-Jones, Alison</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1057 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550307</td>
<td>Cucefix, Mr and Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1431 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549198</td>
<td>Cullis, Fred</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 634 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547716</td>
<td>Curran, Karen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 242 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550802</td>
<td>da Costa, Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1842 to Question 7, Comment 1640 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550610</td>
<td>Damery, Mr R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1590 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549487</td>
<td>Daniel, Mr Brian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1341 to Question 10, Comment 1340 to Question 4, Comment 1339 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548934</td>
<td>Daniels, Mrs G.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 503 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549576</td>
<td>Darkin, Miss J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1033 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549926</td>
<td>Davenport, Mr C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1216 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Person</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447243</td>
<td>Davenport, Mr P</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1249 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549100</td>
<td>Davis, Michelle</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 597 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549044</td>
<td>Davis, Neil</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 554 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549974</td>
<td>Davis, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1245 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542517</td>
<td>Davis, Mrs Karen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 56 to Question 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556882</td>
<td>Dawson, Ms Wendy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2537 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548100</td>
<td>Day, Mr &amp; Mrs Roger &amp; Leslie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 331 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549442</td>
<td>De'ath, Brian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 880 to Question 14, Comment 879 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549048</td>
<td>Deeson, Nicki</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 555 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550203</td>
<td>Delahaye, Gail</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1354 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550149</td>
<td>Denness, Jason</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1338 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555987</td>
<td>Denning, Mr Jim</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2004 to Question 7, Comment 2003 to Question 6, Comment 2002 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548867</td>
<td>Des Voeux, Miss R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1954 to Question 5, Comment 478 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550269</td>
<td>Dias, Owen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1388 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549389</td>
<td>Dias, Mr Edmund</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 819 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549405</td>
<td>Dixon, Mr Steve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 835 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548535</td>
<td>Doyle, Ged</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 413 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550320</td>
<td>Drane, Mrs Natasha</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1447 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545280</td>
<td>Drew, Vicky &amp; Phil</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 73 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550852</td>
<td>Driver, Mr &amp; Mrs W represented by Charlotte Watkins, LP Planning</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1681 to Question 2, Comment 1683 to Question 3, Comment 1685 to Question 11, Comment 1687 to Question 21.3, Comment 1689 to Question 21.5, Comment 1679 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550276</td>
<td>Drury, Lotte</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1394 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556809</td>
<td>du Boulay, Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2502 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547762</td>
<td>Dunderdale, Anne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 254 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555686</td>
<td>Durham, James</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1862 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549402</td>
<td>Dymond, Mr Leslie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 826 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555803</td>
<td>Eames, Dr Kevin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1934 to Question 3, Comment 1933 to Question 6, Comment 1932 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555815</td>
<td>Eames, Ms Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1943 to Question 8, Comment 1942 to Question 6, Comment 1941 to Question 3, Comment 1940 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550271</td>
<td>Earle, Rich</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1389 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550005</td>
<td>Eaton, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1262 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550287</td>
<td>Edgington, David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1414 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555909</td>
<td>Edwards, Kathryn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1968 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547987</td>
<td>Elliott, G R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 312 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461885</td>
<td>Ellis, Mr Jon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2204 to Question 18, Comment 948 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394338</td>
<td>Ellison, Mr</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 470 to Question 12, Comment 471 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550046</td>
<td>Emes, Mr and Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1888 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549260</td>
<td>Emily, Sarah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 697 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549548</td>
<td>England, Mr &amp; Mrs H &amp; F</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 975 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557573</td>
<td>Enthoven, Gerogina</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2738 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550045</td>
<td>Enthoven, Ms Angela</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1279 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550392</td>
<td>Etherington, R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1487 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549639</td>
<td>Exton, Julie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1056 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545343</td>
<td>Faber, Mr Tom</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 86 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480920</td>
<td>Fairley, Mr Nigel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 867 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548949</td>
<td>Falkner-Lee, Pip</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 514 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550291</td>
<td>Faulkner, Ms Tracey</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1418 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545940</td>
<td>Fearnley, Mr Ray</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 165 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402574</td>
<td>Feather, David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 117 to Question 21.8, Comment 116 to Question 21.6, Comment 115 to Question 21.5, Comment 114 to Question 21.4, Comment 113 to Question 20, Comment 112 to Question 7, Comment 110 to Question 6, Comment 109 to Question 3, Comment 108 to Chapter 3., Comment 107 to Question 22, Comment 102 to Question 14, Comment 101 to Question 22, Comment 100 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549478</td>
<td>Fennell, Peter and Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 896 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556877</td>
<td>Fenwick, Mrs Sarah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2532 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550264</td>
<td>Ferris, Ben</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1383 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547910</td>
<td>Field, Mrs Joyce</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 886 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549368</td>
<td>Fish, Mrs Lynne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 816 to Question 19, Comment 808 to Question 6, Comment 814 to Question 12, Comment 813 to Question 7, Comment 812 to Question 11, Comment 811 to Chapter 3., Comment 810 to Question 22, Comment 798 to Chapter 1., Comment 806 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549924</td>
<td>Fisher, Maureen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1214 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549785</td>
<td>Fleming, Scott Maltings Nill Management Company LTD</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1170 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468116</td>
<td>Fletcher, Mrs Samantha</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 399 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487814</td>
<td>Fletcher, Ms Sally</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2209 to Question 11, Comment 2208 to Question 3, Comment 2207 to Question 2, Comment 2206 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541289</td>
<td>Flood, Sue &amp; James</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 32 to Question 21.7, Comment 31 to Question 3, Comment 30 to Question 2, Comment 29 to Question 12, Comment 26 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550268</td>
<td>Forrest, Charlotte</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1386 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550263</td>
<td>Foster, Sarah represented by Sarah Foster, SF Planning Link Ltd</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1382 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549691</td>
<td>Fowler, Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1095 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548900</td>
<td>Fox, G R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 447 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550423</td>
<td>Fox, Rosemary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1495 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549021</td>
<td>Frame, Pam</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 534 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549734</td>
<td>Frapwell, JI</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1130 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555474</td>
<td>Freeman, Patricia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1832 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466722</td>
<td>Freeman, Mrs Kate</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2697 to Question 3, Comment 2701 to Question 22, Comment 2691 to Question 21.7, Comment 2687 to Question 21.6, Comment 2684 to Question 21.1, Comment 2682 to Question 22, Comment 2681 to Question 21.8, Comment 2679 to Question 12, Comment 2678 to Question 3, Comment 2677 to Question 2, Comment 2676 to Question 1, Comment 2706 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546322</td>
<td>Freeman, Prof M A R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 181 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555916</td>
<td>Frost, T A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1972 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555687</td>
<td>Fryer, B</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1865 to Question 7, Comment 1864 to Question 6, Comment 1863 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381814</td>
<td>Funnell, Mark and Jill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1319 to Question 3, Comment 1318 to Question 6, Comment 1317 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549394</td>
<td>Gallagher, Mr Robert</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 820 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548256</td>
<td>Gardiner, Mr G</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 363 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549552</td>
<td>Gay, Karen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 989 to Question 7, Comment 988 to Question 6, Comment 986 to Question 3, Comment 985 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555693</td>
<td>Gibson, Mr and Mrs D &amp; S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1877 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549034</td>
<td>Giles, Gwen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 544 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549085</td>
<td>Gill, Abigail</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 585 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463125</td>
<td>Gillham, Dr Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 792 to Question 21.8, Comment 791 to Question 21.7, Comment 790 to Question 21.6, Comment 788 to Question 21.5, Comment 786 to Question 21.4, Comment 785 to Question 21.3, Comment 784 to Question 21.2, Comment 783 to Question 21.1, Comment 782 to Question 19, Comment 781 to Question 18, Comment 779 to Question 3, Comment 778 to Question 2, Comment 777 to Question 1, Comment 750 to Chapter 3, Comment 688 to Chapter 1, Comment 696 to Chapter 2, Comment 676 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547772</td>
<td>Giokas, Mrs J Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 256 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548154</td>
<td>Giraud-Saunders, R C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 338 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546313</td>
<td>Giraud-Saunders, Mr Roger, OATS Limited</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 179 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549410</td>
<td>Glass, Ms Sheila, Chairman Ramsbury &amp; Axford Parish Council</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 842 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549205</td>
<td>Glover, John, Melksham Without Parish Council</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 638 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550822</td>
<td>Godley, Elaine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1644 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550235</td>
<td>Gollogly, Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1360 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556720</td>
<td>Goodman, Mr Mathew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2493 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545944</td>
<td>Goodwill, Mr Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 166 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539034</td>
<td>Gorford, Mr Paul</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 4 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549101</td>
<td>Gorman, Paul</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 598 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550228</td>
<td>Gough, Mrs Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1358 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549787</td>
<td>Gould, Alan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1171 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550794</td>
<td>Gould, Dr Stuart</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1635 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549878</td>
<td>Gowers, Mr and Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1197 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548097</td>
<td>Goyns, Miss L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 328 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394412</td>
<td>Graham, Dr A H D</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 87 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549758</td>
<td>Grant, Kate and Pete</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1140 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550415</td>
<td>Gray, John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1493 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549201</td>
<td>Gray, Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 636 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549170</td>
<td>Greatwood, Danny &amp; Angela</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 612 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550180</td>
<td>Green, Diana</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1350 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548933</td>
<td>Green, Douglas</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 501 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547746</td>
<td>Green, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 253 to Question 19, Comment 252 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557455</td>
<td>Green, Mr G W</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2644 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390234</td>
<td>Greenland, A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1125 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545955</td>
<td>Greenman, Ms Chantelle</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1380 to Question 5, Comment 168 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546324</td>
<td>Greenman, Ms Margaret</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1393 to Question 5, Comment 183 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550031</td>
<td>Griffin, Mr J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1274 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550037</td>
<td>Griffin, Mrs P</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1277 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549047</td>
<td>Griffith, Mr Bleddyn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 730 to Question 21.5, Comment 729 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549261</td>
<td>Griffiths, Pamela</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 701 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546038</td>
<td>Grun, Mr Arthur, Proprietor The Horse Guards</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 174 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550825</td>
<td>Gschwind-Freeman, Julie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1646 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549022</td>
<td>Gubbins, Mr Kenneth</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 539 to Question 4, Comment 538 to Question 2, Comment 537 to Question 1, Comment 541 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550786</td>
<td>Guley, Trevor</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1633 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549052</td>
<td>Gundry, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1060 to Question 13, Comment 561 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549452</td>
<td>Haines, Mrs Louisa</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 884 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547962</td>
<td>Hallward, Dr &amp; Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 303 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549573</td>
<td>Hambridge, Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1045 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559249</td>
<td>Hames, Mr Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2878 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549699</td>
<td>Hammond, Brian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1106 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549759</td>
<td>Hammond, Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1141 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546208</td>
<td>Handke, Mr Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 175 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549409</td>
<td>Hanington, Mr Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 833 to Question 13, Comment 832 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549993</td>
<td>Hanrahan, Mr Ryan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1255 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550296</td>
<td>Harbourne, Mr and Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1425 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549418</td>
<td>Harding, Mr C M W</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 838 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549825</td>
<td>Hare, Caroline</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1187 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548881</td>
<td>Hare, Mik</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 440 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549422</td>
<td>Harmer, J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 927 to Question 21.2, Comment 940 to Question 21.3, Comment 946 to Question 21.6, Comment 841 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549417</td>
<td>Harmer, Mrs Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 837 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549978</td>
<td>Harris, EG</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1247 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549662</td>
<td>Harris, Mrs J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1079 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550864</td>
<td>Harrison, Jill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1699 to Question 9, Comment 1698 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548058</td>
<td>Harrison, Mr James</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 317 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555811</td>
<td>Harrison, Mr Kenneth</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1938 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556044</td>
<td>Hartnell, Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2033 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391352</td>
<td>Hartnell, Mr N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1676 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549589</td>
<td>Harvey, Mrs , Select one</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1047 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550537</td>
<td>Hatton, Kath</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1532 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550439</td>
<td>Hawker, Anna</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1500 to Question 9, Comment 1499 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550308</td>
<td>Hawker, R represented by</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1433 to Chapter 1., Comment 1432 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sarah Foster, SF Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Link Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396066</td>
<td>Hawkins, Ann</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1843 to Question 5, Comment 1642 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550417</td>
<td>Hawkins, John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1494 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403913</td>
<td>Hayes, Kate</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1483 to Question 5, Comment 2881 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382015</td>
<td>Hayes, Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2884 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544366</td>
<td>Hayes, Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 66 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557508</td>
<td>Haysom, Emie and Len</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2670 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550002</td>
<td>Hayward, L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1260 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549919</td>
<td>Heard, Ms Alexandra</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1211 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549937</td>
<td>Hearn, Jenny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1228 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549956</td>
<td>Hearn, Mr Dean</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1236 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549524</td>
<td>Hearn, Mrs Barbara</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 950 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556219</td>
<td>Hebard, Anna</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2126 to Question 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547781</td>
<td>Hedley, Mrs Julie Samantha</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 268 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549374</td>
<td>Henley, Dr Pete</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 958 to Question 3, Comment 961 to Question 6, Comment 965 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549754</td>
<td>Heren, Louise, Producer/</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1138 to Question 5, Comment 1136 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Director Reybridge Films Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549697</td>
<td>Hewitson, C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1102 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549948</td>
<td>Hewitt, Gladys</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1234 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549657</td>
<td>Hibbard, Mr Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1076 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545691</td>
<td>Hickman, Peter &amp; Ann-Marie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 126 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547827</td>
<td>Higginson, Mrs Dorothy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 272 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556727</td>
<td>Highes-Young, Lara, United</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2496 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549955</td>
<td>Higson, Mr Barnaby</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1235 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545339</td>
<td>Hill, G C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1369 to Question 5, Comment 84 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549944</td>
<td>Hill, Rose</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1233 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549965</td>
<td>Hill, Mr Duncan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1243 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550785</td>
<td>Hill, Mr T.S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1809 to Question 7, Comment 1634 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556506</td>
<td>Hinde, D E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2359 to Question 9, Comment 2358 to Question 7, Comment 2357 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547605</td>
<td>Hitchmough, Mrs Charlotte</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 228 to Question 21.5, Comment 226 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539032</td>
<td>Hoare, Mrs Claire</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 5 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549475</td>
<td>Hobson, Mr Jonathan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1973 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555718</td>
<td>Hodges, Ms Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1882 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548977</td>
<td>Hodgetts, John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 520 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545706</td>
<td>Holt, Dr Alan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 131 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549189</td>
<td>Horigan, Mrs Gillian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 630 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550682</td>
<td>Horwood, Colin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1695 to Question 21.3, Comment 1694 to Question 21.3, Comment 1693 to Question 21.3, Comment 1614 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550314</td>
<td>Hough, Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1439 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478307</td>
<td>Hough, Mr Jonathan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 994 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481046</td>
<td>Hough, Mrs Olivia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 992 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476791</td>
<td>House, Mrs Frances</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 564 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547566</td>
<td>Howard, Mr Charles</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2796 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548922</td>
<td>Howard, Ms F</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 513 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376768</td>
<td>Howarth, Mrs Joan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1466 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545536</td>
<td>Hudston, Mr Joan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 118 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550249</td>
<td>Hughes, Michael</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1368 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548091</td>
<td>Hughes, Mrs Karen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 325 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549323</td>
<td>Hulse, Mr Julian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 767 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550097</td>
<td>Humphrey, Melanie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1950 to Question 5, Comment 1300 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549372</td>
<td>Humphrey, MR Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 815 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550774</td>
<td>humphreys, E.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1629 to Question 5, Comment 1627 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548161</td>
<td>Humphreys, Mr &amp; Mrs M T &amp;</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 341 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550009</td>
<td>Hunt, M A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1266 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550267</td>
<td>Hutchinson, Dawn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1385 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549266</td>
<td>Hutchinson, Mr Mark</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 704 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549454</td>
<td>Hyde Grossman, David &amp; Julia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 883 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452185</td>
<td>Iason, Mr Jack</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1668 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556127</td>
<td>Inskip, Owen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2098 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549050</td>
<td>Inskip, Victoria</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 558 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556714</td>
<td>Inskip, Mr Thomas, Liberum Capital</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2491 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550759</td>
<td>Isaac, Mrs S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1623 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555787</td>
<td>Jago, Mrs Angie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1924 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547965</td>
<td>James, Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 306 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556745</td>
<td>James, Mr</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2499 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549393</td>
<td>James, Mr Gavin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 834 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556739</td>
<td>James, Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2498 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557713</td>
<td>James, Ms G</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2782 to Question 6, Comment 2780 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547578</td>
<td>jamieson, Ms Margaret</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 216 to Question 4, Comment 215 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546920</td>
<td>Jay, Katie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 205 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555947</td>
<td>Jeffrey, Ruth and Justin, Malmesbury.com</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1985 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549921</td>
<td>Jenkins, Andrea</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1212 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549959</td>
<td>Jenkins, Mr Derek</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1237 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544943</td>
<td>Jenkins, Mrs Jacqui</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 63 to Question 5, Comment 62 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549963</td>
<td>Jenkins, Ms June</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1241 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557467</td>
<td>Jensen, Councillor</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2648 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541279</td>
<td>Johnson, Beryl</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 22 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549252</td>
<td>Johnson, Mark, ATE Solutions Ltd</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 689 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412768</td>
<td>Johnson, Mrs Christine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 741 to Question 19, Comment 723 to Question 1, Comment 726 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556814</td>
<td>Jon, Mr Conngu</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2505 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550298</td>
<td>Jones, D &amp; T</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1427 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550153</td>
<td>Jones, Dave</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1345 to Question 7, Comment 1344 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549715</td>
<td>Jones, JD</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1121 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549251</td>
<td>Jones, Kerry</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 687 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549717</td>
<td>Jones, R.E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1122 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398552</td>
<td>Jones, Mr and Mrs B.A and G.J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1931 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378184</td>
<td>Jones, Mr Eric</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 53 to Question 21.3, Comment 52 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549127</td>
<td>Jones, Mr Robert</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 607 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548963</td>
<td>Jones-Davies, Rob</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 517 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544772</td>
<td>justin, ms trisha</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 59 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398151</td>
<td>Kavanagh, Anna</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1198 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399816</td>
<td>Kavenagh, Mr Ross</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2597 to Question 7, Comment 8 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550116</td>
<td>Kershaw, G.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1312 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549820</td>
<td>Kershaw, Sarah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1183 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407354</td>
<td>Killane, Mr Simon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 942 to Question 22, Comment 843 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549942</td>
<td>King, Peter &amp; Jenny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1232 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550907</td>
<td>King, S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1736 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556866</td>
<td>King, Mr Nigel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2527 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393877</td>
<td>King, Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1927 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394109</td>
<td>Kingsland, Mrs J D</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 446 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487991</td>
<td>Kinnersly, Mr Patrick</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1928 to Question 19, Comment 1926 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555742</td>
<td>Kirk, Mr and Mrs R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1890 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550606</td>
<td>Knowles, Pat</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1586 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544796</td>
<td>Korsak, Mr Andrew, Individual</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 61 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549721</td>
<td>Kyle &amp; Good, Jean &amp; Rosaline</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1124 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555776</td>
<td>Lainchbury, Mrs Celia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1909 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547953</td>
<td>Lamb, David &amp; Nina</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 301 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549810</td>
<td>Lamb, Emily</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1179 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548045</td>
<td>Lancaster-Gaye, Ms Ingrid</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 314 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548451</td>
<td>Land, Dr &amp; Mrs J B</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 393 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548101</td>
<td>Lane, Mr &amp; Mrs Robin &amp; Theresa</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 332 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544353</td>
<td>Lane, Mr Alan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 54 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550739</td>
<td>Lang, Mike</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1617 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546351</td>
<td>Langdon, Miss Catherine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 185 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550294</td>
<td>Langley, Kevin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1423 to Question 5, Comment 1422 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549768</td>
<td>Langston, DR &amp; GM</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1147 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549303</td>
<td>Larden, Mrs M J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 749 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550292</td>
<td>Lavin, Sybill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1420 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556861</td>
<td>Lawler, Mr M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2526 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548888</td>
<td>Lay, Cllr Jacqui</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 460 to Question 20, Comment 442 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549259</td>
<td>Le Quense &amp; Hughes, Jehanne &amp; Frank</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 698 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549111</td>
<td>Leadbeater, Mr Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 606 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550258</td>
<td>Leadbetter, Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1377 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550310</td>
<td>Lee, A represented by Sarah Foster, SF Planning Link Ltd</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1638 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549888</td>
<td>Lee, Ann</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1199 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548207</td>
<td>Lee, Mr &amp; Mrs L &amp; L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 351 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394300</td>
<td>Legge, Mrs J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 475 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550171</td>
<td>Leitch, Brian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1347 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555785</td>
<td>Leslie, Hilary &amp; Christopher</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1921 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547764</td>
<td>Lewin, John &amp; Alison</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 255 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550450</td>
<td>Lewis, Mr &amp; Mrs S &amp; S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1527 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541244</td>
<td>Lewis, Mr. David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 21 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550837</td>
<td>Lindrea, P.N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1656 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547963</td>
<td>Lindsey, S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 304 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555828</td>
<td>Linge, Mrs B</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1947 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550363</td>
<td>Link, K &amp; M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1484 to Question 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547775</td>
<td>Little, C.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 258 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549484</td>
<td>Little, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 923 to Question 8, Comment 907 to Question 1, Comment 905 to Chapter 3., Comment 910 to Question 2, Comment 912 to Question 3, Comment 921 to Question 7, Comment 916 to Question 6, Comment 903 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413892</td>
<td>Ilewellyn, ms Iorna</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 459 to Question 21.8, Comment 458 to Question 21.5, Comment 457 to Question 22, Comment 456 to Question 12, Comment 455 to Question 3, Comment 454 to Question 2, Comment 453 to Question 1, Comment 461 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549830</td>
<td>Lloyd, Peregrine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1189 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542137</td>
<td>Lloyd, Miss Karen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 694 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446563</td>
<td>Lloyd-Baker, E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 221 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546939</td>
<td>Lloyd-Baker, Mrs Joanna</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 208 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550290</td>
<td>Long, Steve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1417 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550062</td>
<td>Long, Miss Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1286 to Question 3, Comment 1285 to Question 6, Comment 1282 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502285</td>
<td>Long, Mr Trevor</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 125 to Question 2, Comment 124 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550429</td>
<td>Longhurst, Louise</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1496 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548446</td>
<td>Lovell, Mr &amp; Mrs Derek &amp; Joan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 392 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550071</td>
<td>Loveridge, S.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1288 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555991</td>
<td>Lowe, Sarah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2006 to Question 6, Comment 2005 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555986</td>
<td>Lowe, Mr &amp; Mrs</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2001 to Question 6, Comment 2000 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381324</td>
<td>Lunn, Sheila and Arthur</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1349 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549403</td>
<td>Iye, Mrs Sheila</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 827 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548320</td>
<td>Lyons, Ms Agnes</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 372 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550898</td>
<td>Mac, Stephen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1752 to Question 5, Comment 1735 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548369</td>
<td>Macaulay, Mr Graham</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 387 to Question 5, Comment 379 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550750</td>
<td>Macdonald, Sue</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1620 to Question 5, Comment 1619 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550293</td>
<td>Macnnes, Olivia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1421 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439132</td>
<td>Mackay, Marilyn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1828 to Question 5, Comment 1827 to Question 2, Comment 1826 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479292</td>
<td>mackay, ms marilyn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2750 to Question 4, Comment 2748 to Question 3, Comment 2746 to Question 5, Comment 2744 to Question 2, Comment 2742 to Question 1, Comment 2740 to Question 1, Comment 2755 to Question 22, Comment 2752 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556824</td>
<td>Maidment, Anne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2510 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556820</td>
<td>Maidment, N T</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2507 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556831</td>
<td>Maidment, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2513 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556812</td>
<td>Maidment, Mrs V</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2503 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555772</td>
<td>Malcolm, Ms Helena</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1905 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549283</td>
<td>Malik, Mr Omar</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 742 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550388</td>
<td>Mangan, Mrs C R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1486 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461981</td>
<td>Mannering, Mrs Julia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1939 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555691</td>
<td>Mantay, Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1871 to Question 6, Comment 1870 to Question 3, Comment 1869 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555690</td>
<td>Mantay, Ronald</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1868 to Question 3, Comment 1867 to Question 6, Comment 1866 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545937</td>
<td>Markham, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 164 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550676</td>
<td>Marks, Godfrey</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1611 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555781</td>
<td>Marshall, Ms Jenny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1916 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548606</td>
<td>Marshall, Ms Sophie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 419 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556234</td>
<td>Martin, Paul and Kirsty</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2137 to Question 5, Comment 2135 to Question 4, Comment 2134 to Question 2, Comment 2133 to Question 1, Comment 2131 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550776</td>
<td>Mason, Paul</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1628 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548166</td>
<td>Mathewson, Mrs Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 344 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549535</td>
<td>Maunder, Catherine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 955 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549176</td>
<td>Mawdesley, Mr Colin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 624 to Question 2, Comment 623 to Question 1, Comment 628 to Question 5, Comment 627 to Question 4, Comment 626 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550245</td>
<td>Mc Nab, Sarah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1365 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545472</td>
<td>McBain, Mr Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 105 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545945</td>
<td>McCall, Mr A D</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 167 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548531</td>
<td>McCarthy, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 542 to Question 5, Comment 412 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546327</td>
<td>McCarthy, Mrs Carol</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 184 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550052</td>
<td>McDermott, Carol</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1280 to Question 5, Comment 43 to Question 15, Comment 42 to Question 5, Comment 41 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398456</td>
<td>McDonic, Mr George</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2728 to Question 21.1, Comment 2727 to Question 21.8, Comment 2726 to Question 21.6, Comment 2725 to Question 21.5, Comment 2724 to Question 21.3, Comment 2723 to Question 21.1, Comment 2722 to Question 20, Comment 2718 to Question 18, Comment 2712 to Question 11, Comment 2711 to Question 10, Comment 2716 to Question 14, Comment 2715 to Question 13, Comment 2714 to Question 12, Comment 2709 to Question 9, Comment 2707 to Question 8, Comment 2704 to Question 7, Comment 2702 to Question 6, Comment 2692 to Question 3, Comment 2696 to Question 5, Comment 2695 to Question 4, Comment 2689 to Question 2, Comment 2686 to Question 1, Comment 2720 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550893</td>
<td>McHenry, Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1734 to Question 21.1, Comment 1732 to Question 21.5, Comment 1731 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549456</td>
<td>McHenry, Mrs Rachael</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1829 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550030</td>
<td>McKenzie, B D I</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1275 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549693</td>
<td>McQueen, Mel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1099 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378026</td>
<td>McSweeney, Mr Declan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 532 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555465</td>
<td>Meakin, Ms Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1831 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544189</td>
<td>Meddings, Mr Jeffrey</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 49 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546907</td>
<td>Mekdour, Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 202 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548076</td>
<td>Melville, Mrs Valerie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 324 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547508</td>
<td>Merriman, Mr Christopher</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 214 to Question 5, Comment 213 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548923</td>
<td>Michael, Mr DV</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 603 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550265</td>
<td>Mickeljohn, Gemma</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1384 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549930</td>
<td>Miles, D P</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1219 to Question 9, Comment 1223 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549756</td>
<td>Miller, Eve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1139 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549174</td>
<td>Milward, Mr Justin, Regional &amp; Local Government Officer QWoodland Trust</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 620 to Question 21.1, Comment 619 to Question 21.5, Comment 618 to Question 21.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549294</td>
<td>Minter, Mr Thomas, director Malaby Holdings</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 746 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545664</td>
<td>Mitchell, Mr Ian, Councillor Amesbury Town Council</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1295 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547847</td>
<td>Mole, Mr Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 275 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476771</td>
<td>Money-Kyrle, Mrs Elizabeth</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1979 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548103</td>
<td>Moore, Mr Tony</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 334 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549997</td>
<td>Mordak, Mr Jerry</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1258 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544547</td>
<td>Morgan, Mr</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 55 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549232</td>
<td>Morgan, Mrs Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 678 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549016</td>
<td>Morgan, Mrs Philippa</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 793 to Question 12, Comment 531 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556038</td>
<td>Moriarty, Shirley, R D Owen and Co</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2032 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550281</td>
<td>Morland, Rachel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1405 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550299</td>
<td>Morland, Sophie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1428 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397159</td>
<td>Morland, Cllr Francis, Councillor Wiltshire Council</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2795 to Question 7, Comment 2794 to Question 7, Comment 2793 to Question 1, Comment 2791 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549314</td>
<td>MORLAND, MR HOWARD</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 755 to Question 5, Comment 754 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549546</td>
<td>Morrissey, Dr Francis</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 999 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395487</td>
<td>Moseley, Mr R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1387 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550398</td>
<td>Moseley, Mr Robert</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1488 to Question 5, Comment 536 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550119</td>
<td>Mosley, Dr James</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1316 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547966</td>
<td>Moxom, Mr S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 307 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549330</td>
<td>Murphy, Mr Frank</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1989 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548096</td>
<td>Murphy, Mr Michael</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 327 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550612</td>
<td>Murray, Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1593 to Question 9, Comment 1592 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544734</td>
<td>Murry, Dr Nicholas</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 859 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390196</td>
<td>Myers, Mr A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 77 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550018</td>
<td>Naesmyth, R W</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1271 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381949</td>
<td>Nash, Mrs Liz</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 170 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548263</td>
<td>Naylor, Mr Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1037 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547845</td>
<td>Naylor-Leyland, J &amp; M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 274 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550353</td>
<td>Newbury, L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1469 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545477</td>
<td>Newell, J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 106 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549427</td>
<td>Newman, Mrs Veronica</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 858 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549892</td>
<td>Nicholson, RL</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1204 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391188</td>
<td>Nightingale, Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 326 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549770</td>
<td>Nixon, Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1152 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549192</td>
<td>Norris, Mr Christopher</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 633 to Question 5, Comment 632 to Question 4, Comment 631 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549935</td>
<td>Nottage, Kate</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1467 to Question 2, Comment 1229 to Question 9, Comment 1226 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549986</td>
<td>Nowlan, Mr Hugh</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1251 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378179</td>
<td>Nutter, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 996 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391178</td>
<td>Orchard, Mr Maurice</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 46 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548272</td>
<td>Orr, mr murray</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 368 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550088</td>
<td>Osburn, Ms Verity</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1296 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550886</td>
<td>Owen, J. G.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1729 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549009</td>
<td>Owens, Miss Jo</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 527 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548909</td>
<td>Paddon, Miss Elizabeth</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 452 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366775</td>
<td>Palmer, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 238 to Question 5, Comment 237 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549557</td>
<td>Panting, Margo</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 993 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549463</td>
<td>Parker, John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 890 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550444</td>
<td>Parker, Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1505 to Question 3, Comment 1504 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543788</td>
<td>Parris, Elsa &amp; David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 47 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541510</td>
<td>Payne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 33 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549019</td>
<td>Peacock, Roland</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 533 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555900</td>
<td>Pearce, M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1961 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546323</td>
<td>Pearce, Virginia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 182 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452086</td>
<td>PEEL, MR ANTHONY</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 6 to Question 1, Comment 18 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555890</td>
<td>Pelly, Guy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1951 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549894</td>
<td>Penny, Ellen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1206 to Question 6, Comment 1205 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549485</td>
<td>Perkins, Mr &amp; Mrs C &amp; LD</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 902 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548946</td>
<td>Pethers, Emma</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 512 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550319</td>
<td>Pethers, Marcus</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1446 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543279</td>
<td>Petrie, Ms Rebecca</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 45 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545285</td>
<td>Pewsham Residents</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 74 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550288</td>
<td>Phillips, Mrs Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1413 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395048</td>
<td>Phillips, Ms J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 482 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481029</td>
<td>Pidgeon, K</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1745 to Question 21.2, Comment 1744 to Question 21.3, Comment 1743 to Question 5, Comment 1742 to Question 3, Comment 1738 to Question 22, Comment 1751 to Question 21.1, Comment 1750 to Question 21.1, Comment 1748 to Question 5, Comment 1747 to Question 22, Comment 1740 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>477457</td>
<td>Pilcher-Clayton, Mr Hugh</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 828 to Question 1, Comment 830 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549397</td>
<td>Pilgrim, Mr Jeremy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1953 to Question 11, Comment 889 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556858</td>
<td>Pointon, N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2524 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556825</td>
<td>Pole, Steve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2511 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390080</td>
<td>Potts, H.N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1485 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549212</td>
<td>Poulsom, Philip</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 644 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549661</td>
<td>Powell, P</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1078 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555804</td>
<td>Powell, Mr Ed</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1935 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549672</td>
<td>Powell, Mrs N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1082 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549587</td>
<td>Power, Mrs Kim</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1503 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549063</td>
<td>POYNTON, Mr T. Richard</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 621 to Question 11, Comment 671 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556801</td>
<td>Pratley, Claire</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2501 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550882</td>
<td>Pratt, Beryl</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1719 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545615</td>
<td>Preston, Mr Stuart</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 162 to Question 4, Comment 161 to Question 3, Comment 160 to Question 2, Comment 159 to Question 1, Comment 172 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556837</td>
<td>Prior, Ms Emilie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2514 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547778</td>
<td>Prowse, Alexander</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 260 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547777</td>
<td>Prowse, Janet</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 259 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547187</td>
<td>Pullan, Ms Claire</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 212 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556852</td>
<td>Punter, Mr A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2521 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549202</td>
<td>Pyatt, Tim</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 637 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546295</td>
<td>Pynn, David &amp; Claudine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 178 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548325</td>
<td>Quirke, B A J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 375 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463962</td>
<td>Ragbourne, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1585 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550146</td>
<td>Ralph, Tom</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2226 to Question 21.2, Comment 1337 to Question 21.2, Comment 1336 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549381</td>
<td>Ralph, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 983 to Question 6, Comment 840 to Question 2, Comment 824 to Chapter 1., Comment 920 to Question 3, Comment 1039 to Question 8, Comment 1029 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545817</td>
<td>Randell, Ms S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1371 to Question 5, Comment 156 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549775</td>
<td>Ratcliffe, W</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1160 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550098</td>
<td>Rathband, Clive</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2504 to Question 5, Comment 1301 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549765</td>
<td>Rawlings, A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1144 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545731</td>
<td>Read, Ms Katy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 136 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548098</td>
<td>Reardon, Mr &amp; Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 329 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555779</td>
<td>Reed, Ms Judith</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1913 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549923</td>
<td>Reeves, CL</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1213 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544222</td>
<td>Rennie, Ms Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 51 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549816</td>
<td>Reynolds, John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1184 to Question 6, Comment 1182 to Question 6, Comment 1181 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550805</td>
<td>Reynolds, Shelia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1641 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549495</td>
<td>Rice, Sally</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 904 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479291</td>
<td>richards, mr geoffrey</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 432 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547739</td>
<td>Richards, Ms Gillian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 250 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539019</td>
<td>Richardson, Dr Graham</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 16 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404100</td>
<td>Riddle, Mr &amp; Mrs AG &amp; JJ</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1846 to Question 7, Comment 1645 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550602</td>
<td>Ridgeway, Inessa</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1581 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550209</td>
<td>Rifat, Mr Adrian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1357 to Question 7, Comment 1356 to Question 6, Comment 1355 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547780</td>
<td>Riley, Steve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 267 to Question 5, Comment 265 to Question 21.1, Comment 264 to Question 21.7, Comment 263 to Question 21.5, Comment 262 to Question 21.2, Comment 261 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549265</td>
<td>Roberts, Brian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 703 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550043</td>
<td>Roberts, JT and HM</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1278 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549331</td>
<td>Roberts, Mr Alan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 771 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549301</td>
<td>Roberts, Mr Michael</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 797 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549043</td>
<td>Robertson, Mrs D K</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 553 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549936</td>
<td>Robinson, Victoria</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1227 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550243</td>
<td>Robson, Candida</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1362 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550242</td>
<td>Robson, Mr Alexander</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1361 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446552</td>
<td>Robson, Mrs L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 20 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549041</td>
<td>Rock, Rebecca</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 552 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555783</td>
<td>Rogers, Mr Barry</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1361 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547629</td>
<td>Rolls, Liz</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 220 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469788</td>
<td>Rolls, Mr Tony</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 556 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550437</td>
<td>Rolph, Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1498 to Question 2, Comment 1497 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366918</td>
<td>Rooke, Councillor Judy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 48 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397146</td>
<td>Rose, Ian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1164 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447837</td>
<td>Rowden, Mr D</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1884 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548878</td>
<td>Rowlands, Bryn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 439 to Question 13, Comment 438 to Question 2, Comment 437 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549990</td>
<td>Rowlands, Mr Mark</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1253 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549399</td>
<td>Rowlands, Mr. Malcolm David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 829 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549002</td>
<td>Ruck, Mrs Janet</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 521 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469786</td>
<td>Rucker, Mrs Zoe</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 203 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550894</td>
<td>Rust, Jenny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1730 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545409</td>
<td>Ryan, Mr Jim</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 89 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549206</td>
<td>Ryder, Joan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2053 to Question 4, Comment 641 to Question 5, Comment 640 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550283</td>
<td>Sadler, Ian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1703 to Question 5, Comment 1402 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550280</td>
<td>Sadler, Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1398 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545336</td>
<td>Samuel, Mrs Judith</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 82 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548102</td>
<td>Samuel, Rev Dr David N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 333 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398656</td>
<td>Saunders, R.C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1276 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547675</td>
<td>Saunders, Mrs Bridget</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 236 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549969</td>
<td>Saunders, Ms Edna</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1244 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550246</td>
<td>Savage, Keith</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1366 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584260</td>
<td>Scott, Dr William</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2900 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549783</td>
<td>Seelig, Roger</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1168 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542713</td>
<td>Selwyn, Mr Jasper</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 39 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547817</td>
<td>Sexton, Elaine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 271 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545469</td>
<td>Seymour Williams, Mr J T</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 103 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550834</td>
<td>Seymour, Edward</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1652 to Question 1, Comment 1655 to Question 2, Comment 1658 to Question 13, Comment 1657 to Question 3, Comment 1660 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550075</td>
<td>Seymour-Hayward, L.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1292 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549580</td>
<td>Sharp, Mr Keith</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1042 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545826</td>
<td>Sharp, Mrs Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 158 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549890</td>
<td>Shaw, Mr Spencer</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1203 to Question 3, Comment 1202 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549604</td>
<td>Sheppard, Olwen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1055 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559256</td>
<td>Sherrin, Joy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2880 to Question 6, Comment 2879 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548462</td>
<td>Shoesmith, Ms Emma</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 394 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545471</td>
<td>Shores, Ms Louisa</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 104 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550244</td>
<td>Short, Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1364 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548068</td>
<td>Sidmouth, Mr Jeremy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 319 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549534</td>
<td>Sills, Mr Nick</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 974 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546320</td>
<td>Simmonds, SM</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1176 to Question 5, Comment 180 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545711</td>
<td>Simpkins, Mr &amp; Mrs Andrew &amp; Angela</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 132 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549023</td>
<td>Simpson, Wendy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 535 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539166</td>
<td>Simpson, Mr Robert</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 370 to Question 5, Comment 369 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556505</td>
<td>Small, Mr Doug</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2355 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547972</td>
<td>Smith, Clive</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 310 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550260</td>
<td>Smith, Greg</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1379 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550232</td>
<td>Smith, Jill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1359 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548081</td>
<td>Smith, L W</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 322 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550004</td>
<td>Smith, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1261 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549903</td>
<td>Smith, Mr HE</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1209 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549979</td>
<td>Smith, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1248 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445698</td>
<td>Smith, Mrs P M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 28 to Question 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549072</td>
<td>SNOW, MR</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 590 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556346</td>
<td>Sparks, Clare</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2210 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556517</td>
<td>Sparks, Matthew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2366 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402713</td>
<td>Spickernell, Mrs C</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1891 to Question 21.3, Comment 662 to Question 21.5, Comment 661 to Question 21.4, Comment 660 to Question 21.3, Comment 659 to Question 21.2, Comment 658 to Question 21.1, Comment 657 to Question 20, Comment 656 to Question 16, Comment 655 to Question 11, Comment 654 to Question 10, Comment 653 to Question 5, Comment 652 to Question 4, Comment 651 to Question 3, Comment 650 to Question 2, Comment 649 to Question 1, Comment 648 to Chapter 3., Comment 647 to Question 22, Comment 668 to Question 22, Comment 667 to Question 21.1, Comment 666 to Question 21.8, Comment 665 to Question 21.7, Comment 664 to Question 21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550007</td>
<td>Spier, Ms Rosamund</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1263 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547964</td>
<td>Spink, P A E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 305 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>543227</td>
<td>St John Osland, Mrs P W</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 44 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549814</td>
<td>Stace, Nikki</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1180 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547186</td>
<td>Stalker, Mrs Rosemarie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 211 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550313</td>
<td>Stanczyc, Val</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1434 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378135</td>
<td>steel, Mrs Margery</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 822 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550275</td>
<td>Stephens, Jocelyn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1392 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550278</td>
<td>Stevens, Louise, Trailfinders Limited</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1395 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556819</td>
<td>Stevens, Ms Tina</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2506 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550861</td>
<td>Stirling, Penny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1696 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548876</td>
<td>Stoneham, Mrs Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 433 to Question 5, Comment 434 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549873</td>
<td>Stoyle, David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1191 to Question 21.1, Comment 1190 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542848</td>
<td>Strain, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 40 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549529</td>
<td>Stratton, Mrs Jenny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 954 to Question 21.1, Comment 953 to Question 21.5, Comment 951 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555613</td>
<td>Street, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1844 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548085</td>
<td>Strickland, M J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 323 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375804</td>
<td>Stuckey, Mr Kim</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 727 to Question 5, Comment 674 to Question 5, Comment 362 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378096</td>
<td>Stuckey, Mrs Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 686 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549391</td>
<td>Sullivan, Mrs Carol</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 821 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549938</td>
<td>Summers, GR</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1230 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539371</td>
<td>Swabey, Councillor Julie, Member Wiltshire Council</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 897 to Question 19, Comment 11 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550073</td>
<td>Swanton, C.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1290 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549916</td>
<td>Swanton, Mr Gordon</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1210 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549790</td>
<td>Swash, Mrs A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1172 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549329</td>
<td>Sweet, Mr Colin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1962 to Question 5, Comment 1448 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549233</td>
<td>Sweet, Mrs Emma</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1445 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549602</td>
<td>Sykes, Angela</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1054 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555485</td>
<td>Talbot, Viv</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1834 to Question 9, Comment 1833 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550793</td>
<td>Tallis, Claire</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1637 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549994</td>
<td>Tallis, Jill</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1256 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550000</td>
<td>Tallis, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1259 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548073</td>
<td>Tanner, Jo</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 320 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548066</td>
<td>Tanner, Ms Janet</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 318 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549686</td>
<td>Taylor, Dinah</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1088 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550077</td>
<td>Taylor, P.</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1294 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378031</td>
<td>Teare, Diane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 857 to Question 21.8, Comment 856 to Question 21.3, Comment 855 to Question 21.2, Comment 854 to Question 21.1, Comment 853 to Question 11, Comment 852 to Question 9, Comment 851 to Question 8, Comment 850 to Question 7, Comment 849 to Question 6, Comment 848 to Question 3, Comment 847 to Question 2, Comment 846 to Question 1, Comment 845 to Chapter 3., Comment 844 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549991</td>
<td>Temple-Pederlen, S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1254 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548917</td>
<td>Theobald, Elizabeth</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 467 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557880</td>
<td>Thomas, D. A and A. M. T</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2813 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555933</td>
<td>Thomas, David &amp; Mary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1980 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479237</td>
<td>Thomas, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1167 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545927</td>
<td>Thomas, Mr Michael E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 163 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549645</td>
<td>Thomas, Mrs FS</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1071 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548925</td>
<td>Thompson, Mrs Patricia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 481 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549960</td>
<td>Thorman, Mr Jeremy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1238 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548544</td>
<td>Tickner, Sue</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 416 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>461978</td>
<td>Tiley, Mrs Dawn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 592 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548936</td>
<td>Timberlake, Gary</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 504 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548160</td>
<td>Titcombe, N</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 340 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549679</td>
<td>Tolhurst, Antonia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1085 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548157</td>
<td>Tolhurst, Mr &amp; Mrs Peter &amp; Antonia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 339 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546430</td>
<td>Tom, Mr Mooney</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 186 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549210</td>
<td>Tong, Jacqueline</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 643 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547975</td>
<td>Tout, A M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 311 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549897</td>
<td>Trehern, G A</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1207 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549807</td>
<td>Tremlett, Tom</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1178 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555746</td>
<td>Trigger, Mrs E</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1892 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548165</td>
<td>Trueman &amp; Mr P Succony, Mrs J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 343 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556881</td>
<td>Tsabet, Ms Sophie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2536 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546653</td>
<td>Tucker, Mr Paul</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 198 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545694</td>
<td>Tunnicliffe, Ms Liz</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 127 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548921</td>
<td>Turner, Bob</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 479 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545267</td>
<td>TURNER, MR BENJAMIN</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 72 to Question 4, Comment 71 to Question 3, Comment 70 to Question 2, Comment 69 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470100</td>
<td>Turner, Mrs J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 243 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557630</td>
<td>Tusa, Sash</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2772 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550274</td>
<td>Tyler, G</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1391 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394049</td>
<td>Underwood, Mr EM</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1016 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547838</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 273 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558134</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1321 to Question 22, Comment 1373 to Question 5, Comment 1367 to Question 5. Comment 1196 to Question 3, Comment 1195 to Question 6, Comment 1194 to Question 7, Comment 1424 to Question 4, Comment 1090 to Question 3, Comment 1087 to Question 6, Comment 1086 to Question 7, Comment 980 to Question 17, Comment 330 to Question 12, Comment 75 to Question 5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549362</td>
<td>Upton, Mr Laurence</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 795 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550014</td>
<td>Urquhart, Mr Bruce</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1268 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549642</td>
<td>Valatin, Martin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1068 to Question 9, Comment 1064 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550605</td>
<td>van der Beek, Mr Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1584 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548315</td>
<td>van Riemsdyk &amp; Christal</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 371 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyndale, Mary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549434</td>
<td>Vandelli, Mrs Francesca</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 873 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546639</td>
<td>Vaughan, Mrs. Barbara</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 377 to Question 21.2, Comment 376 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481146</td>
<td>Veitch, Ms Sheila</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2789 to Question 5, Comment 807 to Question 22, Comment 796 to Question 5, Comment 794 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557807</td>
<td>Vellance, Mrs H</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2797 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547719</td>
<td>Vernon, Mr Charles</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 251 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550122</td>
<td>Verschoyle, Dom</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1335 to Question 21.8, Comment 1334 to Question 21.1, Comment 1333 to Question 20, Comment 1332 to Question 8, Comment 1331 to Question 7, Comment 1330 to Question 6, Comment 1329 to Question 22, Comment 1328 to Question 3, Comment 1327 to Question 2, Comment 1320 to Chapter 1, Comment 1322 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548175</td>
<td>Vosper, Mrs Hazel</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 348 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548079</td>
<td>Vosper, Ms Kathyn</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 321 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549763</td>
<td>Walker, Naomi</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1143 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550441</td>
<td>Walker, Richard &amp; Louise</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1501 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549379</td>
<td>Walker, Miss Helen</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 817 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555892</td>
<td>Walker, Mrs Venetia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1952 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548052</td>
<td>Walpole, Mrs J M R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 315 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557733</td>
<td>Walter, Mr Michael</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2792 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545700</td>
<td>Walters, Derek &amp; Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 129 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548904</td>
<td>Ward, Andrew</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 450 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549098</td>
<td>Ward, Chris</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 596 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549053</td>
<td>Ward, Raymond</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 560 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547806</td>
<td>Ward, Mr D R</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 464 to Question 5, Comment 270 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544367</td>
<td>Ward, Mrs Clare</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 699 to Question 5, Comment 206 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550010</td>
<td>Ward, Mrs JS</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1267 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549782</td>
<td>Ward-Thomas, Clare</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1166 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549461</td>
<td>Waring, Mr Kenneth</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 997 to Question 6, Comment 908 to Question 2, Comment 925 to Question 3, Comment 901 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398089</td>
<td>Warr, Mr &amp; Mrs Kenneth and Catherine</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 403 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549056</td>
<td>Warren, Mr John H</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 562 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394025</td>
<td>Warren, Mrs J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 347 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541275</td>
<td>Warwick, Mr Brian</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 19 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559288</td>
<td>Watkins, Charlotte</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2886 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549996</td>
<td>Watson, Mr Neil</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1257 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549395</td>
<td>Watson, Mr Robert</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1010 to Question 22, Comment 998 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548163</td>
<td>Wattes, N J &amp; C V</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 342 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550853</td>
<td>Webb, Ken</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1680 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549293</td>
<td>Webb, Mrs Sara</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 745 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548536</td>
<td>Weekes, Steve</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 414 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549773</td>
<td>West, Charlie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1157 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547800</td>
<td>West, Mr Jonathan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 269 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549304</td>
<td>West, Mrs Margaret</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 748 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382340</td>
<td>Westlake, Mr Peter</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 448 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547086</td>
<td>Wheeler, Miss Kerry Anne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 266 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550016</td>
<td>While, Yvonne</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1270 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549258</td>
<td>Whitrow, Lucinda</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 695 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550164</td>
<td>Wickens, Ray</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1346 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546035</td>
<td>Wickham, Ms Julie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 173 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378175</td>
<td>Widnall, Mr Miles</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 836 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549712</td>
<td>Wilcock, Susan</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1119 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548511</td>
<td>Wilcox, MHJ</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 401 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548591</td>
<td>Wildey, Mrs M K</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 417 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545963</td>
<td>Wilding, Ms Courtney</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1396 to Question 5, Comment 169 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556101</td>
<td>Wildman, Mr David, SWEP</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2073 to Question 17, Comment 2072 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391887</td>
<td>Wilkerson, Mr</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1400 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548265</td>
<td>Wilkes, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 364 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549976</td>
<td>Wilkins, Louise</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1246 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547632</td>
<td>Williams, Kelvin</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 222 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550768</td>
<td>Williams, Paul</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1626 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549096</td>
<td>Williams, Mrs Chloe</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 599 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549326</td>
<td>Williams, ms Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 770 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550286</td>
<td>Willoughby, Mike</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1411 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549499</td>
<td>Wilson, A &amp; G</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 914 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549755</td>
<td>Wilson, Charles</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1137 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549749</td>
<td>Wilson, Laurie</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1135 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550736</td>
<td>Wilson, Mrs Jane</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1616 to Question 6, Comment 1615 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556036</td>
<td>Wiltshire, Sandra</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2031 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555825</td>
<td>Winchcombe, Paula</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1945 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547736</td>
<td>Winram, Ms Miranda</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 247 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557704</td>
<td>Witt, Mr David</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2779 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547602</td>
<td>Wood, Mr Michael</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 947 to Question 3, Comment 973 to Question 4, Comment 990 to Question 5, Comment 217 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394562</td>
<td>Woodard, Mr T</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1116 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548967</td>
<td>Woodcock, Danny</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 518 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545083</td>
<td>Woodcock, Mr John</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 65 to Question 5, Comment 64 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378011</td>
<td>Woodhouse, Mr James</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 763 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550024</td>
<td>Woodward, S</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1273 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555827</td>
<td>Wootton, Mrs Patricia</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1946 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548170</td>
<td>Worth, Mrs Margaret</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 345 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394450</td>
<td>Wright, Mrs M</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1305 to Question 12, Comment 1304 to Question 3, Comment 1303 to Question 2, Comment 1302 to Question 1, Comment 1307 to Question 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549800</td>
<td>Wrobel, Mrs Sandra</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1177 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548892</td>
<td>Wynne-Eyton, Wendy</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 443 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549179</td>
<td>Yandell, Mr Frederick</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 629 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401821</td>
<td>Yates, Geoff</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 710 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398971</td>
<td>YATES, MR DAVID</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 50 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550847</td>
<td>Young, E represented by Charlotte</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1674 to Question 21.3, Comment 1673 to Question 20, Comment 1671 to Question 3, Comment 1670 to Question 2, Comment 1669 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555681</td>
<td>Young, Mr C.T</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1861 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544794</td>
<td>Young, Mr James</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 2181 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395095</td>
<td>Zebedee, Ms L</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1397 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555981</td>
<td>Zieleniewski, P M J</td>
<td>General Public</td>
<td>Comment 1998 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556224</td>
<td>Aberdeen Asset Management represented by Mr Graeme Warriner, Turley Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2130 to Question 4, Comment 2129 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549285</td>
<td>Adams, Mr George, Mr G. Adams represented by Mr Marc Willis, Chairman Wiltshire Building Design Association</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 744 to Question 21.1, Comment 743 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557906</td>
<td>Archer, Mr &amp; Mrs P represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2831 to Question 21.3, Comment 2830 to Question 21.2, Comment 2829 to Question 12, Comment 2828 to Question 3, Comment 2827 to Question 2, Comment 2826 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389433</td>
<td>Ashtenne Industrial Fund Limited represented by Jo Davis, GVA Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2119 to Question 4, Comment 2125 to Question 21.8, Comment 2124 to Question 21.7, Comment 2123 to Question 21.3, Comment 2122 to Question 21.1, Comment 2121 to Question 5, Comment 2117 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398006</td>
<td>Ashton Park, Trowbridge Ltd represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2856 to Question 21.2, Comment 2855 to Question 21.3, Comment 2854 to Question 7, Comment 2853 to Question 3, Comment 2852 to Question 2, Comment 2851 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541271</td>
<td>Aster Homes</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 924 to Question 21.6, Comment 919 to Question 21.1, Comment 913 to Question 2, Comment 911 to Chapter 3., Comment 909 to Chapter 2., Comment 409 to Question 21.2, Comment 408 to Question 21.3, Comment 407 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549090</td>
<td>Atwood, Mr Phillip represented by Mr Mike Robinson, Carter Jonas LLP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 595 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556936</td>
<td>Austin, Victoria, Pinsent Masons</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2552 to Question 21.7, Comment 2551 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391039</td>
<td>Ayrton, Mr K, Carter Jonas</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2289 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550870</td>
<td>Barratt Bristol represented by D2 Planning Limited</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1723 to Question 21.3, Comment 1716 to Question 9, Comment 1710 to Question 1, Comment 1712 to Question 2, Comment 1714 to Question 3, Comment 1704 to Question 21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558007</td>
<td>Barratt Development Plc represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2861 to Question 21.2, Comment 2860 to Question 21.3, Comment 2859 to Question 5, Comment 2858 to Question 2, Comment 2857 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402546</td>
<td>Barters Farm Nurseries Ltd represented by Simon Jenkins, Adams Integra</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2277 to Question 1, Comment 2279 to Question 3, Comment 2282 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556584</td>
<td>Berkeley Strategic represented by John O'Donovan, Turley Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2422 to Question 12, Comment 2421 to Question 2, Comment 2424 to Question 21.1, Comment 2418 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556144</td>
<td>Bloor Homes Ltd represented by Mr Peter Lamb, Senior Planner Terence O'Rourke Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2107 to Question 21.8, Comment 2106 to Question 21.6, Comment 2105 to Question 21.5, Comment 2104 to Question 21.3, Comment 2103 to Question 21.2, Comment 2102 to Question 3, Comment 2100 to Question 2, Comment 2099 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556573</td>
<td>Bloor Homes represented by Jonathan Porter, Barton Willmore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2407 to Question 21.3, Comment 2406 to Question 21.2, Comment 2405 to Question 5, Comment 2404 to Question 2, Comment 2403 to Question 21.2, Comment 2402 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397779</td>
<td>BOA Property Ltd. represented by Chris Beaver, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2874 to Question 21.3, Comment 2873 to Question 21.2, Comment 2872 to Question 9, Comment 2871 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397796</td>
<td>Bourne Leisure Ltd represented by Margaret Baddeley, Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2337 to Question 21.6, Comment 2335 to Question 21.1, Comment 2333 to Question 14, Comment 2317 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556645</td>
<td>Braeman Holdings represented by Chris Beaver, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2899 to Question 21.3, Comment 2898 to Question 21.1, Comment 2897 to Question 15, Comment 2896 to Question 2, Comment 2895 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541582</td>
<td>Bryant, Mr Michael, Development Manager Eagle One Limited</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 34 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548624</td>
<td>C G Fry &amp; Son represented by Mrs Claire Cope, Turley Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 877 to Question 10, Comment 875 to Question 2, Comment 874 to Question 1, Comment 885 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556462</td>
<td>Cabot Trustees represented by Chris Beaver, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2323 to Question 1, Comment 2332 to Question 6, Comment 2334 to Question 7, Comment 2328 to Question 2, Comment 2336 to Question 21.1, Comment 2346 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391676</td>
<td>Chambers, Mr S, LPC (Trull) Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2808 to Question 21.6, Comment 2807 to Question 21.5, Comment 2806 to Question 21.4, Comment 2805 to Question 21.1, Comment 2804 to Question 15, Comment 2803 to Question 13, Comment 2802 to Question 3, Comment 2801 to Question 2, Comment 2800 to Question 20, Comment 2799 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393493</td>
<td>Chapman, Mr D, Ball Family Trust</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2149 to Question 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557258</td>
<td>Chard, Mr M, M Chard Associates represented by Mr K H Cole</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2604 to Question 15, Comment 2602 to Question 2, Comment 2601 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545820</td>
<td>Cole, Mr M, Putney Investments Ltd represented by Mr J O Smith, CMS (Bath) Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 157 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550594</td>
<td>Commercial Land represented by Nigel Dexter, London Planning Practice</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1574 to Question 2, Comment 1573 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550324</td>
<td>Copenacre Developments LLP represented by Mr Peter Frampton, Framptons</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2035 to Question 11, Comment 1450 to Question 21.1, Comment 1449 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556371</td>
<td>Cornell, Mr C represented by Stephen Harris, Emery Planning Partnership</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2227 to Question 22, Comment 2235 to Question 21.3, Comment 2234 to Question 20, Comment 2224 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559280</td>
<td>Crescent Properties represented by Charlotte Watkins, LP Planning</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2883 to Question 5, Comment 2882 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392725</td>
<td>Crest Strategic Projects Limited &amp; Redcliffe Homes Ltd represented by D2 Planning Limited</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2870 to Question 21.8, Comment 2869 to Question 21.6, Comment 2868 to Question 21.5, Comment 2867 to Question 21.3, Comment 2866 to Question 5, Comment 2865 to Question 4, Comment 2864 to Question 3, Comment 2863 to Question 2, Comment 2862 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549066</td>
<td>CSJ Planning Consultants Ltd, Chippenham 2020 represented by Mr Michael Orr, Director CSJ Planning Consultants Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 589 to Question 21.8, Comment 588 to Question 21.7, Comment 591 to Question 21.1, Comment 586 to Question 21.6, Comment 580 to Question 21.1, Comment 579 to Question 5, Comment 578 to Question 4, Comment 577 to Chapter 3, Comment 584 to Question 21.5, Comment 583 to Question 21.3, Comment 582 to Question 21.2, Comment 575 to Question 1, Comment 574 to Question 1, Comment 573 to Question 3, Comment 572 to Question 2, Comment 570 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556582</td>
<td>D J Raker Ltd and Cooper Estates represented by Mr Steve Briggs, Partner Smiths Gore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2460 to Question 21.8, Comment 2412 to Question 21.6, Comment 2411 to Question 20, Comment 2410 to Question 2, Comment 2409 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557898</td>
<td>David Wilson Homes represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2825 to Question 21.2, Comment 2824 to Question 21.3, Comment 2823 to Question 19, Comment 2822 to Question 3, Comment 2821 to Question 2, Comment 2820 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556453</td>
<td>David Wilson Homes South West represented by Georgina Tibbs, Barton Willmore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2311 to Question 11, Comment 2401 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556491</td>
<td>De Vernon Trustees represented by Mr Jeremy Wooff, Woolf Bond Planning</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2447 to Question 11, Comment 2446 to Question 3, Comment 2348 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550642</td>
<td>Devizes Marina Limited represented by Mr Les Durrant, DPDS Consulting Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1597 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391325</td>
<td>Dowdeswell, Mr , Prospect Land</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 23 to Question 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391205</td>
<td>Drennan, Mr M, Planning Manager Sport England</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1661 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389544</td>
<td>Dring, Simon, Knight Frank Agent Badminton Estate represented by Martin Leay, Martin Leay Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2056 to Question 22, Comment 2060 to Question 21.1, Comment 2059 to Question 13, Comment 2058 to Question 5, Comment 2063 to Question 21.1, Comment 2062 to Question 21.1, Comment 2067 to Question 21.4, Comment 2066 to Question 21.3, Comment 2065 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556342</td>
<td>Durmast Limited represented by Mr Simon Prescott, Barton Willmore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2202 to Question 21.3, Comment 2201 to Question 21.3, Comment 2200 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549419</td>
<td>Ellis, Mr &amp; Mrs Jon represented by Mr Richard Kaskow, partner rk architecture</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2440 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404146</td>
<td>Eton College represented by Mr John Bowles, Porta Planning LLP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 99 to Question 22, Comment 98 to Question 21.6, Comment 97 to Question 21.3, Comment 96 to Question 18, Comment 95 to Question 3, Comment 94 to Question 2, Comment 93 to Question 1, Comment 92 to Chapter 3., Comment 91 to Chapter 3., Comment 90 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397854</td>
<td>Foster, Mrs Sarah, SF Planning Link Ltd represented by Sarah Foster, SF Planning Link Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1429 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556368</td>
<td>Gallagher Estates &amp; Heron Land Dev. represented by Mike Beese</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2219 to Question 1, Comment 2223 to Question 7, Comment 2222 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394541</td>
<td>Georgia Developments (Wessex) LTD represented by Nigel Whitehead, WPB Planning</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2907 to Question 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556538</td>
<td>Gibbons, Mr A C represented by Mr Iain Stevenson, Carter Jonas</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2391 to Question 21.1, Comment 2390 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402249</td>
<td>Gleeson Developments Ltd represented by Jon Bishop, Broadway Malyan</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2608 to Question 13, Comment 2606 to Question 21.3, Comment 2605 to Question 2, Comment 2627 to Question 3, Comment 2626 to Question 2, Comment 2603 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548930</td>
<td>Gleeson Strategic Land Ltd represented by Mr Tim Holden, Deputy Managing Director WYG Planning &amp; Design</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2515 to Chapter 1., Comment 2517 to Question 1, Comment 2520 to Question 3, Comment 2519 to Question 2, Comment 2523 to Question 21.3, Comment 2522 to Question 10, Comment 2525 to Question 21.3, Comment 557 to Question 21.3, Comment 550 to Question 21.3, Comment 549 to Question 10, Comment 543 to Question 22, Comment 547 to Question 2, Comment 546 to Question 1, Comment 545 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556587</td>
<td>Gleeson Strategic Land represented by Mrs J Terry</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2428 to Question 5, Comment 2426 to Question 5, Comment 2425 to Question 5, Comment 2420 to Question 1, Comment 2423 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548894</td>
<td>Gorringe, Mr C represented by Mr Tony Thorpe, Tony Thorpe Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 445 to Question 14, Comment 444 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556250</td>
<td>Hambro, Evy, Eastridge Estate Office represented by Will Russell, Knight Frank</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2139 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402192</td>
<td>Hannick Homes represented by Jamie Lewis</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2574 to Question 22, Comment 2573 to Chapter Question 21.1, Comment 2572 to Question 18, Comment 2571 to Question 13, Comment 2570 to Question 11, Comment 2569 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397085</td>
<td>Hardwick, Phil, Robert Hitchens Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1824 to Question 21.3, Comment 1823 to Question 21.1, Comment 1822 to Question 19, Comment 1814 to Question 2, Comment 1813 to Question 1, Comment 1820 to Question 17, Comment 1819 to Question 10, Comment 1816 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556420</td>
<td>Hartley, Mr Duncan, Rural Solutions Consulting Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2293 to Question 21.3, Comment 2291 to Question 21.1, Comment 2290 to Question 22, Comment 2288 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390625</td>
<td>Heard, Mr Edward, Chippenham 2020</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1598 to Question 5, Comment 1596 to Question 4, Comment 1343 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555801</td>
<td>Herniman, Mr David represented by Mr James F Sherry, Jim Sherry Planning Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1929 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404453</td>
<td>Hills UK Ltd represented by Mr Iain Stevenson, Carter Jonas</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2148 to Question 2, Comment 2147 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549519</td>
<td>Hocken, Mr B represented by Mr Andrew Fleming, Director Andrew Fleming Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 928 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556494</td>
<td>Holt Village Regeneration Ltd represented by Chris Beaver, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2360 to Question 21.3, Comment 2353 to Question 9, Comment 2352 to Question 2, Comment 2350 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556121</td>
<td>Horner, Mrs Giulietta represented by Mr Kevin Ayrton, Carter Jonas</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2096 to Chapter 3., Comment 2094 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556098</td>
<td>HPH Ltd represented by Su Ross, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2084 to Question 2, Comment 2306 to Question 18, Comment 2381 to Question 19, Comment 2380 to Question 2, Comment 2379 to Question 1, Comment 2376 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377919</td>
<td>Industrial Property Investment Fund represented by N Davey, JTS Partnership LLP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1777 to Question 21.1, Comment 1776 to Question 19, Comment 1775 to Question 3, Comment 1774 to Question 2, Comment 1769 to Question 1, Comment 2634 to Question 3, Comment 2639 to Question 19, Comment 2645 to Question 21.1, Comment 2629 to Question 2, Comment 2628 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556509</td>
<td>ING Real Estate represented by Alan Williams, Savills (L&amp;P)</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2361 to Question 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544808</td>
<td>Inskip, Mr Owen, Chairman Chippenham 2020 LLP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2478 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556922</td>
<td>Jones, Emma, Redcliffe Homes Ltd represented by Mr Conor Lee, Senior Planner DPDS</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2546 to Question 21.3, Comment 2545 to Question 3, Comment 2544 to Question 2, Comment 2543 to Question 9, Comment 2542 to Question 9, Comment 2550 to Question 9, Comment 2549 to Question 9, Comment 2548 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556183</td>
<td>King, Nick, Hills UK Ltd represented by Ms Lauren Taljaard, Senior Planner Barton Willmore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2116 to Question 21.3, Comment 2115 to Question 21.3, Comment 2114 to Question 21.2, Comment 2113 to Question 17, Comment 2112 to Question 12, Comment 2111 to Question 2, Comment 2110 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550057</td>
<td>Land to the South and West of White Horse Business Park represented by Mr Mark Pettitt, Planner Carter Jonas LLP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1283 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557126</td>
<td>LEDA Properties Ltd represented by Mr Tom Smailes, Kemp and Kemp LLP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2585 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556091</td>
<td>Legal and General UK Property Trust represented by Alban Henderson, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2372 to Question 8, Comment 2368 to Question 6, Comment 2363 to Question 21.7, Comment 2109 to Question 18, Comment 2108 to Question 21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556438</td>
<td>Mactaggart &amp; Mickel represented by Mr Anthony Aitken, Colliers International</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2345 to Question 21.1, Comment 2344 to Question 21.8, Comment 2343 to Question 21.6, Comment 2342 to Question 21.5, Comment 2339 to Question 21.3, Comment 2338 to Question 21.2, Comment 2347 to Question 22, Comment 2304 to Question 2, Comment 2303 to Question 1, Comment 2302 to Chapter 3., Comment 2301 to Chapter 2., Comment 2300 to Chapter 1., Comment 2322 to Question 3, Comment 2325 to Question 20, Comment 2324 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556438</td>
<td>Mactaggart &amp; Mickel represented by Mr C Simkins, RPS Planning</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2375 to Question 21.3, Comment 2373 to Question 21.2, Comment 2378 to Question 22, Comment 2377 to Question 21.8, Comment 2367 to Question 12, Comment 2365 to Question 3, Comment 2364 to Question 2, Comment 2362 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556400</td>
<td>Malaby Holdings Ltd represented by Chris Beaver, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2262 to Question 21.3, Comment 2257 to Question 21.2, Comment 2256 to Question 18, Comment 2269 to Question 21.3, Comment 2273 to Question 21.3, Comment 2252 to Question 2, Comment 2251 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393524</td>
<td>Matthews, Mr A D</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1821 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556864</td>
<td>McKinley, Mr G, Sleivebane Limited represented by Mr Don Proctor, RPS Planning and Development</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2529 to Question 3, Comment 2528 to Question 1, Comment 2540 to Question 1, Comment 2533 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550830</td>
<td>Moody and Others, R.F represented by T.F Church, T F Church</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1649 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538907</td>
<td>Morris-Richardson, Mrs Margery represented by Mr Keith Mackenzie</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 36 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382348</td>
<td>North Chippenham Consortium - (Barratt Strategic, Heron Land and Persimmon Homes) represented by Mr Andrew Ross, Senior Planner Turley Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2566 to Question 21.6, Comment 2565 to Question 21.3, Comment 2564 to Question 21.2, Comment 2563 to Question 5, Comment 2562 to Question 3, Comment 2561 to Question 2, Comment 2560 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391290</td>
<td>Orr, Mr M, CSJ Planning Consultants Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2509 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556689</td>
<td>Owen Bines, Tanya represented by Mr Mark Simpson, DPDS Consulting Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2039 to Question 22, Comment 2038 to Question 21.3, Comment 2037 to Question 21.3, Comment 2036 to Question 1, Comment 2484 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556544</td>
<td>Owen, Mr John, GreenSquare Group represented by Mr Jamie Sullivan, TETLOW KING PLANNING</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2398 to Question 22, Comment 2397 to Question 21.3, Comment 2396 to Question 10, Comment 2395 to Question 2, Comment 2394 to Question 3, Comment 2393 to Chapter 3., Comment 2392 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548266</td>
<td>Parry, Mrs Judith represented by Mrs Fiona Jury, FJP</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 367 to Question 2, Comment 366 to Question 1, Comment 365 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390304</td>
<td>Pearce, Mr B, Land Development &amp; Planning Consultants Limited</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1547 to Question 11, Comment 1546 to Question 9, Comment 1545 to Question 7, Comment 1544 to Question 5, Comment 1543 to Question 21.8, Comment 1542 to Question 21.3, Comment 1541 to Question 21.2, Comment 1540 to Question 21.1, Comment 1551 to Question 13, Comment 1553 to Question 20, Comment 1549 to Question 12, Comment 1537 to Question 3, Comment 1535 to Question 2, Comment 1534 to Question 1, Comment 1533 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557876</td>
<td>Persimmon Homes &amp; BRB (Residuary) Ltd represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2812 to Question 19, Comment 2810 to Question 3, Comment 2809 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557888</td>
<td>Persimmon Homes &amp; Mr B Hocken represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2819 to Question 21.1, Comment 2818 to Question 21.3, Comment 2817 to Question 22, Comment 2816 to Question 18, Comment 2815 to Question 3, Comment 2814 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556490</td>
<td>Persimmon Homes (South Coast) Ltd. represented by Mr Cliff Lane, Savills Planning</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2351 to Question 1, Comment 2349 to Question 1, Comment 2541 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382797</td>
<td>Persimmon Homes represented by Mr Mark Fox, Pegasus Planning Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2850 to Question 21.1, Comment 2849 to Question 21.6, Comment 2848 to Question 21.3, Comment 2847 to Question 21.2, Comment 2846 to Question 21.1, Comment 2845 to Question 20, Comment 2844 to Question 19, Comment 2843 to Question 22, Comment 2842 to Question 18, Comment 2841 to Question 16, Comment 2840 to Question 15, Comment 2839 to Question 13, Comment 2838 to Question 12, Comment 2837 to Question 10, Comment 2836 to Question 7, Comment 2835 to Question 3, Comment 2834 to Question 2, Comment 2833 to Question 1, Comment 2832 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549204</td>
<td>Philpott, Ms Louisa, Project Consultant White Design Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2179 to Question 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404474</td>
<td>Primegate Properties (Hooksouth) Ltd represented by Mrs Maggie Wood, Associate Director DPDS Consulting Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2559 to Question 2, Comment 2558 to Question 21.3, Comment 2557 to Question 3, Comment 2556 to Question 2, Comment 2555 to Question 20, Comment 2554 to Question 3, Comment 2553 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449445</td>
<td>Property &amp; Development Division WM Morrison Supermarkets PLC represented by Peter Keenan, Peter Brett Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2136 to Question 11, Comment 2138 to Question 20, Comment 2128 to Question 7, Comment 2127 to Question 1, Comment 2132 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556382</td>
<td>Redcliffe Homes represented by Chris Beaver, GL Hearn</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2245 to Question 21.3, Comment 2248 to Question 21.3, Comment 2241 to Question 21.3, Comment 2240 to Question 5, Comment 2232 to Question 2, Comment 2230 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396081</td>
<td>Revell, Mr Richard, c/o Michael Kavanagh represented by David Hambley, BBA Architects</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2030 to Question 15, Comment 2029 to Question 3, Comment 2027 to Question 2, Comment 2026 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550187</td>
<td>Richardson, Mr &amp; Mrs represented by Sarah Foster, SF Planning Link Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1352 to Chapter 1., Comment 1351 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392247</td>
<td>Richardson, Mr Simon, Straker &amp; Co</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 893 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555818</td>
<td>Sealy, Mr David and Roger, Sealy Farm Partnership represented by Mr Steve Briggs, Partner Smiths Gore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2193 to Question 21.1, Comment 2192 to Question 5, Comment 2191 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390389</td>
<td>Sealy, Ms C, Country Landowners Association</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1313 to Question 21.6, Comment 1311 to Question 1, Comment 1310 to Chapter 3., Comment 1309 to Chapter 2., Comment 1308 to Chapter 1., Comment 1306 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549156</td>
<td>Simul Consultants Ltd represented by mr david stirling, Director Simul Consultants Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 617 to Question 21.1, Comment 614 to Question 21.1, Comment 608 to Question 1, Comment 610 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391680</td>
<td>Sir / Madam , c/o White Young Green Planning Sainsbury's Supermarkets represented by Rachel Robinson, WYG Planning &amp; Design</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2258 to Question 12, Comment 2263 to Question 14, Comment 2261 to Question 15, Comment 2259 to Question 6, Comment 2260 to Question 13, Comment 2268 to Question 18, Comment 2272 to Question 21.7, Comment 2271 to Question 19, Comment 2229 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397800</td>
<td>Society of Merchant Venturers represented by Ms Amy Hallam, Carter Jonas</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 424 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556392</td>
<td>South West Housing Association Registered Providers (SWHARPs) represented by Mr Jamie Sullivan, TETLOW KING PLANNING</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2250 to Chapter 3., Comment 2243 to Chapter 2., Comment 2287 to Question 21.6, Comment 2286 to Question 21.4, Comment 2285 to Question 21.3, Comment 2281 to Question 21.3, Comment 2278 to Question 21.2, Comment 2275 to Question 21.1, Comment 2274 to Question 3, Comment 2270 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401502</td>
<td>Squire, Pip, Spring Park Corsham Ltd represented by Mr Tim Gent, Savills</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2490 to Question 21.1, Comment 2489 to Question 11, Comment 2488 to Question 3, Comment 2487 to Question 2, Comment 2486 to Question 1, Comment 2485 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390961</td>
<td>Stainer, Mr Jrepresented by Mr James F Sherry, Jim Sherry Planning Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1967 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390961</td>
<td>Stainer, Mr J represented by Mr James F Sherry, Jim Sherry Planning Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1930 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412722</td>
<td>Stevens, James, Strategic Planner Home Builders Federation Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2584 to Question 21.6, Comment 2583 to Question 21.3, Comment 2582 to Question 21.2, Comment 2581 to Question 21.1, Comment 2580 to Question 22, Comment 2579 to Question 21.8, Comment 2578 to Question 21.1, Comment 2577 to Question 21.3, Comment 2576 to Question 21.8, Comment 2575 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556321</td>
<td>Strategic Land Partnerships represented by Mr John Baker, Peter Brett Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2183 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390131</td>
<td>Suffolk, Lord , Charlton Park Estate represented by Mr Robert Killen, Managing Agent Charlton Park Estate</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2586 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556596</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey represented by Alistair Macdonald, DPDS Consulting Group</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2444 to Question 1, Comment 2442 to Question 21.3, Comment 2441 to Question 21.3, Comment 2439 to Question 21.3, Comment 2438 to Question 2, Comment 2437 to Question 2, Comment 2436 to Question 2, Comment 2433 to Question 2, Comment 2432 to Question 3, Comment 2431 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549444</td>
<td>The Crown Estate represented by Mr David Fovargue, Principal Consultant AMEC</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 968 to Question 2, Comment 967 to Question 1, Comment 971 to Question 12, Comment 979 to Question 21.3, Comment 978 to Question 21.2, Comment 977 to Question 16, Comment 976 to Question 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490420</td>
<td>The Salisbury Diocese Board of Finance represented by Mr Steve Briggs, Partner Smiths Gore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2190 to Question 21.3, Comment 2189 to Question 18, Comment 2188 to Question 14, Comment 2187 to Question 7, Comment 2186 to Question 3, Comment 2185 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402183</td>
<td>Thomas, Jeffrey, Hartham Park represented by Mr Tim Gent, Savills</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2483 to Question 11, Comment 2482 to Question 3, Comment 2481 to Question 2, Comment 2480 to Question 1, Comment 2479 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549367</td>
<td>Thomas, S, Owner Positive Planning</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2049 to Question 5, Comment 2048 to Question 4, Comment 2047 to Question 5, Comment 2046 to Question 3, Comment 2045 to Question 2, Comment 2044 to Question 1, Comment 2043 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387753</td>
<td>Thorpe, Sophia, M J Gleeson Group plc</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2068 to Question 3, Comment 2071 to Question 21.3, Comment 2070 to Question 21.3, Comment 2064 to Question 2, Comment 2061 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557237</td>
<td>Tollodine Estates represented by Giles Brockbank, Hunter Page Planning Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2594 to Question 1, Comment 2593 to Question 21.1, Comment 2592 to Question 21.1, Comment 2591 to Question 11, Comment 2590 to Question 11, Comment 2589 to Question 21.1, Comment 2588 to Question 21.2, Comment 2587 to Question 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550412</td>
<td>Turner, B &amp; A represented by Sarah Foster, SF Planning Link Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1492 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390998</td>
<td>Turner, Mr and Mrs J</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 673 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549200</td>
<td>Usher, Mr Stevan, Director Chippenham 2020</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2184 to Question 5, Comment 2182 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389564</td>
<td>Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Ltd represented by Stephen Harris, Emery Planning Partnership</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2434 to Question 20, Comment 2427 to Question 2, Comment 2430 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378140</td>
<td>Walsh, Mr Paul, Development Director Selwood Housing Society Limited</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2419 to Question 21.6, Comment 2417 to Question 21.4, Comment 2416 to Question 21.3, Comment 2415 to Question 21.2, Comment 2414 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389468</td>
<td>White Lion Land LLP represented by Edward Ledwidge, Blue Sky Planning Limited</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2199 to Question 22, Comment 2198 to Question 22, Comment 2197 to Question 13, Comment 2196 to Question 3, Comment 2195 to Question 2, Comment 2194 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556345</td>
<td>Whitemarsh Developments represented by Georgina Tibbs, Barton Willmore</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2205 to Question 11, Comment 2203 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378124</td>
<td>Wickham, Mr Christopher, Partner Christopher Wickham Associates</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 898 to Question 21.3, Comment 895 to Question 21.1, Comment 894 to Question 18, Comment 871 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549284</td>
<td>Willis, Mr Marc, Chairman Wiltshire Building Design Association represented by Mr Marc Willis, Chairman Wiltshire Building Design Association</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 737 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556563</td>
<td>Wills, Sir D S represented by Mr Iain Stevenson, Carter Jonas</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2400 to Question 14, Comment 2399 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549154</td>
<td>Woodhouse, Mr James represented by Mr David Stirling, Director Simul Consultants Ltd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 622 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549420</td>
<td>Wootton, Mrs Pat represented by Mr Richard Kaskow, partner rk architecture</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 2894 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>540727</td>
<td>Zog Brownfield Ventures Ltd represented by Jan Donovan, Rolfe Judd</td>
<td>Landowners/developers</td>
<td>Comment 1002 to Question 17, Comment 1011 to Question 21.2, Comment 1009 to Question 21.1, Comment 981 to Question 2, Comment 1015 to Question 21.3, Comment 984 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549346</td>
<td>AA, Mr. David, Innovation Imperative</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 776 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557517</td>
<td>Burke, Mr Jonathan, Westbury Area Community Area Partnership</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 2671 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449608</td>
<td>Caddell, Mr Joe, Business Support Director The Consortium</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 17 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549270</td>
<td>Conway, Mr &amp; Mrs Michael, Property Maintenance Services</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 708 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449031</td>
<td>Corrie, Mrs M, Farmer Giles Farmstead</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1936 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397830</td>
<td>Deacon, David L, Deacons of Warminster</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1937 to Question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548713</td>
<td>Down, Mr Chris, (acting) National Planning Manager Lafarge Cement UK Ltd</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1622 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556018</td>
<td>Evans, David, Calne Community Area Partnership</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 2025 to Question 21.3, Comment 2024 to Question 10, Comment 2023 to Question 5, Comment 2022 to Question 3, Comment 2021 to Question 2, Comment 2020 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550903</td>
<td>Fairbrass, Georgina, Corsham Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1785 to Question 22, Comment 1784 to Question 21.8, Comment 1783 to Question 21.7, Comment 1782 to Question 21.1, Comment 1746 to Question 1, Comment 1739 to Chapter 1., Comment 1756 to Question 11, Comment 1741 to Chapter 3., Comment 1749 to Question 2, Comment 1753 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548232</td>
<td>Gillespie, Mr Robert, Managing Director Environment Bank Ltd represented by Mr Robert Gillespie, Managing Director Environment Bank Ltd</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 360 to Question 21.1, Comment 359 to Question 21.5, Comment 358 to Question 21.2, Comment 357 to Question 21.1, Comment 356 to Question 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549222</td>
<td>GODDARD, MR IAN, SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER RADIAN</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1612 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555384</td>
<td>Harrison, Colin, Melksham Chambers of Commerce</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1815 to Question 1, Comment 1812 to Question 15, Comment 1811 to Question 15, Comment 1810 to Question 15, Comment 1808 to Question 15, Comment 1804 to Question 15, Comment 1802 to Question 15, Comment 1797 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550762</td>
<td>James, Andrew, Director Andybus &amp; Coach Ltd</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1624 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559239</td>
<td>Jeffrey, Ruth, Committee Member Malmesbury and District Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 2876 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commerce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550305</td>
<td>Johnson, Mr Mark, ATE Solutions Ltd</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1430 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>481043</td>
<td>Langham, Mr Paul, Owner a'Beckett's Vineyard</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 15 to Question 21.8, Comment 14 to Question 12, Comment 13 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382540</td>
<td>Mallon, Collette, Partnership Manager North Wiltshire Economic Partnership</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 2238 to Question 21.1, Comment 2249 to Question 21.8, Comment 2247 to Question 21.7, Comment 2246 to Question 21.3, Comment 2244 to Chapter 1., Comment 2242 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550173</td>
<td>Millson, Mr Brian, Boarding kennels</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1348 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549036</td>
<td>Morgan, Richard, Asset Manager Mountgrange Investment Management LLP</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 548 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550849</td>
<td>Prosser, Clair, Swindon Chamber of Commerce</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1876 to Question 22, Comment 1875 to Question 2, Comment 1874 to Question 22, Comment 1873 to Question 2, Comment 1675 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556724</td>
<td>Smith, Mr Kevin, Smith Office Supp.</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 2495 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549439</td>
<td>Sweetman, Mr Adrian, i-Propheets Energy Services</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 878 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389656</td>
<td>Turner, Len, Mid Wiltshire Economic Partnership</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 2221 to Question 21.8, Comment 2220 to Question 21.6, Comment 2218 to Question 15, Comment 2217 to Question 7, Comment 2216 to Question 6, Comment 2215 to Question 22, Comment 2214 to Chapter 3., Comment 2213 to Chapter 1., Comment 2212 to Question 21.7, Comment 2211 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550896</td>
<td>Wells, Jamie Murray, Prescription Eyewear Limited</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 1737 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546846</td>
<td>Widger, Dr Tom, Clarity Consultancy</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 200 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392283</td>
<td>Williams, Mr M, Wessex Association of Chambers of Commerce</td>
<td>Local business interests</td>
<td>Comment 663 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556377</td>
<td>Aeberhard, Dr Penny, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2233 to Question 6, Comment 2228 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556458</td>
<td>Bartholemew, Mrs Pat, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2316 to Question 7, Comment 2315 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557548</td>
<td>Batscapes represented by Zoe Pittaway, Farming and Wildlife Advisory Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2680 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448822</td>
<td>Blake, Mr</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 152 to Question 21.1, Comment 146 to Question 13, Comment 141 to Question 3, Comment 139 to Question 2, Comment 137 to Question 1, Comment 135 to Chapter 3., Comment 133 to Chapter 2., Comment 130 to Chapter 1., Comment 128 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390113</td>
<td>Bray, Mr N, Branch Secretary RailFuture Severnside</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 511 to Question 20, Comment 502 to Question 7, Comment 509 to Question 19, Comment 508 to Question 15, Comment 507 to Question 12, Comment 506 to Question 11, Comment 505 to Question 9, Comment 500 to Question 5, Comment 499 to Question 21.8, Comment 498 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399539</td>
<td>Browning, Jane, Corsham Civic Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1013 to Question 22, Comment 1008 to Question 21.1, Comment 1007 to Question 21.2, Comment 1006 to Question 11, Comment 1005 to Question 5, Comment 1004 to Question 5, Comment 1003 to Question 3, Comment 1001 to Question 2,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment 1000 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547640</td>
<td>Budgen, Mr Roger, Chairman Malmesbury &amp; St Paul Without Residents' Association</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 225 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549522</td>
<td>Burch, Mrs Amanda, Member nominated to respond on behalf of Pewsey WI Pewsey Women's Institute</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 936 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556113</td>
<td>Burden, Richard, Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase &amp; West Wiltshire Downs AONB</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2093 to Question 21.6, Comment 2092 to Question 21.5, Comment 2091 to Question 21.4, Comment 2090 to Question 21.3, Comment 2089 to Question 21.2, Comment 2088 to Question 21.1, Comment 2087 to Question 18, Comment 2086 to Question 22, Comment 2085 to Question 3, Comment 2083 to Question 2, Comment 2082 to Question 1, Comment 2081 to Chapter 3., Comment 2080 to Chapter 2., Comment 2079 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550556</td>
<td>Burnside, Mr Kevin, Friends of Woolley</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1801 to Question 21.8, Comment 1800 to Question 21.7, Comment 1799 to Question 21.6, Comment 1798 to Question 21.6, Comment 1793 to Question 22, Comment 1805 to Question 9, Comment 1796 to Question 21.6, Comment 1795 to Question 21.5, Comment 1793 to Question 21.3, Comment 1792 to Question 21.1, Comment 1791 to Chapter 3., Comment 1539 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557491</td>
<td>Campbell, Mr Robbie, The Friends of Steeple Aston</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2668 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556387</td>
<td>Carter, Mr Roger, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2305 to Question 7, Comment 2239 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556450</td>
<td>Carter, Mrs Jennifer, Steeple Ashton Natural History Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2308 to Question 7, Comment 2307 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469672</td>
<td>Casey, Mr Donal, Chairman Wiltshire Scullers School</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 27 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549592</td>
<td>CCAN</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1050 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391520</td>
<td>Chadwick, Mr R, Devizes Community Area Partnership</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1518 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549824</td>
<td>Coleman, Richard, ARK</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1186 to Question 21.1, Comment 1185 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555961</td>
<td>Cooper, Mr Alan, Committee Member Friends of Biss Meadows Country Park</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1997 to Question 7, Comment 1996 to Question 21.5, Comment 1995 to Question 7, Comment 1994 to Question 6, Comment 1993 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404209</td>
<td>Crafer, Mr Adrian, Company Secretary Swindon and Cricklade Railway</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2568 to Question 21.8, Comment 2567 to Question 22, Comment 1555 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448818</td>
<td>Crane, Mr P, Campaign to Protect Rural England - Wiltshire Branch</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1200 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556463</td>
<td>Crucefix, Mr Malcolm, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2321 to Question 7, Comment 2320 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556459</td>
<td>Crucefix, Mrs Innes, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2319 to Question 7, Comment 2318 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556384</td>
<td>Culverhouse, Mr David, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2237 to Question 7, Comment 2236 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394275</td>
<td>Dale, Ms D, Wiltshire College</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1872 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512242</td>
<td>de la Rue, Mrs Alice, Planning Officer National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1639 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555447</td>
<td>Dempster, S, ARK</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1818 to Question 21.1, Comment 1817 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390069</td>
<td>Dent, Mr Barry, Chairman Malmesbury Civic Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 496 to Question 22, Comment 495 to Question 21.1, Comment 494 to Question 21.8, Comment 492 to Question 21.5, Comment 491 to Question 21.2, Comment 490 to Question 21.1, Comment 489 to Question 13, Comment 488 to Question 13, Comment 487 to Question 3, Comment 486 to Question 2, Comment 485 to Question 1, Comment 484 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547651</td>
<td>Director Action for the River Kennet</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 231 to Question 22, Comment 230 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390622</td>
<td>East, Mr E, The Trust For Devizes</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1562 to Chapter 3., Comment 1572 to Question 12, Comment 1571 to Question 21.9, Comment 1570 to Question 21.6, Comment 1569 to Question 21.5, Comment 1568 to Question 21.4, Comment 1567 to Question 21.2, Comment 1566 to Question 21.1, Comment 1565 to Question 12, Comment 1564 to Question 1, Comment 1559 to Chapter 1., Comment 1558 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556454</td>
<td>Fergusson, Mr Roger, Steeple Ashton Natural History Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2312 to Question 7, Comment 2310 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556457</td>
<td>Fergusson, Mrs Ollie, Steeple Ashton Natural History Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2314 to Question 7, Comment 2313 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549769</td>
<td>Fieldon, Kate, Vice-Chairman The Avebury Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1154 to Chapter 3., Comment 1162 to Question 22, Comment 1161 to Question 21.6, Comment 1159 to Question 21.5, Comment 1158 to Question 14, Comment 1165 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544269</td>
<td>fisher, Mr Kevin, Member Shaw Residents Association</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 88 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394627</td>
<td>Fletcher, Mr C, Wilts &amp; Berks Canal Amenity Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1594 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556467</td>
<td>Foote, Ms Julia, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2327 to Question 7, Comment 2326 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555756</td>
<td>Forbes, Ms Anna, Action for the River Kennet</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1895 to Question 21.1, Comment 1894 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390688</td>
<td>Goldstone, Mrs F, Malmesbury River Valleys Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2790 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382551</td>
<td>Groom, Mollie, NORTHERN COMMUNITY AREA PARTNERSHIP</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1517 to Question 21.1, Comment 1516 to Question 21.8, Comment 1515 to Question 21.6, Comment 1514 to Question 21.5, Comment 1513 to Question 21.4, Comment 1512 to Question 21.3, Comment 1511 to Question 21.2, Comment 1510 to Question 21.1, Comment 1509 to Question 20, Comment 1508 to Question 3, Comment 1507 to Question 2, Comment 1506 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557621</td>
<td>Harris, Gareth, Cotswold Water Park Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2771 to Question 21.5, Comment 2770 to Question 21.5, Comment 2769 to Question 21.2, Comment 2768 to Question 20, Comment 2767 to Question 12, Comment 2766 to Chapter 3., Comment 2765 to Chapter 3., Comment 2764 to Question 20, Comment 2763 to Question 9, Comment 2762 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557555</td>
<td>Harris, Gareth, Wiltshire Bat Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2703 to Question 8, Comment 2705 to Question 11, Comment 2708 to Question 11, Comment 2710 to Question 14, Comment 2717 to Question 17, Comment 2713 to Question 16, Comment 2719 to Question 18, Comment 2683 to Question 22, Comment 2685 to Chapter 3., Comment 2690 to Question 20, Comment 2688 to Question 3, Comment 2694 to Question 14, Comment 2693 to Question 19, Comment 2700 to Question 7, Comment 2699 to Question 5, Comment 2698 to Question 5, Comment 2721 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382782</td>
<td>Hawkes, Rosemary, Secretary Paxcroft Mead Community Forum</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1970 to Question 7, Comment 1969 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549562</td>
<td>Hawley, Ms Jenny, Environmental Intelligence Officer Wiltshire Wildlife Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1017 to Chapter 3., Comment 1019 to Question 3, Comment 1028 to Question 13, Comment 1027 to Question 12, Comment 1026 to Question 10, Comment 1025 to Question 9, Comment 1024 to Question 7, Comment 1023 to Question 5, Comment 1036 to Question 21.1, Comment 1035 to Question 20, Comment 1034 to Question 18, Comment 1021 to Question 4, Comment 1032 to Question 17, Comment 1031 to Question 16, Comment 1030 to Question 14, Comment 1038 to Question 21.5, Comment 1041 to Question 8, Comment 1014 to Chapter 2., Comment 1012 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403922</td>
<td>Hayes, Kate, Friends of Hilperton Gap</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1765 to Question 7, Comment 1764 to Question 21.3, Comment 1763 to Question 3, Comment 1762 to Question 21.3, Comment 1761 to Question 21.3, Comment 1760 to Question 7, Comment 1759 to Question 3, Comment 1758 to Question 21.2, Comment 1757 to Question 3, Comment 1755 to Question 7, Comment 1754 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550012</td>
<td>Heard, Graham, General Manager National Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1269 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549306</td>
<td>Howard, Miss Margaret, Vice Chairman Trowbridge Civic Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 753 to Question 8, Comment 752 to Question 7, Comment 751 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556470</td>
<td>Howard, Mrs Anne, Steeple Ashton Natural History Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2330 to Question 7, Comment 2329 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550555</td>
<td>Hunt, Terry, Chairman Rodbourne Cheney Residents Association</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1538 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557610</td>
<td>Jones, Ms Karen, Marketing &amp; Administration Manager Wiltshire Archaeology and Natural History Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2757 to Question 3, Comment 2756 to Question 2, Comment 2754 to Question 1, Comment 2745 to Question 3, Comment 2747 to Question 21.5, Comment 2749 to Question 21.1, Comment 2751 to Question 21.2, Comment 2741 to Question 21.3, Comment 2743 to Question 21.6, Comment 2739 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549208</td>
<td>Laverick, John, Chairman Wilts &amp; Berks Canal Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 642 to Question 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389778</td>
<td>Lock, Anne, Corsham Station Campaign</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1678 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548529</td>
<td>Mayes, Councillor Laura, Councillor and Chairman Devizes Area Board</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2787 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544550</td>
<td>mccall, mr ken, campaigner campaign for a better trowbridge</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2759 to Question 3, Comment 2758 to Question 6, Comment 2753 to Question 7, Comment 57 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449363</td>
<td>McDonic, Mr George, Chairman Campaign to Protect Rural England - Wiltshire Branch</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2650 to Question 21.1, Comment 2647 to Question 21.8, Comment 2646 to Question 21.6, Comment 2643 to Question 21.5, Comment 2642 to Question 21.3, Comment 2641 to Question 21.1, Comment 2640 to Question 20, Comment 2638 to Question 19, Comment 2637 to Question 18, Comment 2636 to Question 14, Comment 2635 to Question 13, Comment 2633 to Question 12, Comment 2632 to Question 11, Comment 2631 to Question 10, Comment 2630 to Question 9, Comment 2607 to Question 21.1, Comment 2625 to Question 8, Comment 2624 to Question 7, Comment 2623 to Question 6, Comment 2622 to Question 5, Comment 2621 to Question 4, Comment 2620 to Question 3, Comment 2619 to Question 2, Comment 2618 to Question 1, Comment 2617 to Question 21.1, Comment 2616 to Chapter 3, Comment 2615 to Question 21.8, Comment 2614 to Question 21.7, Comment 2613 to Question 21.6, Comment 2612 to Question 21.5, Comment 2611 to Question 21.4, Comment 2610 to Question 21.3, Comment 2609 to Question 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557878</td>
<td>McDonic, Mr George, Chairman Wiltshire CPRE</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2811 to Question 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557527</td>
<td>Miller, Mr Vic, Wilts &amp; Berks Canal Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2672 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391662</td>
<td>Nelder, N, Development Adviser Cotswold Canals Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 799 to Question 3, Comment 294 to Question 3, Comment 293 to Question 21.5, Comment 292 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549429</td>
<td>Nicolson, Mr Andrew, Coordinator West Wiltshire Transport Concern</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2654 to Question 3, Comment 2653 to Question 21.8, Comment 2652 to Question 21.3, Comment 2651 to Question 21.3, Comment 2649 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389605</td>
<td>Pagett, Dr Richard, Chair Ps and Qs</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1134 to Question 22, Comment 1133 to Question 20, Comment 1132 to Question 1, Comment 1131 to Chapter 3., Comment 1129 to Chapter 2., Comment 1128 to Chapter 1., Comment 1127 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550327</td>
<td>Parnell, Annie, Secretary Chalke Valley Preservation Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1455 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395460</td>
<td>Peacock, Mr Tony, Coordinator The Showell Protection Group</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 966 to Question 21.3, Comment 964 to Question 21.8, Comment 959 to Question 21.7, Comment 957 to Question 21.6, Comment 952 to Question 21.5, Comment 949 to Question 21.1, Comment 944 to Question 5, Comment 941 to Question 1, Comment 939 to Chapter 3., Comment 932 to Question 22, Comment 970 to Question 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548970</td>
<td>Pennell, Mr Owen, Chairman Chippenham Civic Society</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1806 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550272</td>
<td>Powell, Chloe, Send a Cow</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1390 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549007</td>
<td>Pym, Mrs Caroline, member Malmesbury Civic Trust Malmesbury Residents Association</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 530 to Question 21.6, Comment 522 to Question 21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466498</td>
<td>Raggett, Campaign for Better Transport JD, Coordinato Campaign for Better Transport, Bristol and Bath Travel to Work Area</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 768 to Question 21.8, Comment 388 to Question 15, Comment 383 to Question 21.1, Comment 382 to Question 21.2, Comment 378 to Question 21.8, Comment 300 to Question 9, Comment 288 to Question 6, Comment 287 to Question 5, Comment 286 to Question 3, Comment 285 to Question 2, Comment 284 to Question 1, Comment 296 to Question 8, Comment 295 to Question 7, Comment 361 to Question 19, Comment 355 to Question 15, Comment 354 to Question 13, Comment 353 to Question 9, Comment 240 to Chapter 2., Comment 239 to Chapter 1., Comment 245 to Chapter 3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550866</td>
<td>Ramsay, James, Savernake Fly Fishers</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1702 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394588</td>
<td>Read, Mrs Phillippa, Chief Executive Community First</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 716 to Question 21.1, Comment 714 to Question 3, Comment 712 to Question 2, Comment 711 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550599</td>
<td>Rodd, Dr M G, Chair Kennet and Avon Canal Trust Ltd</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2299 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556086</td>
<td>Seagrief, Rose, Wiltshire Community Land Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2052 to Question 21.1, Comment 2051 to Question 2, Comment 2050 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474645</td>
<td>Sedgwick, Mr Tony, Traffic Advisor Trust for Devizes</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 758 to Question 22, Comment 757 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398298</td>
<td>Shell, Mrs G, Director Wiltshire Rural Housing Association</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1919 to Question 21.8, Comment 1917 to Question 21.3, Comment 1915 to Question 21.2, Comment 1914 to Question 21.4, Comment 1907 to Question 1, Comment 1911 to Question 3, Comment 1910 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550524</td>
<td>Smith, Ms Pamela, Kennet and Avon Boating Community</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1530 to Chapter 3., Comment 1529 to Question 21.3, Comment 1528 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548810</td>
<td>SPRULES, Mr. Michael, Chairperson R.A.D.A.R. - Residents Against Development Affecting Recreational Land</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 430 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557636</td>
<td>Stewart, Ali, Wiltshire &amp; Swindon Countryside Access Forum (W&amp;S CAF)</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2775 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383075</td>
<td>Sykes, W A, Malmesbury &amp; St Paul's Without Residents' Association</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1053 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>488477</td>
<td>Tatton-Bennett, Mr Liam, Community Transport Officer Community First</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2019 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394709</td>
<td>The Burser, Dauntsey's School represented by Ms Helen Kent, Associate Land Use Consultants</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 680 to Question 12, Comment 679 to Question 2, Comment 692 to Question 21.5, Comment 675 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556931</td>
<td>Thurman, Anna, Westbury Area Board</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2547 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557591</td>
<td>Topple, Ms Carole, Chairman, Living and Working Sub Committee Cotswold Conservation Board</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2737 to Question 21.5, Comment 2736 to Question 21.5, Comment 2735 to Question 21.3, Comment 2734 to Question 21.3, Comment 2733 to Question 21.2, Comment 2732 to Question 21.1, Comment 2731 to Question 21.5, Comment 2730 to Question 1, Comment 2729 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548124</td>
<td>Walton, Mr Adam, Treasurer Transition Community Corsham</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 337 to Question 11, Comment 336 to Question 5, Comment 335 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406262</td>
<td>Willmot, Margaret, Hon Secretary Salisbury Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1048 to Question 22, Comment 232 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549275</td>
<td>Wilson, J B, Bradford on Avon Preservation Trust</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 715 to Chapter 2., Comment 718 to Question 3, Comment 717 to Question 2, Comment 721 to Question 9, Comment 724 to Question 21.8, Comment 713 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549520</td>
<td>Wilts Rural Investment Partnership, Wiltshire Rural Investment Partnership</td>
<td>Local interest group</td>
<td>Comment 931 to Question 21.1, Comment 930 to Question 2, Comment 929 to Question 1, Comment 935 to Question 21.4, Comment 934 to Question 21.3, Comment 933 to Question 21.2, Comment 937 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556105</td>
<td>Chester, Kim, Development Manager The Waterways Trust</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2074 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549247</td>
<td>Des Voeux, Mr Richard, Director Sport Invest International Ltd</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1217 to Question 5, Comment 682 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557536</td>
<td>East, Mr Kevin, Waterways and Environment Manager Canoe England</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2675 to Question 21.1, Comment 2674 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382240</td>
<td>Freeman, Rose, Planning Policy Officer The Theatres Trust</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 888 to Question 21.7, Comment 887 to Question 21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559287</td>
<td>Friends of the Earth (North Wilts)</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2892 to Question 21.5, Comment 2891 to Question 21.2, Comment 2890 to Question 1, Comment 2889 to Question 2, Comment 2888 to Question 22, Comment 2885 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404446</td>
<td>O'Grady, Ellen, Defence Estates</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 2018 to Question 21.3, Comment 2017 to Question 21.8, Comment 2016 to Question 21.3, Comment 2015 to Question 21.1, Comment 2014 to Question 22, Comment 2013 to Question 21.1, Comment 2012 to Question 3, Comment 2010 to Question 11, Comment 2009 to Question 20, Comment 2008 to Question 17, Comment 2007 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390921</td>
<td>Webb, Mr John, The Inland Waterways Association Avon and Wilts Branch</td>
<td>National interest group</td>
<td>Comment 1944 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397149</td>
<td>Gray MP, The Rt Hon. James</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Comment 1794 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480631</td>
<td>Hames, Mr Duncan, MP Member of Parliament</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Comment 2146 to Question 7, Comment 2145 to Question 5, Comment 2144 to Question 2, Comment 2143 to Question 1, Comment 2142 to Question 9, Comment 2141 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392552</td>
<td>Murrison, Mr A, Member of Parliament</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Comment 2180 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396085</td>
<td>Abernethie, Heather, Warminster Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2225 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391073</td>
<td>Allan, Mr Lance, Town Clerk Trowbridge Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 197 to Question 21.8, Comment 196 to Question 21.5, Comment 195 to Question 9, Comment 194 to Question 8, Comment 193 to Question 7, Comment 192 to Question 6, Comment 191 to Question 3, Comment 190 to Question 2, Comment 189 to Question 1, Comment 188 to Chapter 3., Comment 187 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467567</td>
<td>Amos, Mrs Janet, Parish Clerk West Tisbury Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1677 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555406</td>
<td>Ash, Michael, Bishopstone Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1807 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408396</td>
<td>Ayling, Mr Toby, Principal Planning Officer Hampshire County Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2389 to Question 21.8, Comment 2388 to Question 21.8, Comment 2387 to Question 17, Comment 2386 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393707</td>
<td>Bagwell, Mr S, Enford Parish Councillor</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2309 to Question 21.8, Comment 2331 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555487</td>
<td>Bennett, Pamela, Chairman Winsley Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1841 to Question 21.8, Comment 1840 to Question 21.2, Comment 1839 to Question 9, Comment 1838 to Question 1, Comment 1837 to Chapter 3., Comment 1835 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549094</td>
<td>Blair-Pilling, Col (Retd) Ian, Chairman Netheravon Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 593 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467835</td>
<td>Bradford on Avon Town Council, Town Clerk Bradford on Avon Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1560 to Question 1, Comment 1557 to Chapter 3., Comment 1580 to Question 21.2, Comment 1579 to Question 21.1, Comment 1577 to Question 9, Comment 1576 to Question 7, Comment 1575 to Question 3, Comment 1563 to Question 2, Comment 1591 to Question 22, Comment 1589 to Question 21.8, Comment 1587 to Question 21.6, Comment 1583 to Question 21.5, Comment 1582 to Question 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392579</td>
<td>Burroughs, Mr N, Planning Vale of White Horse District Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 25 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382315</td>
<td>Buxton Dean, Mrs J, Steeple Ashton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 882 to Question 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401788</td>
<td>Carey, Mrs M S, Box Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1899 to Question 21.6, Comment 1898 to Question 21.5, Comment 1897 to Question 1, Comment 1896 to Question 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480753</td>
<td>Chard, Ann, Office Manager Chippenham Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1664 to Question 5, Comment 705 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556527</td>
<td>Clarke, Mr G, Planning Assistant, Spatial Planning Dorset County Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2385 to Question 2, Comment 2384 to Question 2, Comment 2383 to Question 3, Comment 2382 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549051</td>
<td>Coleman, Mr R P, Clerk Southwick Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 559 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391586</td>
<td>Coleman, Mr R P, Dilton Marsh Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 576 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548988</td>
<td>Coleman, Mr Roger, Secretary Trowbridge Community Area Future - Parish Councils Liaison Group</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 567 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545197</td>
<td>Coombe, Mr Simon, Chairman Limpley Stoke Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 67 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545844</td>
<td>Cornish, Mrs Beverley, Clerk Downton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 283 to Question 3, Comment 282 to Question 2, Comment 281 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552390</td>
<td>cowan, paul, Upavon Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1778 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390490</td>
<td>Crawford, Ms Danielle, Parish Clerk Dauntsey Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2887 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392131</td>
<td>Cuthbertson, Mrs D A, Broughton Gifford Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2778 to Question 1, Comment 2777 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558939</td>
<td>Daone, Mr Richard, Team Leader - Planning Policy Bath &amp; North East Somerset Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2875 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549180</td>
<td>Davey, Mr Roy, Clerk Broad Town Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 645 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556424</td>
<td>Dodd, Mr M, Chairman Great Hinton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2292 to Question 6, Comment 2297 to Question 7, Comment 2296 to Question 1, Comment 2295 to Question 6, Comment 2294 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390060</td>
<td>Ewer, Mr Graham, Chairman Swallowcliffe Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 280 to Question 1, Comment 279 to Chapter 3., Comment 278 to Chapter 2., Comment 277 to Chapter 1., Comment 276 to Question 22, Comment 298 to Question 3, Comment 297 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389408</td>
<td>Fielding, Katie, Wiltshire Associations of Local Councils</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2057 to Question 3, Comment 2055 to Question 2, Comment 2054 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550262</td>
<td>Fisher, Mr Simon, Bishops Cannings Parish Council and Roundway Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1381 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550257</td>
<td>Fisher, Mr Simon, Devizes Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1376 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403912</td>
<td>Gilby, Mrs Kirsty, Administrative Assistant Corsham Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 740 to Question 21.8, Comment 739 to Question 21.2, Comment 738 to Question 21.1, Comment 736 to Question 11, Comment 735 to Question 5, Comment 734 to Question 3, Comment 733 to Question 2, Comment 725 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390723</td>
<td>Goodwin, Mr George, Keevil Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 223 to Question 15, Comment 76 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549123</td>
<td>Grey, Mr Steve, Melksham Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 605 to Question 15, Comment 604 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390430</td>
<td>Griffiths, Ms A, Limpley Stoke Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 244 to Question 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389494</td>
<td>Hackett, Mrs Carol, Clerk West Ashton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1690 to Question 7, Comment 1688 to Question 6, Comment 1686 to Question 3, Comment 1684 to Question 2, Comment 1682 to Question 1, Comment 2669 to Question 22, Comment 2774 to Question 22, Comment 2773 to Question 22, Comment 529 to Question 7, Comment 528 to Question 6, Comment 526 to Question 3, Comment 525 to Question 2, Comment 524 to Question 1, Comment 523 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383127</td>
<td>Hackett, Mrs Carol, Market Lavington Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 581 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391632</td>
<td>Harry, Mrs S, Parish Clerk Tisbury Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 764 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389714</td>
<td>Harvey, Mr Keith, Clerk Westbury Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 451 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548259</td>
<td>Helps, Mr Edwin Martin, Clerk Christian Malford Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 602 to Question 21.3, Comment 594 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550068</td>
<td>Henderson, Mr I J, Chairman St Paul Malmesbury Without Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1298 to Question 13, Comment 1293 to Question 13, Comment 1291 to Question 13, Comment 1289 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>535856</td>
<td>Henwood, Mrs C, Clerk Heywood Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1904 to Question 21.3, Comment 1903 to Question 19, Comment 1902 to Question 1, Comment 1901 to Question 19, Comment 1906 to Question 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401539</td>
<td>Hillman, Bob, Senior Planner (LDF) Swindon Borough Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1787 to Chapter 2., Comment 1786 to Question 20, Comment 2798 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389588</td>
<td>Hoddinott, Sally, Clerk Potterne Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1072 to Question 21.1, Comment 1063 to Question 21.3, Comment 1062 to Question 21.1, Comment 1061 to Question 12, Comment 1059 to Question 2, Comment 1058 to Question 1, Comment 1070 to Question 21.8, Comment 1069 to Question 21.6, Comment 1067 to Question 21.5, Comment 669 to Chapter 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555770</td>
<td>Illsley, Mr David, Policy Manager New Forest National Park Authority</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1912 to Chapter 1., Comment 1908 to Question 22, Comment 1923 to Question 22, Comment 1922 to Chapter 2., Comment 1920 to Question 22, Comment 1900 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541659</td>
<td>Jacobs, Ms Amanda, Planning Officer Oxfordshire County Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 35 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383374</td>
<td>Jarvis, Mrs Mary, Clerk Melksham Without Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2761 to Question 15, Comment 1960 to Question 22, Comment 1959 to Question 14, Comment 1958 to Question 14, Comment 1957 to Chapter 3., Comment 1956 to Chapter 2., Comment 1955 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389526</td>
<td>Keers, Alison, Clerk Pewsey Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1618 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480999</td>
<td>Lacock Parish Council, Councillor Lacock Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1790 to Question 1, Comment 1789 to Question 15, Comment 1788 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391306</td>
<td>Lane, Mrs J, North Bradley Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1419 to Question 22, Comment 1416 to Question 6, Comment 1415 to Question 3, Comment 1410 to Question 2, Comment 1409 to Question 1, Comment 1399 to Question 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398114</td>
<td>Lenton, Mrs J G, Clerk Minety Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 423 to Question 21.3, Comment 422 to Question 1, Comment 421 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549282</td>
<td>Little, Helen, Mendip District Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 728 to Question 22, Comment 732 to Question 21.8, Comment 731 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549199</td>
<td>Maiden, Emily, Admin Assistant/Committee Clerk Wootton Bassett Town Council Planning Committee</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 635 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549317</td>
<td>Marrable, Dr Deirdre, Parish Councillor Wilcot and Huish Parish Council represented by Dr Deirdre Marrable, Wilcot and Huish Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 915 to Question 21.2, Comment 762 to Question 16, Comment 761 to Question 3, Comment 760 to Question 2, Comment 759 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548384</td>
<td>Moreton, Cllr Alf, North Bradley Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 381 to Question 7, Comment 380 to Question 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556401</td>
<td>Niblett, Robert, Planning Officer Gloucestershire County Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2267 to Question 21.2, Comment 2266 to Question 1, Comment 2265 to Question 21.8, Comment 2264 to Question 18, Comment 2254 to Chapter 3., Comment 2253 to Question 21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466990</td>
<td>Parker, Mrs Shelley, Assistant Town Clerk Cricklade Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 646 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500702</td>
<td>Pennington, Maria, Clerk Whiteparish Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 900 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382751</td>
<td>Pepperall, Tom, Lydiard Millicent Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2786 to Question 1, Comment 1481 to Question 22, Comment 1480 to Question 22, Comment 1479 to Question 22, Comment 1478 to Question 22, Comment 1477 to Question 22, Comment 1476 to Question 21.4, Comment 1475 to Question 22, Comment 1474 to Question 22, Comment 1473 to Question 19, Comment 1472 to Question 19, Comment 1471 to Chapter 2., Comment 1470 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383296</td>
<td>Rice, Phil, Malmesbury Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1715 to Question 15, Comment 1728 to Question 21.8, Comment 1727 to Question 21.6, Comment 1726 to Question 21.5, Comment 1725 to Question 21.4, Comment 1724 to Question 21.3, Comment 1713 to Question 14, Comment 1711 to Question 13, Comment 1709 to Question 10, Comment 1708 to Question 9, Comment 1707 to Question 3, Comment 1706 to Question 2, Comment 1705 to Question 1, Comment 1718 to Question 19, Comment 1717 to Question 18, Comment 1722 to Question 21.2, Comment 1721 to Question 21.1, Comment 1720 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437788</td>
<td>Richards, Dr Randal, Chair of Planning Fyfield and West Overton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1978 to Question 14, Comment 1977 to Question 3, Comment 1976 to Question 2, Comment 1975 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555750</td>
<td>Ritchie, Mr J, Clerk Burbage Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1893 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480579</td>
<td>Roberts, Mrs Linda, Town Clerk Calne Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2298 to Question 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390287</td>
<td>Roe, Mrs A, Lydiard Tregoz Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 569 to Question 1, Comment 571 to Question 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395962</td>
<td>Rogers, Mr R, Chairman Oaksey Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1550 to Question 13, Comment 1554 to Question 13, Comment 1552 to Question 3, Comment 1548 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549248</td>
<td>Siddall, Mr Stephen, Councillor Holt Parish council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1444 to Question 21.8, Comment 1443 to Question 21.3, Comment 1442 to Question 9, Comment 1441 to Question 7, Comment 1440 to Question 3, Comment 1438 to Question 2, Comment 1437 to Question 1, Comment 1436 to Chapter 3., Comment 1435 to Chapter 2., Comment 1020 to Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>539077</td>
<td>Stamp, Mr Andy, local councillor malmesbury council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 7 to Question 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479884</td>
<td>Stockall, Mr Ray</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2341 to Question 10, Comment 2340 to Question 5, Comment 2673 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392707</td>
<td>Stockall, Mr Ray, Chairman Christian Malford Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 891 to Question 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>547867</td>
<td>Timms, Mrs. Marylyn, Clerk Hilperton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1342 to Question 7, Comment 709 to Question 22, Comment 477 to Question 21.5, Comment 476 to Question 21.4, Comment 469 to Question 7, Comment 468 to Question 6, Comment 474 to Question 21.3, Comment 473 to Question 21.2, Comment 466 to Question 3, Comment 465 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390612</td>
<td>Towle, Mrs M A, Durrington Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 707 to Question 14, Comment 706 to Question 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545556</td>
<td>Turner, Mr Geoff, Parish Clerk Bishops Cannings Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 199 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545556</td>
<td>Turner, Mr Geoff, Parish Clerk Bishops Cannings Parish Council represented by Mr Geoff Turner, Parish Clerk Bishops Cannings Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 119 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person ID</td>
<td>Respondant</td>
<td>Group Code</td>
<td>Responses made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549435</td>
<td>Urchfont Parish Council, Parish Clerk Urchfont Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 870 to Question 3, Comment 869 to Question 2, Comment 868 to Question 1, Comment 872 to Question 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538355</td>
<td>Verschoyle, Gill, Great Hinton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1491 to Question 6, Comment 1490 to Question 3, Comment 1489 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407819</td>
<td>White, Mrs Janet, Clerk Ludgershall Town Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1372 to Question 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400923</td>
<td>Wieck, Mr M R, Edington Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1169 to Question 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382303</td>
<td>Wood, Sarah, Sherston Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 1881 to Question 13, Comment 1880 to Question 3, Comment 1879 to Question 2, Comment 1878 to Question 1, Comment 1522 to Question 13, Comment 1521 to Question 3, Comment 1520 to Question 2, Comment 1519 to Question 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545167</td>
<td>Wood, Mrs Sarah, Clerk Luckington Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 68 to Question 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394763</td>
<td>Woods, Mr M, Etchilhampton Parish Council</td>
<td>Parish/Town/Neighbouring authorities</td>
<td>Comment 2596 to Question 1, Comment 2595 to Chapter 3., Comment 2600 to Question 12, Comment 2599 to Question 3, Comment 2598 to Question 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 9b –Alphabetical list of organisations who responded by type of organisations

Advisory bodies and infrastructure providers

- 376324 British Waterways, Mrs J Hennell
- 396063 Burden, Mr R
- 169659 Coal Authority, Miss R Bust
- 403792 English Heritage, Rohan Torkildsen
- 395940 Environment Agency (Wessex Area), Miss K Burt
- 445360 Health and Safety Executive, Mr N Marsh
- 449272 National Trust, Mrs K Taylor
- 550012 National Trust, Graeme Heard
- 382216 Natural England, Mr C Routh
- 545679 North Wessex Downs AONB, Mr A Lord
- 483246 RSPB (South West), Mr P Sheldrake

Parish councils, town councils and neighbouring authorities

- 558939 Bath & North East Somerset Council
- 550262 Bishops Cannings Parish Council and Roundway Parish Council
- 545556 Bishops Cannings Parish Council, Mr G Turner
- 555406 Bishopstone Parish Council
- 401788 Box Parish Council, Mrs M S Carey
- 467835 Bradford on Avon Town Council
- 549180 Broad Town Parish Council
- 392131 Broughton Gifford Parish Council, Mrs D A Cuthbertson
- 555750 Burbage Parish Council
- 480579 Calne Town Council, Mrs Linda Roberts
- 480753 Chippenham Town Council, Ann Chard
- 548259 Christian Malford Parish Council, Mr Edwin Martin Helps
- 392707 Christian Malford Parish Council, Mr R Stockall
- 403912 Corsham Town Council, Mrs K Gilby
- 466990 Cricklade Town Council, Mrs S Parker
- 390490 Dauntsey Parish Council, Ms Danielle Crowford
- 550257 Devizes Town Council
- 391586 Dilton Marsh Parish Council, Mr R P Coleman
- 556527 Dorset County Council
- 545844 Downton Parish Council, Mrs B Cornish
- 390612 Durrington Town Council, Mrs M A Towle
- 400923 Edington Parish Council
- 393707 Enford Parish Council, Mr S Bagwell
- 394763 Etchilhampton Parish Council, Mr M Woods
- 437788 Fyfield and West Overton Parish Council, Dr Randal Richards
- 556401 Gloucestershire County Council
Great Hinton Parish Council
Hampshire County Council, Mr T Ayling
Heywood Parish Council, Mrs C Henwood
Hilperton Parish Council, Mrs M Timms
Holt Parish council, Mr Stephen Siddall
Keevil Parish Council, Mr G Goodwin
Lacock Parish Council
Limpley Stoke Parish Council, Mr S Coombe
Limpley Stoke Parish Council, Ms A Griffiths
Luckington Parish Council, Mrs S Wood
Ludgershall Town Council, Mrs J White
Lyme Millicent Parish Council, Tom Pepperall
Malmesbury and St Paul’s Without Parish Council
Malmesbury Town Council, Phil Rice
Malmesbury Town Council. Mr A Stamp
Market Lavington Parish Council, Mrs C Hackett
Melksham Town Council, Mr Steve Grey
Melksham Without Parish Council, Mrs M Jarvis
Mendip District Council
Minety Parish Council, Mrs J G Lenton
Netheravon Parish Council, Col (Retd) Ian Blair-Pilling
New Forest National Park Authority
North Bradley Parish Council, Mr A Moreton
North Bradley Parish Council, Mrs J Lane
Oaksey Parish Council, Mr R Rogers
Oxfordshire County Council, Ms A Jacobs
Pewsey Parish Council, Alison Keers
Potters Parish Council, Sally Hoddingott
Sherston Parish Council, Sarah Wood
Southwick Parish Council, Mr P Coleman
Steeple Ashton Parish Council, Mrs J Buxton Dean
Stockall, Mr Ray
Swallowcliffe Parish Council, Mr G Ewer
Swindon Borough Council, Bob Hillman
Tisbury Parish Council, Mrs S Harry
Trowbridge Community Area Future - Parish Councils Liaison Group, Mr R Coleman
Trowbridge Town Council, Mr Lance Allan
Upavon Parish Council
Urchfont Parish Council
Vale of White Horse District Council, Mr N Burroughs
Warminster Town Council, Heather Abernethie
West Ashton Parish Council, Mrs C Hackett
West Tisbury Parish Council, Mrs J Amos
Westbury Town Council, Mr K Harvey
Local interest groups

547651  Action for the River Kennet
555756  Action for the River Kennet, Ms Anna Forbes
549824  ARK, Richard Coleman
555447  ARK, S Dempster
549769  Avebury Society, Kate Fieldon
557548  Batscapes
448822  Blake, Mr
549275  Bradford on Avon Preservation Trust, J B Wilson
556018  Calne Community Area Partnership, David Evans
544550  Campaign for a better Trowbridge, Mr K McCall
466498  Campaign for Better Transport, Bristol and Bath Travel to Work Area
449363  Campaign to Protect Rural England - Wiltshire Branch, Mr G McDonic
448818  Campaign to Protect Rural England - Wiltshire Branch, Mr P Crane+C1151
386259  Canal Officer Wiltshire Council, Mr K Oliver
549592  CCAN
550327  Chalke Valley Preservation Society, Annie Parnell
548970  Chippenham Civic Society, Mr Owen Pennell
488477  Community First, Mr Liam Tatton-Bennett
394588  Community First, Mrs P Read
549429  Coordinator West Wiltshire Transport Concern
399539  Corsham Civic Society, Jane Browning
389778  Corsham Station Campaign, Anne Lock
391662  Cotswold Canals Trust, N Nelder
557591  Cotswold Conservation Board, Ms Carole Topple
557621  Cotswold Water Park Trust
556113  Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB
394709  Dauntsey’s School
548529  Devizes Area Board, Councillor Laura Mayes
391520  Devizes Community Area Partnership, Mr R Chadwick
555961  Friends of Biss Meadows Country Park, Mr Alan Cooper
403922  Friends of Hilperton Gap, Kate Hayes
557491  Friends of Steeple Aston, Mr Robbie Campbell
557928  Friends of the Earth (North Wilts)
550556  Friends of Woolley, Mr Kevin Burnside
550012  General Manager National Trust
550599  Kennet and Avon Canal Trust Ltd, Dr M G Rodd
547640  Malmesbury & St Paul Without Residents’ Association, Mr R Budgen
383075  Malmesbury & St Paul’s Without Residents’ Association, W A Sykes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>390069</td>
<td>Malmesbury Civic Trust, Mr B Dent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549007</td>
<td>Malmesbury Residents Association, Mrs C Pym</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390688</td>
<td>Malmesbury River Valleys Trust, Mrs F Goldstone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382551</td>
<td>Northern Community Area Partnership, Mrs M Groom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382782</td>
<td>Paxcroft Mead Community Forum, Rosemary Hawkes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549522</td>
<td>Pewsey Women's Institute, Mrs Amanda Burch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389605</td>
<td>Ps and Qs, Dr Richard Pagett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390113</td>
<td>RailFuture Severnside, Mr N Bray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548810</td>
<td>Residents Against Development Affecting Recreational Land, Mr M Sprules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550555</td>
<td>Rodbourne Cheney Residents Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406262</td>
<td>Salisbury Campaign for Better Transport, Margaret Willmot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550866</td>
<td>Savernake Fly Fishers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550272</td>
<td>Send a Cow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>544269</td>
<td>Shaw Residents Association, Mr K Fisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395460</td>
<td>Showell Protection Group, Mr T Peacock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556377</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Dr Penny Aeberhard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556384</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mr David Culverhouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556463</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mr Malcolm Crucefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556467</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mr Malcolm Crucefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556454</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mr Roger Fergusson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556470</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mrs Anne Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556459</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mrs Innes Crucefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556450</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mrs Jennifer Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556457</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mrs Ollie Fergusson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556458</td>
<td>Steeple Ashton Natural History Group, Mrs Pat Bartholomew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404209</td>
<td>Swindon and Cricklade Railway, Mr A Crafer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548124</td>
<td>Transition Community Corsham, Mr Adam Walton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549306</td>
<td>Trowbridge Civic Society, Miss Margaret Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474645</td>
<td>Trust for Devizes, Mr T Sedgwick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390622</td>
<td>Trust For Devizes,, Mr E East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556931</td>
<td>Westbury Area Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557517</td>
<td>Westbury Area Community Area Partnership, Mr Jonathan Burke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394627</td>
<td>Wilts &amp; Berks Canal Amenity Group, Mr C Fletcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557527</td>
<td>Wilts &amp; Berks Canal Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549208</td>
<td>Wilts &amp; Berks Canal Trust, Mr John Laverick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557636</td>
<td>Wiltsire &amp; Swindon Countryside Access Forum (W&amp;S CAF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557610</td>
<td>Wiltsire Archaeology and Natural History Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389408</td>
<td>Wiltshire Associations of Local Councils, Kate Fielding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557555</td>
<td>Wiltshire Bat Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394275</td>
<td>Wiltshire College, Ms D Dale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556086</td>
<td>Wiltshire Community Land Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557878</td>
<td>Wiltshire CPRE, Mr George McDonic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469672</td>
<td>Wiltshire Scullers School, Mr D Casey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>549562</td>
<td>Wiltshire Wildlife Trust, Ms Jenny Hawley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Local business interests

481043  a’Beckett’s Vineyard, Mr P Langham
550762  Andybus & Coach Ltd, Andrew James
550305  ATE Solutions Ltd, Mr Mark Johnson
550173  Boarding kennels, Mr Brian Millson
546846  Clarity Consultancy, Dr T Widger
550903  Corsham Chamber of Commerce, Georgina Fairbrass
397830  Deacons of Warminster, Mr D L Deacon
550642  Devizes Marina Limited
548232  Environment Bank Ltd, Mr Robert Gillespie
449031  Farmer Giles Farmstead
549346  Innovation Imperative, Mr. David AA
549439  i-Prophets Energy Services, Mr Adrian Sweetman
548713  Lafarge Cement UK Ltd, Mr Chris Down
559239  Malmesbury and District Chamber of Commerce, Ruth Jeffrey
555384  Melksham Chambers of Commerce, Colin Harrison
389656  Mid Wiltshire Economic Partnership, Len Turner
549036  Mountgrange Investment Management LLP, Mr R Morgan
382540  North Wiltshire Economic Partnership, Collette Mallon
550896  Prescription Eyewear Limited, Jamie Murray Wells
549270  Property Maintenance Services, Mr and Mrs Michael Conway
549222  Radian, Mr Ian Goddard
556724  Smith Office Supp.
550849  Swindon Chamber of Commerce, Clair Prosser
449608  The Consortium, Mr J Caddell
392283  Wessex Association of Chambers of Commerce, Mr A Willilams

National interest groups

557536  Canoe England, Mr Kevin East
404446  Defence Estates, Ellen O’Grady
390921  Inland Waterways Association Avon and Wilts Branch, Mr J Webb
549247  Sport Invest International Ltd, Mr Richard Des Voeux
382240  The Theatres Trust, Rose Freeman
556105  Waterways Trust, Kim Chester

Landowners/developers

556224  Aberdeen Asset Management
549419  Adams, Mr G
557906  Archer, Mr and Mrs P
389433  Ashtenne Industrial Fund Limited
398006  Ashton Park, Trowbridge Ltd
541271  Aster Homes
555801 Herniman, Mr D
404453 Hills UK Ltd
556183 Hills UK Ltd, Nicki King
549519 Hocken, Mr B
556494 Holt Village Regeneration Ltd
412722 Home Builders Federation Ltd, James Stevens
556121 Horner, Mrs G
556098 HPH Ltd
377919 Industrial Property Investment Fund
556509 ING Real Estate
389544 Knight Frank Agent Badminton Estate, Simon Dring
390304 Land Development & Planning Consultants Limited
550057 Land to the South and West of White Horse Business Park
557126 LEDA Properties Ltd
556091 Legal and General UK Property Trust
391676 LPC (Trull) Ltd, Mr S Chambers
557258 M Chard Associates
387753 M J Gleeson Group plc, Sophie Thorpe
556438 Mactaggart & Mickel
556400 Malaby Holdings Ltd
393524 Matthews, Mr A D
396081 Michael Kavanagh, Mr R Revell
550830 Moody, R F and others
538907 Morris-Richardson, Mrs M
549285 Mr G. Adams
382348 North Chippenham Consortium - (Barratt Strategic, Heron Land and Persimmon Homes)
549367 Owner Positive Planning
548266 Parry, Mrs J
378124 Partner Christopher Wickham Associates
382797 Persimmon Homes
557876 Persimmon Homes & BRB (Residuary) Ltd
557888 Persimmon Homes & Mr B Hocken
556490 Persimmon Homes (South Coast) Ltd.
556698 Petitt Brothers Contracting Ltd
556936 Pinsent Masons
404474 Primegate Properties (Hooksouth) Ltd
391325 Prospect Land, Mr Dowdeswell
545820 Putney Investments Ltd
556382 Redcliffe Homes
556922 Redcliffe Homes Ltd, Emma Jones
550187 Richardson, Mr and Mrs
397085 Robert Hitchens Ltd, Phil Hardwick
556420 Rural Solutions Consulting Ltd
391680 Sainsbury’s Supermarkets, White Young Green
490420 Salisbury Diocese Board of Finance
555818  Sealy Farm Partnership, Mr David and Roger Sealy
378140  Selwood Housing Society Limited, Mr P Walsh
397854  SF Planning Link Ltd, Mrs S Foster
549156  Simul Consultants Ltd
556864  Sleivebane Limited, Mr G McKinley
397800  Society of Merchant Venturers
556392  South West Housing Association Registered Providers (SWHARPs)
391205  Sport England, Mr M Drennan
401502  Spring Park Corsham Ltd, Pip Squire
392247  Straker & Co, Mr Simon Richardson
556321  Strategic Land Partnerships
556596  Taylor Wimpey
549444  The Crown Estate
557237  Tollodine Estates
390998  Turner, Mr and Mrs J
389564  Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Ltd
549204  White Design Associates, Ms Louise Philpott
389468  White Lion Land LLP
556345  Whitemarsh Developments
556563  Willils,, Sir D S
549284  Wiltshire Building Design Association
398298  Wiltshire Rural Housing Association, Mrs G Shell
549520  Wiltshire Rural Investment Partnership
449445  WM Morrison Supermarkets PLC
549154  Woodhouse, Mr James
549420  Wootton, Mrs P
540727  Zog Brownfield Ventures Ltd

Other

512242  Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group, Mrs Alice de la Rue
397149  Gray, Rt Hon J, Member Parliament
480631  Hames, Rt Hon D, Member of Parliament
550524  Kennet and Avon Boating Community, Ms Pamela Smith
392552  Murrison, Mr A, Member of Parliament
Appendix 10 – Comments recorded at exhibitions
APPENDIX 10:
Summary of comments received at consultation events, summer 2011

Bradford on Avon exhibition –

2 – 8 pm, 28 June 2011 at St Margaret’s Hall

Attendance
43 people were counted as visiting the exhibition throughout the day.

Comments received on post-it notes
   a) To reduce car parking problem.
   b) To reduce cars across the bridge.
2. Access to any future development on Moulton land should be shared with new access to Kingston Mill.
   [These comments have been passed on to the sustainable transport team].

Comments received during conversation
- Lorries using the town bridge are a problem – should have signage earlier, before they reach the bridge, and should also enforce the weight limit. [These comments have been passed on to the sustainable transport team].
- Concern about more housing – development at Kingston Mills will increase traffic.
- Should provide employment space.
- Concerns regarding the future of Treenwood Industrial Estate and land to the rear of Sainsburys. Worry that Sainsburys will be allowed to expand (again) and thereby detract from the town centre offer.
- An owner of land near the previous route proposed for the Melksham Canal Link expressed concerns that the new route will mean that development of their land is not so favourable. Asked for contact details of decision makers. [Supplied development management contact].
- Parking is a real issue, especially for the doctor’s surgery. [This comment has been passed on to the sustainable transport team].
- Park and ride scheme suggested. [This comment has been passed on to the sustainable transport team].
- Happy with development at Kingston Farm.
- Holt – feel policies support what want to achieve in Holt. Pleased to see policies on sustainable transport, carbon reduction and affordable housing. Feel Wiltshire Council is very good at consultation. Feel much more involved than with previous regime. No longer ‘done to’.
- Traffic – concerned about impact of major growth in Trowbridge – will cars pass through Bradford on Avon to Bath. Why not direct south to A36? [These comments have been passed on to the sustainable transport team].
- Traffic – concerned that there is no prospect of a by-pass for Bradford on Avon, cannot understand how anyone local could oppose a bypass. Feels it is necessary to allow for any growth. [These comments have been passed on to the sustainable transport team].
- Traffic – addressing this should be a number one priority, above everything else. Need to stop lorries from reaching the town bridge in the first place. [These comments have been passed on to the sustainable transport team].
- Large amount of development planned at Trowbridge – need to consider the impacts of this on Bradford on Avon.
- Too much information presented – how do you expect people to take it all in? Too many consultations.
- Len Turner: employment at Kingston Farm site – would be better if this were located at the town end, near the existing employment sites (rather than at the eastern end of the site).
- Should be more grants to help people install renewable energy – e.g. solar panels on roofs and small wind turbines. A lot of older people are keen to do this but no help is available – even a small grant would encourage this. [These comments have been passed on to the climate change team].
- Railway noise would affect homes at Kingston Farm (as the railway line is located to the south of the site). However, the proposed green space may help to buffer this.
- Peter Dunford: concern that the Trowbridge town map (on the first Trowbridge poster) does not clearly show that Staverton is in the Bradford on Avon Community Area.
- The employment land should not have parking spaces – bicycles should be provided instead. If the employment is really for local people then they can commute to work by bicycle.
- Need a strong policy on renewable energy development, which identifies broadly the types of location which would be suitable (e.g. solar PV on industrial estates, not on Green Belt land as has been permitted at Kingston Farm).
- Concern from Woolley residents about proposals for development to the north of Holt Road.
- Conversation about planning benefits of development i.e. Section 106.
- Questions about how the housing numbers were formulated.

Calne Community Area Exhibition –

2-8pm, 15th July 2011, Calne Town Hall

Attendance: 22

Summary of comments:

Residents in Calne Community Area need to be made aware of any potential expansion east of Chippenham. The developers promoting land east of Chippenham are circulating a leaflet promoting development of the area.
The type of employment that is allowed in the town is important – we don’t want big warehouses. Further detail is needed on type of employment.

A couple of comments asked where new development will be going. It was explained that a strategic site is not currently proposed in Calne and that small site allocations will be identified through either a site allocations development plan document or a neighbourhood plan.

**Chippenham Community Area Exhibition –**

**2-8pm, 6 July 2011**

Attendance: 138

**Summary of comments**

Some support for the proposals. Certain groups continued to oppose the proposals, maintaining the view that Chippenham doesn’t need to grow.

Key issue mentioned by many people was traffic; connectivity around the town; perceived congestion hot spots and where the new roads will be.

M4 Junction 17, Lyneham and Hullavington were suggested as better alternatives to the Chippenham proposals.

Recognition that the town centre and the variety of shops needs improving.

Views expressed that there has been housing development in the past, which do not have any facilities. E.g. Cepen Park; Pewsham.

It was commented that Abbeyfield School is located some distance from the proposed sites. This will exacerbate issues with travelling to school.

**Corsham Community Area Exhibition –**

**2-8pm, 4th July 2011, Corsham Town Hall**

Attendance: 46

**Summary of comments**

Proposed southern expansion of Chippenham was chosen because it was the line of least resistance not the right choice from a planning point of view. Corsham and Lacock parish residents were not invited to take part in the discussions that led to this choice and so were not able to put forward their views.

Chippenham area of growth should be cross referenced in Corsham CA strategy.
Showell farm was dismissed previously when the owners tried to develop contrary to the then local plan. What has changed to make it suitable now?

There are listed buildings within the Showell Farm site that need to be protected.

General acceptance that redundant MoD sites in Corsham need to be planned for but no clear view on future use although one or two people seemed to assume it would be housing.

Pleased that no new greenfield allocations proposed.

Want to see development support the town centre.

There were concerns about some of the notations shown on map on edges of Corsham. Are these accurate?

Strategy needs to reflect type of industry attracted to Corsham because of MoD technology and the impact of Bath University’s presence on the development of art and craft industries in Corsham.

How do we get approved employment sites occupied?

No real objection expressed to the overall number of houses proposed for the Community Area and Corsham. Some people thought it was misleading not to show the Chippenham proposals that fall within the community area in the figures.

The view was expressed by a number of people that Corsham’s railway station should be reopened and that part-funding could come from Wiltshire Council. Negotiations have taken place over a number of years but no plans have been finalised.

Felt that the remaining housing figure to be identified should be easy to accommodate on brownfield sites and that Corsham could accommodate a larger amount of housing to increase self-containment and improve local services/facilities.

**Cricklade Town Council Exhibition –**

2-7pm, 21st July 2011, Cricklade Town Council offices

Attendance: 10 between 2-5pm when officers in attendance. Local members available between 5pm and 7pm

**Summary of comments:**

A rural buffer should be identified to protect towns and villages in the area being encompassed by the expansion of Swindon.

There is a lack of recognition of the flood issues that Cricklade experiences.
The Thames Path should be referred in the document.

Some increased housing growth in Cricklade would enable local young people to stay in the town.

There is not enough recognition of the town of Cricklade.

Devizes Community Area Exhibition –
2-8pm, 11th July 2011, Corn Exchange Devizes

Attendance: 172

Record of comments

How can we be proposing additional houses in Devizes given the level of traffic congestion if we have no proposals to alleviate that congestion?

How did we arrive at the number of homes proposed? People wanted to understand the process leading up to proposed levels explaining in the core strategy itself.

There have been enough houses built in Devizes in the past few years - we don’t need any more. This was raised repeatedly.

Support for a neighbourhood plan/town plan to look at windfall and brownfield opportunities within the existing boundaries of the town.

The council should not build anymore homes until air quality issues in Devizes caused by congestion have been addressed. This was raised repeatedly.

The council should not be proposing any more houses until the Devizes traffic model has been revised and updated to give a truer picture of traffic issues in the town. Only then can we start to discuss the appropriate number of houses for Devizes in the light of that information.

There was support for the neighbourhood plan process in Market Lavington and some discussion around combining parishes to have a plan for the Lavingtons rather than individual areas as the villages here support each other and coalescence may become an issue.

The statement for Devizes should recognise local ambitions for a railway station possibly at Lydeway.

The strategy for Devizes needs to recognise important green spaces in the town. There is a risk that these spaces will be eroded by development and affect the character of the town.

There was support for employment led development but people wanted to see more in the strategy about the quality of the proposed employment site, the type of uses suitable, more about how access to the site will be accommodated and more on how existing residential amenity will be protected.
How is Lyneham being developed and why is it not on this map?

Police headquarters land to be designated as public open space. This will address the shortage of such area at the northern end of town.

The town of Devizes is the community centre but it is important to note that surrounding villages have historic/heritage sites that are no less important than the town conservation are marked on the display map. This needs reviewing in the context of CP37-CP39.

There is already a flooding problem at Whistley, Potterne. Is there any risk the proposed changes to the Potterne Sewage treatments may conflict with policy CP49?

Given that the changes to the Potterne STW is part of the strategic planning for the area is there a mechanism to inform nearby residents of the proposed plans for the works? Ie on Whistley Road, Potterne.

Lacock Parish Council Exhibition –

2-7pm, 14th July 2011, Lacock village hall

Attendance: 140

Record of comments

Proposed southern expansion of Chippenham was chosen because it was the line of least resistance not the right choice from a planning point of view. Corsham and Lacock parish residents were not invited to take part in the discussions that led to this choice and so were not able to put forward their views.

Rat running through Lacock is coming from Melksham and Chippenham as people try to avoid congestion in Melksham. A new traffic lights junctions with the A350 would help.

Lacock in a position that proposals in Melksham, Chippenham and Corsham likely to affect traffic in and around the village.

Chippenham area of growth should be cross referenced in Corsham CA strategy.

Southern extension of Chippenham is coming too close to Lacock.

Why does the core strategy only plan to 2026. Where is the longer term plan if as we say Chippenham is a sustainable market town that will see significant growth in each plan period? Planning for a much longer period can provide more certainty to residents.

How can the historic setting of Lacock be protected? Is it possible to have policies similar to landscape setting of towns or landscape setting of World Heritage sites for Lacock?

Showell farm was dismissed previously when the owners tried to develop contrary to the then local plan. What has changed to make it suitable now?

Is Showell farm truly deliverable?
What type of employment is likely to be encouraged at Showell Farm?

Is the whole of the site area identified at Showell Farm to be developed?

There are listed buildings within the Showell Farm site that need to be protected.

Why are we not considering Thingley junction (think this is the name) instead of Showell Farm?

A view expressed that the southern extension of Chippenham should not jump the railway line as this is a clear physical boundary and should be reinforced as such by any development. Once the railway is breached where do you stop?

Lacock Public Meeting 14 July 2011, 7-9pm

Chairman: Cllr Dick Tonge

Officers: Alistair Cunningham; Georgina Clampitt-Dix

Alistair Cunningham gave a presentation.

The chairman then invited members of the audience to make comments.

- Can you give reassurance that in other land won’t be built on in future (e.g. Lackham between Chippenham and Lacock)?
- Chippenham growth has happened in the past e.g. Cepen Park. The town has died, due to out-of-town shopping and outcommuting. It will become another Swindon.

Response: What you say this evening becomes part of the evidence. We are here to listen. We have been listening since 2009 consultation. Lower housing numbers now proposed. Evidence based. This is available. Phasing proposed. Strategy is about jobs; facilities that communities need and then housing. This has been the message from Cabinet. If the number is too low, then Chippenham is susceptible to speculative planning applications. We believe the proposed number is defendable. If we say there should be no development, it wouldn’t stop development happening.

- Lacock has been here for 1000+years. It shouldn’t be sacrificed for short term economic growth.

Response: Agree; This needs to be backed up with evidence. Developers will use the same evidence too. Urban boundaries don’t recognise Community Area boundaries. There will be constraints, to which regard is had.

- Housing Projections – Attended workshop in March at which concerns were expressed about having more housing in Chippenham and that this won’t improve the town. Despite the reduction from the RSS figures, growth is still being promoted. Other authorities aren’t doing this e.g. Swindon.

Response: Wiltshire has challenged Swindon and BANES. Our approach has been to prepare different scenarios for the Chippenham community area. These have been
subject to consultation. As a result the ‘jobs scenario’ was opted for. This has lower housing numbers than what we could have done. Wiltshire Cabinet members agreed with this approach. We can’t prove the town will be supporte, but one of the aims we want to achieve is an improved town centre. There is a key question in the Core Strategy about this. Chippenham is suffereing now in comparison with Bath and Swindon.

- People will still shop elsewhere.
- Parking charges recently increased.
- Have you walked from the area to Chippenham? How far is it? Majority of people wouldn’t be able to walk/cycle to Chippenham.
- Past Planning Policy History for site quoted:
  - 1999 Public Inquiry
  - December 2002 – Land excluded from development by NWDC
  - 2005 – Nathaniel Lichfield commented on Showell Farm being outside the framework boundary.

  Showell Farm is an ‘island in the middle of the countryside’. How have things changed?

Response: We recognise the historical context. Our approach has changed. The North Wilts Local Plan focussed on brownfield land. For the Core Strategy, all the issues have been revisited. There is not enough brownfield land. We have recognised constraints including landscape in coming to the options.

- Hermann Miller interested in the site. This will be large scale industrial sheds. How will these be landscaped?
- Why are you considering new land before completing sites you already have?

  Response: Showell Farm could be taken up by companies who can’t expand on existing sites. We have allowed for 585 dwellings on brownfield sites, including on existing employment sites. Also, employers want to move to Chippenham.

- Traffic Modelling for Chippenham - What will happen if Hermann Miller move to Showell?

  Response: We will have to undertake traffic modelling for all the options. If modelling raised issues, it may have implications for the options.

- What about Lyneham? People want development to go there.

  Response: Lyneham isn’t available now. There are 2 military options still on the table. Cllr Jane Scott has been pressing for an answer. However, Lyneham won’t meet needs of Swindon or for Chippenham. If business moves from Chippenham, then Chippenham dies.

- There are lots of sites to let.

  Response: Sites aren’t suitable.
• Other sites have the advantage of being in proximity to M4 and the railline. How does this site fit, as it furthest from the rail and M4?

  Response: We have looked for alternatives. There aren’t any available alternative sites. A site at Junction 17, M4 wouldn’t meet the needs of Chippenham. Showell Farm in conjunction with housing and infrastructure, that will support the town. Chippenham is a sustainable settlement. Plans need to stack up and need to be deliverable.

• 2 schools are oversubscribed. 1500 children will go across the town to Abbeyfield School. It is a difficult route.

  Response: This is an issue which we need to consider and address. This has been raised already. Young People during consultation gave the counter argument. We need to give it proper consideration.

• Other traffic will be generated and will create more issues in the town centre.

  Response: This needs more work, which will be done and then presented to Cabinet. Every option has compromises.

• Melksham proposals will have bigger impact on Lacock than Chippenham. Traffic uses the backroads through Lacock. Is a rat run in the rush-hours. Is a bypass for Melksham being considered?

  Response: These points need to be considered.

• Has water engineering modelling been carried out?

  Response: We are talking to providers as part of the infrastructure work.

• Edward Heard, Chippenham 2020 – Promoting East Chippenham – Has walked to Showell from the town. It takes 29 minutes to walk, 40 minutes by road.

  Response: Point made in presentation that you are constrained by the land promoted. Elected representatives are expected to find the best solution. Use compulsory purchase if necessary.

• These proposals were slipped under the radar.

  Response: All those on Community area board circulation list; parish council; town council and ward member contacts were invited.

  21: Resident in Reybridge- Accept that you can’t influence the routes people travel; No control over where people buy houses; go to work. How does this fit with reducing the carbon footprint and meeting EU Directive for reducing emissions?

• Proposals do not appear in the Corsham Community Area section.

  Response: Acknowledged some areas are within adjacent Community Areas. However, we will revisit cross-referencing between community areas.

• Lacock has international and local appeal. Urban development will spoil this.
Council will be disadvantaged when trying to sell sites to business because Wiltshire hasn’t achieved enterprise zone status.

Response: Agree. In the 1980’s businesses relocated to enterprise zones. Wiltshire and Swindon are looking to put a new bid to Government for enterprise status.

- How many people will work on employment sites?
- How much weight is given to consultation responses?
- What is the interest in Showell Farm?

Response: Developers bringing forward sites. Also discussions with businesses. This is your opportunity to comment.

Malmesbury Community Area Exhibition –

2-8pm, 18th July 2011, Wesleyan Hall

Attendance: 150

Summary of comments:

Malmesbury Town Council handed out a leaflet of their interpretation of the housing numbers outside the event, see attached scanned document.

This leaflet focused on the increase in the overall housing requirement for the Malmesbury Community Area from the Wiltshire 2026 consultation event at the end of 2009, and the fact that the housing requirement for the county as a whole has decreased.

The housing numbers for the plan period have increased from 1100 dwellings to 1200 dwellings for the plan period. The justification for this can be found in Topic Paper 17: Housing Requirement Technical Paper.

Many questions focused on the justification for this increase in the housing requirement and objections to increased housing numbers/ any further housing development in the town of Malmesbury. The increase in the housing requirement was not supported.

A couple of comments stated that there were 100 empty homes on the Filands Estate and asked why these are not taken into account in the future housing requirement for the town.

Many comments focused on infrastructure issues in the town, in particular that the schools in the town are currently at capacity with children having to be bussed out to schools in surrounding villages.

There was concern that the high street was lacking in retail provision.

Lack of free parking in the town centre was an issue.

It was stated that the amount of employment land to be identified in the town should be specified. It was stated that the level of employment proposed in the town was not in line with the level of housing being proposed for the plan period.
It was asked whether extra care housing proposed at Burnham House would count towards the housing requirement. There is not currently a planning application on this site but if it is defined as extra care housing it will count towards the housing requirement.

It was asked whether the housing requirement could be phased over three time periods rather than the proposed two (2006-2016 and 2016-2026).
**Why should we accept such growth?**

Even with the proposed second highest rate of growth in Wiltshire, the important improvements to our infrastructure – more Primary School capacity, better sewers etc. will not be delivered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>2006-26 Growth %</th>
<th>Housing Requirement 2006</th>
<th>2005 Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Market Towns</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>15540</td>
<td>67597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wootton Bassett</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>4859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbury</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>6994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warminster</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>7820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidworth &amp; Luggershall</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>11750</td>
<td>4121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malmesbury</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>8309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathborough</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malmesbury</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>2347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devizes</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>7381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corsham</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>4015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirence</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>6949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridport-Upon-Avon</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amesbury</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>8161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Some information Wiltshire Council won't tell you.**

Wiltshire Core Strategy
Marlborough Community Area Exhibition –

2-8pm, 29th June, Marlborough town hall

Attendance: 20

Summary of comments

Comments on the community area strategy for Marlborough were limited with no new issues raised. Comments related to ?????

Melksham community area exhibition:
2–8 pm, 5 July 2011, Melksham Assembly Hall

Attendance: 35

Summary of comments received during conversations

- Question about whether we have had interest from companies/businesses looking to relocate to Melksham.
- Questions about the process of identifying sites which are considered to be ‘strategic’.
- Concern about roadworks in the town centre and loss of one hour free parking from town centre car park combined with new ASDA removing trade from town centre. Resulting in loss of quality shops.
- Question about cost of consultation during time of cuts to services e.g. bus routes.
- Melksham Without Parish Council – difficulties getting through to team on consultation telephone number.
- Melksham needs more cycle ways.
- Concerns about design of development – e.g. instead of having a play area to be shared between houses, would be better to use the space for private gardens.
- Concerned about congestion on A350, from the ASDA roundabout up towards Beanacre. This is a pinch point. Are there any plans for highways improvements here?
- Need a strong policy to support the canal link project. The canal will only come forward if development takes place alongside it.
- Wiltshire Workspace and Employment Land Strategy recommends that 12.6 ha of employment land should be provided in Melksham – why is only 4ha identified in the Consultation Document?
- Question about what sort of development would be permitted at Hampton Park – i.e. what type of employment?
- Comments from Dave Roderick relating specifically to transport: More provision for cyclists – Hilperton Way in Trowbridge should have cycleway, provision along the A350 Chippenham bypass should be provided alongside in green space (could also be bridleway for horse riders). Possible use of land alongside railway line from Melksham – Trowbridge and Melksham – Chippenham, if fenced from rail line could provide safe off-road alternatives for cyclists and walkers.
• Question about the timetable for the provision of a link road from Cereal Partners to Westinghouse Way [Query passed on to sustainable transport team].
• The Golf Course and the old Christie Miller site would be wanted for industry rather than housing.
• Question about whether there are any plans to provide access to Bowerhill from the east via the disused railway line [Query passed on to the sustainable transport team].
• If building employment development at Bowerhill/Hampton Park, then need to improve bus services from Bowerhill to the town centre.
• Businesses should be encouraged to provide specific bus services for employees.
• Combined fire, police and ambulance stations haven’t worked all over the country, so why are you proposing another one at Chippenham?
• Should plan for the canal to be delivered – the canal should be a priority. This would then help to attract businesses (e.g. canal-side cafes) and would give people a reason to come to Melksham.
• Encouraging employment development should also be a priority (as well as the canal).
• Current state of Melksham is very depressing. Town centre is dying – people go to supermarkets instead.
• Melksham Without Parish Councillor:
  o The display materials for Melksham Community Area appear to identify Bowerhill and Berryfields as part of Melksham Town. This isn’t the case as both Bowerhill and Berryfields are recognised locally as distinct settlements (villages).
  o The A350 should be considered in its entirety and not incrementally. Melksham probably needs a bypass to the east linking to the A350, but can/will this be built – doubtful. Demand management measures are not and will not work – more needs to be done to address existing and planned commuter flows.

Additional comments from Cllr Hubbard
• Concerned that we will be leaving a policy vacuum in Melksham by not identifying a strategic site. Concerned that this will leave it open to developers to come forward.
• Should add something to the policy text to explain that it is not an open invitation for developers – development is not expected to come forward until later in the plan period (specify years) and sites will need to be identified through a proper process, which would be subject to full consultation.
• Concerned that consultation material refers to housing to be provided at Melksham town – need to clarify that these homes are actually likely to be provided on sites outside the current town limits.
• A more detailed map of Melksham is needed, with the proposed employment land clearly marked, so people can easily identify where they live etc. The ‘grey blob’ map is not sufficient. [A more detailed map of Melksham was made available later in the exhibition in response to this comment].
Pewsey Community Area Exhibition –
2-8pm, 5th July 2011, Bouverie Hall, Pewsey

Attendance: ??

Summary of comments
Parish council want to promote a town plan. Discussions about what a town plan/neighbourhood plan could achieve and who should lead.

Questions over the supply of employment land in the Pewsey area and how to promote existing sites, intensify the use of under used sites and release new sites. Future use of the current KLP allocation by the railway considered. Some views expressed that it should remain for employment.

Questions about the strength of the employment policies in relation Manor Farm, Manningford Bohune.

Didn’t come across views that objected to the status of Pewsey being changed to a local service centre rather than large village but not many people attended.

Tidworth and Ludgershall Community Area Exhibition –
2-8pm, 20th July 2011, Ludgershall Memorial Hall

Attendance: 62

Summary of comments
Affordable housing
Low cost shared equity housing is wrong as the housing provider benefits as they sell the house eventually at full market value. Final price of the house to the purchaser should be 10-20% below market value so they buy at a reduced rate to enable them to get on housing ladder quicker. Homes should then be subject to a perpetual covenant to ensure house always sold at below market value.

Collingbourne Ducis
There are traffic issues in the village particularly at the A346 junction with the pub and school. Propose make a triangle one way (A346/A342/A338) with roundabouts at the main road junctions to help slow down traffic on this stretch of road where vehicle speeds are too high.

CP17
Policy for Ludgershall need to add info on the impact of the proposed development on the SPA/SAC and add reference to ensure the current playing field area remains as recreation
space/open space to allay fears identified in the HRA about dog walkers going into the SPA/SAC.

Access to MSA Depot proposal

A lot of concern about the potential traffic impact in Ludgershall from this development. There were several discussions about different ways to allay these fears e.g. new road into the site across Castledown if level crossing can be agreed across railway line. (Railway apparently used infrequently and at very slow speeds) or a road extending from rear of development towards the school and then connect to Tidworth Road which may remove need to cross the railway.

Trowbridge Community Area Exhibition –

2-8pm, 13th July, Bridge House

Attendance: 60

A number of comments were made about the exhibition which were both supportive and objecting and are reflective of the formal comments received to the consultation document. Overall there appears to be acceptance of the need for some development in Trowbridge, although many comments were received about the importance of adequate infrastructure being delivered alongside any housing, particularly in relation to improving transport infrastructure and public transport connectivity around the town. The need for appropriate development on brownfield land within the central area of town was made by several individuals. Other specific comments included:

- The impact of any additional traffic from new development in Trowbridge on Bradford on Avon and Staverton.
- General support for proposals for regenerating brownfield sites in the central area of Trowbridge, but some scepticism expressed about the success of the Trowbridge Vision Board to deliver appropriate and successful development in the past.
- Concern was raised about the proposals for further supermarket provision in Trowbridge and what impact this may have on existing businesses.
- The importance of protecting the separate identity of the villages around Trowbridge was highlighted and the need for some green space to be kept green between the town and the villages.
- The classification of Hilperton was the subject of several questions and many of the individuals visiting the exhibition expressed a very strong view that Hilperton should be classified as a ‘large village’ and be identified as such on the appropriate maps. A popular view expressed was that Hilperton has always been classified as a separate village, even being referred to as such in the Doomsday Book.
- There was general support for the need for an additional secondary school in Trowbridge and agreement that it’s careful location could help reduce through town traffic.
- The importance of protecting areas for wildlife and avoiding any development on the floodplain was also raised by several individuals.
Warminster Community Area Exhibition –  
2-7pm, 14th July, Warminster Library  

Attendance: 50

This exhibition was jointly run in partnership with Warminster Town Council who were also consulting on a draft Town Plan during the summer. The town plan has been prepared through a community led process over the past two years and has been used to inform the Warminster Area Strategy set out in the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy. The town plan exhibition proved to be very popular, perhaps generating more comments than the core strategy itself, and this may be a reflection of the importance of the town plan to Warminster.

A recent consultation on proposed waste sites, as set out in the Waste Core Strategy Site Allocations DPD, also generated a number of questions. Although important, these matters are separate to the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy consultation itself.

The core strategy consultation generated few specific issues and most of the questions related to local landowners who were interested in their land being considered for inclusion within the proposed strategic site to be allocated in the core strategy. There were no objections to any of the proposals and overall there appeared to be general support for the Warminster Area Strategy.

Westbury Community Area Exhibition  
2-8pm, 24th June, Paragon Hall  

Attendance: 37

The exhibition was jointly run in partnership with Westbury Town Council who had recently published their Vision and Scoping Study for Westbury. This study had been produced through a community led process and had been used to inform the emerging Wiltshire Core Strategy. Overall there was a good level of support for the core strategy and some appreciation for how the Town Council work had informed its production. Particular questions/ issues raised included:

- Concern over possible re-location of Matravers School (not proposed in core strategy).
- Support for development of land around Westbury Railway Station, particularly if this could help deliver a new road to alleviate traffic on Oldfield Road and also to provide improved access to Westbury Station. The need for improved bus access to the station was also expressed.
- Support for town centre improvements, particularly those highlighted in the Town council led work and support for the focus on protecting the independent retail sector in the town.
- Some concern was expressed over the proposed employment allocation at Hawkeridge and why such development could not be located at either the North Acre or West Wilts Trading Estate sites. Concern was also expressed over the possible landscape and environmental impact of the proposed development.
A number of questions were raised about the future scale of housing in surrounding villages.

**Wootton Bassett and Cricklade Community Area Exhibition –**

**2-8pm, 18th July 2011, Wootton Bassett Library**

**Attendance**

20 people were counted as visiting the exhibition throughout the day.

**Comments received during conversation**

- How do we make planning policy more effective? Brynard’s Hill was permitted despite policy – what would prevent this happening again outside WB?
- Re grants being made available for projects in local communities – where waste (landfill) sites are present?
- Concern from Lydiard Tregoze resident that development of 2000 houses shouldn’t be allowed at Hook Street – would lead to coalescence with Swindon
- Concern about Junction 16 of M4 tunnel and roundabout proposals linked with front garden area
- Objection from Purton residents to development of current application of 700 houses at Ridgeway Farm.
- Statement in paragraph 5.20.5 about main food shopping and convenience retail provision is unclear: is this referring to Wootton Bassett or Cricklade?
- The Strategy does not take account of what is actually happening. Brynard’s Hill would not have been allowed if the CS had not had 150 homes on this land in the original draft. Brynard’s Hill was overturned on appeal before on two counts.
- On closure of Lyneham, 2,000 people living in WB but working at Lyneham. Up to 1,000 might need to relocate away from WB. This has not been taken into account of in developing the housing figures.
- Coalescence with Swindon Missed? Not mentioned in Doc.
- RSS identified WB as a dormitory town – building should be limited to local needs
- Infrastructure no right for more houses to west of Swindon. Need to consider how to prevent coalescence – especially now rural buffers have gone.
- If Spatial Planning makes no objection to Ridgeway Farm – need to emphasise that we’re not objecting because 1,000 (as in the Structure Plan) are OK – **but no more**. Need to emphasise that 1,000 is the limit
- In the past there has been insufficient robustness from WC in resisting developers
- Tunnel under Motorway – leading up to Junction 16 – plans by Swindon BC. Roundabout on road from WB to lead and tunnel. Concerned that this will lead to development to the west of Swindon.
Other Points

- Question about how wider issues relating to climate change are being integrated within the CS. E.g., tarmac not designed to cope with extreme weather conditions. How is the wider climate change study incorporated in the proposals?
Appendix 11 - Schedule of issues raised and changes proposed in each community area
## APPENDIX 11 - Schedule of community area suggested changes as a result of consultation

### Bradford on Avon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Further work needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Infrastructure| • CS should mention the need for a new footbridge  
• Suggested additions to text at para. 5.5.2 relating to existing pressures on infrastructure (schools, sewers, doctors etc) and narrowness and often single track nature of the historic radial routes out of town, which are unable to absorb significant additional volumes.  
• Promoters of Kingston Farm site question whether development will be able to cross-subsidise all essential infrastructure requirements listed under para. 5.5.7  
• Kingston Farm site will deliver the Silver Street element of the Historic Core Zone proposals  
• Text about improvements to sewer system should be flexible enough to allow for an off-line/non-mains drainage system  
• Reinforcement of the low pressure gas mains in the town will be considered in detail by the Kingston Farm project team but will only be undertaken if it is necessary to enable the development to proceed.  
• Reasonable contributions towards primary and secondary education infrastructure will be provided, subject to demonstrable need, through the Kingston Farm development.  
• Kingston Farm could incorporate relocation of one of the existing GP surgeries in the town as part of the mixed-use development proposals, subject to viability.  
• Wiltshire Scullers School seeks contributions from Kingston Farm development to form a trust fund to be ring fenced for rowing.  
• Difficult to get dentist’s appointment  
• More should be made of the need to secure a contribution from the strategic site toward the wider infrastructure in BoA.  
• Support improvements to B3107 but suggest a more flexible policy statement to allow further improvements to road infrastructure in the area.  
• Kingston Farm would be a suitable location for a park and ride scheme or a car park.  
• Road between Bradford and Forwards Common needs upgrading if Kingston Farm development goes ahead.  
• Safe pedestrian and cycling access is also required in outlying settlements such as Woolley – problems are not limited to the town centre  
• The following problems should be mentioned: Woolley Street and Woolley Terrace/Cemetery Lane suffer from: rat running, speeding traffic particularly through Woolley Green and at the entrances to Woolley, blind junctions that put pedestrians at risk, dangerous junction at the Cemetery  
• Para 5.5.7 should mention the need for Green Infrastructure within and throughout the town  
• Para 5.5.7 should mention the need to conserve and enhance the rural setting and foster the town’s relationship with this rural hinterland  
• There should be a bullet encouraging local food production and encouraging the development of local markets  
• Better access to recycling facilities for the northeast sector of Bradford on Avon  
• Improved broadband should be listed as an infrastructure requirement  
• A range and variety of business premises including workshops and studios as well as offices – particularly catering for smaller companies and allowing flexibility for their expansion, should | • Add text to para. 5.5.2: “The existing infrastructure in the town is under pressure, with schools and doctors at or near capacity”.  
• Add to para 5.5.5: “The need for a new footbridge to link the Kingston Mill development with the town centre”.  
• Add text to end of second bullet of para.5.5.7: “Alternatively off-line/non-mains drainage systems may be provided where these are agreed with Wessex Water”.  
• Suggested further infrastructure requirements to be considered as IDP and strategic sites pro forma are developed  
• Concerns from promoters of Kingston Farm site about viability of infrastructure requirements to be considered as IDP and strategic sites pro forma are developed  
• Further detail on how/where schools and GP surgeries would expand to be provided in IDP |
| **Traffic** | • Further development should not be permitted until traffic issues are sorted out (this issue is raised in a number of responses).  
• Historic Core Zone measures should be fully implemented and evaluated before further development is approved.  
• Air Quality needs to be addressed before further development is approved.  
• Traffic impacts of Kingston Mills should be considered.  
• Effects of existing commitments (including Kingston Mills) need to assessed before further development is permitted.  
• Two respondents noted that the Local Highways Authority is quoted in TP12 as saying that there should be a general presumption against specific housing growth in BoA.  
• Traffic volumes, congestion, exhaust pollution, parking, and intimidation of pedestrians and cyclists in Bradford town centre are not just "issues", but serious environmental problems.  
• Text about the AQMA is incorrect.  
| **Strategic sites** | • Coombe Mine County Wildlife Site should be mentioned specifically. Development proposals should seek to protect and enhance species and habitats in the first instance, and should be in line with Core Policy 33.  
• Need an assessment of likely impact of the allocation on the Cotswolds AONB, and a comparison with other sites in this regard (Natural England).  
• The policy should mention the need for green infrastructure as an integral part of development at Kingston Farm, including buffering to any views from the south, and green corridors that provide wildlife habitat and future direct pedestrian links to the Town Centre.  
• Suggestion that an exemplar SuDS scheme should be included as part of the sustainable development outcomes (Environment Agency).  
• Kingston Farm site does not have capacity to accommodate proposed development at appropriate density (Redcliffe Homes).  
• 50 dwellings, up to 45,000 square foot of employment, and associated community facilities should be accommodated at Land North of Holt Road – sharing the allocation with Kingston Farm.  
• SA was not carried out in a consistent manner and therefore unfairly disadvantaged the Holt Road site. Request that SA is revisited to ensure a more informed, balanced and robust appraisal is carried out.  
• Employment provision under consideration for the Kingston Farm site is not 2-3ha of | • Add requirement in CP9 that for all applications in BoA for which a transport assessment is required (as set out in the Local Validation Checklist), the transport assessment must include consideration of the likely future impacts of the Kingston Mills development.  
• Amend para. 5.5.2 to state “There are serious problems with high traffic volumes and congestion in the town centre and an Air Quality Management Area covers Market Street, Silver Street, Masons Lane and part of St Margaret’s Street.”  
• Check implications of the statement from the Local Highways Authority as quoted in TP12.  
• **Decision needed** on whether to continue with phasing requirement- if this is retained then consider additional requirement that impacts of existing commitments and Historic Core Zone measures are assessed before permission is granted at Kingston Farm site. Consider adding reference to AQMA in CP9 and need to ensure that development does not exacerbate this.  
• Add reference to Coombe Mine CWS: 'Development must ensure that … Species and habitats associated with the SAC and Coombe Mine County Wildlife Site are protected and enhanced in line with Core Policy 33'. Add text to Core Policy 9 “Development must ensure that … green infrastructure is provided as an integral part of the development, including buffering of views from the south and green corridors to provide wildlife habitat and possible future direct pedestrian links to the town centre”. Add reference to  
• Add assessment of impact on AONB to strategic sites topic paper. Consider appropriate density of housing at Kingston Farm site and investigate concerns over capacity of the site.  
• Assess option for 150 dwellings and 2-3 hectares employment land to be split and provided across both the Kingston Farm and Land North of Holt Road sites.  
• SA to be revisited in |
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>additional employment but rather in the form of replacement premises for an existing employer on site, with scope for future expansion.</strong></td>
<td><strong>exemplar SuDS scheme to CP9/strategic sites proformas</strong></td>
<td><strong>light of the concerns raised and the updated information provided by Redcliffe Homes in relation to the Holt Road site.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Judgement of Likely Significant effect and possible Appropriate Assessment of Kingston Farm needed</td>
<td>Amend wording of CP9 to state “…space for existing local firms to expand” rather than “space for an existing local firm…”</td>
<td><strong>Concern about level of employment to be provided at Kingston Farm site to be investigated.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promoters of Kingston Farm site support requirement that the development is exemplary in terms of sustainability and incorporates renewable energy generation (if economically and technically feasible) to enable the development to achieve a “carbon neutral” status.</td>
<td><strong>Specific policy requirements relating to sustainable development and renewable energy provision at the strategic allocation to be developed for the submission draft.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Need for Judgement of Likely Significant effect / possible Appropriate Assessment of Kingston Farm site to be investigated.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Suggested amendments (from promoters of Kingston Farm site) to wording of CP9: “development is expected to deliver” rather than “development must deliver”; “space for existing local firms to expand”; “up to 40% affordable housing subject to demonstrable need and economics of provision”.</td>
<td><strong>Consider changes to proposals map as suggested by promoters of Kingston Farm site (needs further consideration)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Consider adding reference to potential reinstatement of Holt Station, if this is considered to be deliverable (dependent on conversation with Sustainable Transport).</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Request from promoters of Kingston Farm site to amend proposals map to be consistent with latest proposals from site promoters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disagree with proposals for development on land at Woolley (north of Holt Road) due to lack of infrastructure and need to protect Conservation Area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objections to Kingston Farm site due to traffic impacts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Would be a shame to build dense housing on lovely piece of rural land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Land North of Holt Road is most appropriate location for additional strategic requirement (which will arise from extended plan period and need to demonstrate 5 years + 20% supply) (Redcliffe Homes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Objection to Kingston Farm site: this will urbanise the eastern side of Bradford, with other developers making subsequent approaches</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Access to Kingston Farm should be shared with new access to Kingston Mill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Would be better if employment were located at the town end of the Kingston Farm site, near existing employment sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Railway noise would affect homes at Kingston Farm, but proposed green space may help buffer this</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Workshops should be provided, e.g. for carpenters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Mixed use development at Kingston Farm could include a leisure aspect as well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Public access to the river through Kingston Farm would be good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Proportion of site used for employment should be higher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Existing trees on Moulton Estate should be protected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transport**

- Land should be protected for a possible new station at Holt.

**Holt and the area of opportunity**

- Request for addition of reference to the need to reduce excessive volumes of traffic on the B3107 through Holt.
- Suggestion from Holt Regeneration Ltd that para. 5.5.5 should state: “An Area of Opportunity in Holt (designated in the West Wiltshire District Plan 1st Alteration) will be redefined and identified as a suitable location for comprehensive mixed-use development to be brought forward as an allocation in a subsequent Site Allocation DPD or Neighbourhood Plan”.
- Add reference in para. 5.5.5 to traffic volumes through Holt, and the need to ensure these are not exacerbated by development of the area of opportunity or the strategic allocation at BonA.
- Check with Holt PC whether they still intend to prepare a NP, and add the following text to para. 5.5.5 if they do: “An ‘area of opportunity’ in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Would be useful to quantify the number of jobs Winsley should aspire to create over the plan period.</td>
<td>• Loss of employment land should be resisted, and this should be explicitly stated in CP9.</td>
<td>• Consider identifying the number of jobs to be provided in BonA Community Area over the plan period, and the split of these jobs between the town and the rest of the CA (dependent on outputs of further employment research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Loss of employment land should be resisted, and this should be explicitly stated in CP9.</td>
<td>• New housing should only be permitted when it is employment led.</td>
<td>• Approach to loss of employment land to be reviewed in light of draft NPPF –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• It is unclear how many jobs would be provided at the strategic site, and whether these would genuinely be for local people.</td>
<td>• Consider deleting 'where possible' from CP9 as suggested.</td>
<td>• Suggested requirement for all residential development to be employment led to be considered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic character/landscape / distinctive character of</th>
<th>Historic character/landscape / distinctive character of</th>
<th>Historic character/landscape / distinctive character of</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Unique character and landscape of BoA is an asset, and this could be emphasised in the Core Strategy.</td>
<td>• Strengthen para. 5.5.1 and/or 5.5.2 relating to the unique character and landscape of BoA.</td>
<td>• Consider deletion of 'where possible' from CP9 as suggested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Should recognise value of historic and natural environment to the economy in the CA.</td>
<td>• Strengthen references in para 5.5.2 relating to the unique character and landscape of BoA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Text should recognize that Bradford on Avon encompasses a range of diverse and distinctive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| BonA | communities and neighbourhoods, including separate hamlets such as Woolley as well as outlying settlements such as Woolley Green.  
- Concern that expansion will spoil the character of BoA  
- Importance of historic environment for culture and economy  
- Delete ‘where possible’ from the statement that “development must ensure that … the setting of the Conservation Area, and The Hall and its park and garden is protected and, where possible, enhanced”. | 5.5.2 to the historic environment as major economic and cultural asset  
- Add reference to communities and neighbourhoods in para 5.5.2: “The town comprises a range of diverse and distinctive communities and neighbourhoods, including separate hamlets such as Woolley, and the Community Area also includes a number of villages. |  |
| Phasing of development | Support for phasing of development towards the end of the plan period from Bradford on Avon Preservation Trust, Duncan Hames MP, Campaign for Better Transport  
- Disagreement with phasing of development from BoA Town Council, Cllr Rosemary Brown, BoA Property Ltd (Kingston Farm site)  
- Objection to size, scale and timing of development proposed: there should be a pause for reassessment | Decision needed on whether or not to retain the phasing requirement. Mix of views on this point. |  |
| Tourism/canal | Importance of tourism and the Kennet and Avon Canal should be more fully recognised.  
- Requirement for development to ensure protection and enhancement of River Avon corridor should include reference to Kennet & Avon Canal | Amend para 5.5.2 to highlight importance of tourism to BoA economy, and role of K&A Canal in attracting tourists  
- Amend CP9 to include list of requirements for all development in the CA (not just the strategic site), and include “The environmental corridors of the River Avon and the Kennet and Avon Canal are protected and enhanced” in this list. | Check K and A Canal adequately protected through generic policies. |
| Flood risk | Need to address flood defences - the road bridge and rail link are both vulnerable. | Add sentence to para 5.5.2: “There is an area of flood risk in the town centre, and any applications in this area will need to take account of Core Policy 49 (Flood Risk)”. |  |
| Typos | Holt should be shown as a large village on the map | Amend map to show Holt as large village |  |
| Staverton/ CA definition | The position of Staverton - in Bradford on Avon 'community area' for administrative purposes but with the Waterside development in Trowbridge for planning purposes - needs to be explained in future documents.  
- Needs to be clearer about those parts of the community area which are included in Trowbridge for spatial planning purposes | Add explanation (perhaps after para. 5.5.5) |  |
<p>| Cotswolds AONB | No mention in the Core Policy of the Cotswolds AONB. Any development must have due regard to the AONB, including an assessment of the likely impact of proposed development on the AONB. | Add reference to AONB in the wider list of requirements for all development in the CA (not just ... |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retail/town centre</td>
<td>I do not believe there is a lack of convenience retail offer in the town centre. There is 1 central supermarket and 2 early-late convenience stores. A new supermarket is needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing numbers</td>
<td>The area of opportunity in Holt will deliver some of the housing requirement in the community area. Struggling to fill residential and commercial units at Kingston Mills: so shouldn’t build more homes. Housing numbers in BoA are too low (Malmesbury TC); too low particularly in light of affordable housing need (Barratt Bristol); housing numbers are insufficient to meet needs based on household projections (Holt Village Regeneration Ltd); housing numbers will need to be increased as plan period should be extended to at least 2028 and will need to demonstrate 5 years + 20% housing land supply (Redcliffe Homes). Proposed level of growth seems high given physical and environmental limitations (Friends of Woolley). Strongly against 150 new homes: BonA is already too big and the schools are too small to accommodate more children. Development should be more balanced across the community area, with more homes directed towards the villages. A number of comments objected to the provision of more housing in BonA as this will exacerbate existing traffic problems/destroy the character of the town.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green infrastructure</td>
<td>Bradford on Avon Town Council requests the inclusion of the following statement after “Development must ensure that...”: “Existing green and open spaces within the town are protected.” Development shouldn’t be permitted on existing open spaces within the town (e.g. playing fields near Fitzmaurice School).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts of development at Trowbridge</td>
<td>Concern about impact of development at Trowbridge on BonA: specifically impact on traffic and wildlife.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Add some reference to AONB in bullet points.*

*Investigate latest position regarding retail at Kingston Mills development, and amend text of para 5.5.2 if appropriate.*

*Consider whether the proposed housing figures for the rest of Bradford on Avon community area are sufficient (taking account of potential development of the area of opportunity and the comments indicating that housing numbers are too low to meet affordable/general housing need). Check whether there is any evidence of difficulty in filling empty units at Kingston Mills.*

*Review saved policies – do these already give enough protection without the need for a further reference in the Core Strategy?*
### Calne Community Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Natural environment | 1. Reference should be made to taking account of the setting of the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB).  
2. Reference should be made to the River Marden when referring to development in the town centre of Calne.                                                                                           | 1. Agree reference should be made to the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Suggest addition of following text to paragraph 5.6.4: “In planning for new development consideration must be had to the setting of the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)”  
Suggest addition of following text to the last paragraph of core policy 10: “Development must take account of the setting of the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)”  
NB: There are comments on AONBs in other community areas – other text may be more appropriate to ensure a consistent approach.                                                                                                                      |
| Non-strategic sites | 1. A strategic site should be allocated in Calne.  
2. A direction of growth should be proposed to indicate where in or adjoining the town non-strategic sites will be appropriate.  
3. Non-strategic sites should be delivered as a number of smaller sites, not one larger site.                                                                                                               | 1. A justification was not found for a strategic site in topic paper 14. Confirm that a strategic site is not required in Calne.  
2. Non-strategic sites will be identified through community led neighbourhood plans or a subsequent development plan document. This enables the local community to influence where non-strategic growth will occur. No further action.  
3. There is no specification on how many non-strategic sites will be identified in the community area. No further action.                                                                                                                          |
| Housing numbers     | 1. Support for housing numbers in the area. Higher housing numbers should be allocated in Calne to reflect limited opportunities in the rest of the community area and its unconstrained nature. The higher numbers should be redistributed from Corsham Wootton Bassett.  
2. The plan period should be changed to 2010-2030.                                                                                                               | 1. Consider level of growth for Calne through a review of the housing requirement of Wiltshire.  
2. Consider whether the plan period should change though a review of the housing requirement for Wiltshire.                                                                                                                                          |
| Phasing             | 1. Phasing should be developed to ensure housing only comes forward once jobs have been delivered.                                                                                                            | 1. Consider whether phasing should change though a review of the housing requirement for Wiltshire.                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Campus              | 1. There are proposals for ‘campus’ style development of services in Calne. These should be reflected in the Core Strategy.                                                                                     | 1. Agree reference should be made to campus development. Further work should be carried out to understand the details of the current campus proposals. Suggest new paragraph inserted after 5.6.4 with the following text: “The delivery of a proposed leisure campus in Calne will provide enhanced community facilities in the town centre and help to strengthen the overall offer of the town”  
NB: There are comments on campus development in other community areas – other text may be more appropriate to ensure a consistent approach.                                                                                                                  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>1. Station Road is no longer an industrial estate – it should removed as a Principal Employment Area.</td>
<td>1. Check and remove Station Road Industrial Estate from core policy 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town centre</td>
<td>1. The land to the rear of Lloyds Bank should be considered for town centre regeneration. 2. Parking should be subsidised. 3. Greater reference should be made to town centre regeneration in the Core Strategy.</td>
<td>1. This will be dealt with thought the neighbourhood planning process for Calne. 2. This will be dealt with thought the neighbourhood planning process for Calne. 3. Suggest addition of following text to bullet point 1 of paragraph 5.6.4: “This will identify projects to help strengthen and regenerate...”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>1. Recommendation to put in place a one-way system on Oxford Road and William Street. 2. Development must not impact on the strategic road network.</td>
<td>1. This level of detail will be dealt with thought the neighbourhood planning process for Calne. 2. Insert reference to the strategic road network in paragraph 5.6.4: “The impact of development on the strategic road network must be taken into account”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Strategy</td>
<td>1. Further clarity should be provided on the definition of ‘limited development’ at Large Villages.</td>
<td>1. Carry out further work on the definition of ‘limited development’. See spatial strategy workstream.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chippenham Community Area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1.5 Bullet Point 2</td>
<td>The following paragraphs are therefore recommended to replace the second bullet point “Chippenham suffers from a strategic danger of becoming a dormitory town, with insufficient retail and leisure facilities in the town centre and a net out-flow of commuters: future development should urgently redress this. ”</td>
<td>Bullet point 4 already covers the issues relating to retail and leisure offer in the town centre. However, AGREE to give further consideration to rewording bullet point 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chippenham CA</td>
<td>There is a need to make much more explicit links between the identified issues and the Strategy. It is unclear from the Consultation Document how the strategy will address Chippenham’s issues.</td>
<td>AGREE to consider rewording to ensure there are clear linkages between the strategy and the issues for Chippenham.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.5 Final bullet point</td>
<td>It is unclear why powerlines need to be identified as an issue specific to Chippenham</td>
<td>This issue arose during discussions with infrastructure and utility providers. As it appears in the infrastructure delivery plan work and has been considered as part of the site selection process, it is unnecessary to include it as an issue in paragraph 5.1.5. AGREE to delete issue relating to powerlines from paragraph 5.1.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.14 Bullet Point 1</td>
<td>An amendment is suggested to the first bullet point of section 5.1.14 as follows A place to live and work - Create a sustainable community through the provision of appropriate employment and business land in order to enable the opportunity of working locally and to reflect the needs of the local economy. Town centre facilities will be</td>
<td>AGREE to amend wording of bullet point 1 to read: A place to live and work - Create a sustainable community through the provision of appropriate employment and business land in order to enable the opportunity of working locally and to reflect the needs of the local economy. Town centre facilities will be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Issues Raised</td>
<td>Action / Suggested Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Policy 5</td>
<td>With the inclusion of the 'South West Area of Search', the Hill Corner Road scheme’s ability to bring forward a modest amount of employment land becomes much less significant: it needs therefore to be established whether the housing in the first phase necessarily has to precede the housing in the South West Area of Search, particularly if the latter will release more resources to achieve the Vision for the town.</td>
<td>Comments about phasing noted. AGREE to reconsider this issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Policy 4 Chippenham Central Area of Improvement</td>
<td>River Avon enhancement Inclusion of plans for the new route for the Chippenham Branch of the Wilts &amp; Berks Canal to connect upstream of Chippenham making the River Avon navigable from this junction to Monkton Park.</td>
<td>Agreed. Amend Core Policy and/or supporting text to refer to new canal route.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Policy 4 Chippenham Central Area of Improvement</td>
<td>The importance of the River Avon Corridor as a green corridor for wildlife is mentioned in the preceding text and we would like to see this reflected in the Core Policy itself. For example, a phrase could be added as follows in italics: “In addition, the River Avon Corridor will be enhanced for leisure and recreation uses and developed as an attractive cycle/pedestrian route connecting with the town centre, while conserving and enhancing its role as a wildlife corridor.”</td>
<td>Agreed. Amend Core Policy 4 and/or supporting text to read ’In addition, the River Avon Corridor will be enhanced for leisure and recreation uses and developed as an attractive cycle/pedestrian route connecting with the town centre, while conserving and enhancing its role as a wildlife corridor.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Policy 4 Chippenham Central Area of Improvement and Map 5.2</td>
<td>The area of opportunity does not include the whole of the town centre and does not include the area south of the station and Cocklebury Road. Areas such as the Westinghouse Site would also benefit from this and could be better used.</td>
<td>We will reconsider the extent of the Chippenham Area of Opportunity, the areas of improvement and the connection with the sites identified in Core Policy 5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corsham**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Strategy / Settlement Strategy</td>
<td>1. Given the location of Rudloe and the likely developments near to the village, Rudloe should be classified as a large village not a small village.</td>
<td>1. Review designation. Consider change to large village.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Areas</td>
<td>1. Relationships between community areas are badly communicated. The effect of the allocations at Chippenham on the Corsham CA and particularly Lacock is not properly presented.</td>
<td>1. Major development in neighbouring community areas should be referenced at a number of points, particularly 5.7.5, and infrastructure requirements in 5.7.7 should reflect the allocations i.e. required infrastructure in the Corsham CA area should be listed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overarching Amendments</td>
<td>1. Section should include; • a list of key objectives and outcomes; • prioritised programme of key projects (with in built inflexibility for these to shift and/or be added to); • a list of key initiatives that will help to deliver this (and which will be prioritised). This list will need to be flexible but can be based on Appendix 8 to Topic Paper 8.</td>
<td>1. Consider whether all community area section should be amended to included some/all of the suggestion to help clarify the objectives and outcomes of each individual Community Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Policy Wording</td>
<td>1. The phrase ‘If required’ should be removed from the final sentence.</td>
<td>1. Change as stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Issues Raised</td>
<td>Action / Suggested Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific issues to be addressed at Corsham (Para 5.7.5.)</td>
<td>1. Bullet point 2 delete the word 'some', where it says 'allow for some economic diversification'. Delete 'of MoD', where it says 'within a number of MoD sites in the area'.</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 Include suggested changes to bullet points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Bullet point 6 replace 'leisure campus' with 'community campus'.</td>
<td>3. Amend final bullet point as above to include references to cycle network cycle network. Consider whether allotments could/should be added to list of infrastructure requirements in Para. 5.7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. An additional bullet point or an amendment to the final bullet point is needed to include the development of the cycle network which is at an advanced stage. An additional bullet point is needed to highlight the need for new allotment land in Corsham.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Maps</td>
<td>1. The designation maps used with the present NWLP are not part of this document but are still relevant.</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2 Pass on suggestion to team drafting new maps. Designations/features that should be included;  - parish boundaries;  - conservation designations;  - roads &amp; rail  - other important landscape designations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. The road and rail network should be superimposed upon Map 5.10 as it is difficult to cross reference with the wording in other parts of the plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corsham</td>
<td>1. Para 5.7.1 - needs to state that Corsham has an attractive and historic area which needs sensitive development.</td>
<td>1. Improve references in 5.7.1 and amend bullet point 4 in 5.7 5 to include sensitive development in Corsham town centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship of Corsham and Neston, Weswells &amp; Rudloe</td>
<td>1. A realistic approach must be taken to the unique relationship of Corsham with its satellite settlements of Neston, Westwells &amp; Rudloe</td>
<td>1 &amp; 2. The relationship between Corsham and the collection of settlements listed is very important and needs further investigation. The importance of maintaining the integrity of these smaller settlements must be understood. Point 2 shows that development pressure is looking at development sites in and around the smaller settlements. If the value of the greenspaces and integrity of settlements needs to be protected this needs to be stated in policy, if not then the policy must reference how these settlements will operate in the future – Strategic decision for senior management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. A landscape appraisal demonstrates that development at Rudloe can be achieved without undue impact on the landscape character and quality of the break in between these settlements. Development at Sand's Quarry will provide for Corsham and the community area without compromising the individuality of Westwells village and having an undue impact on the greenbelt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>1. There is a need for a household recycling facility in Corsham possible locations include Thingley, Leafield or former MoD land at Rudloe Site 2 or Copenacre.</td>
<td>1. Cross reference with Waste Sites DPD and add household recycling facility and listed sites to infrastructure requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Paragraph 5.7.7 - need to add the community campus and connections with railway station and communications between the facilities at Corsham and the rest of the Community Area.</td>
<td>2. Add integrated transport solutions and communications to future facilities including community campus, rail station and wider community area. Add Rail station and cycle network to infrastructure requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Infrastructure</td>
<td>1. There needs to be a landscape character update - how are the distinctive characters of settlements and their landscape settings protected. This should also refer to protecting a green corridor to the east of the CA up to the A350 as an important contribution to the green infrastructure network.</td>
<td>1. Check with natural environment team. Specific references may be needed about landscape protection between settlements in the community area. If references are necessary then these should be written into the policy. See below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>1. Reopening the train station should be a priority in the community area.</td>
<td>1. Remove wording for train station ‘not realistic in the plan period’ and replace with ‘reopening will remain a priority’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. References to improving bus services are vague and need to includes actual measures and plans.</td>
<td>2. Discuss with passenger transport what improvements can be made. Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Issues Raised</td>
<td>Action / Suggested Changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The proposed cycle network needs a more prominent position.</td>
<td></td>
<td>measures include &quot;intelligent&quot; bus stops, route adjustments and integration with neighbouring authority services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. See below, add to infrastructure requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,2&amp;3 Re-word final bullet in 5.7.5 to include 'Improved public transport connectivity will include reopening of Corsham rail station and integration of local bus services to link it with the main areas of housing and employment in the Community Area.'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuDs</td>
<td>In Corsham CA it is recommended for the requirement for the appropriate use of SuDS is included in the policy wording.</td>
<td>1. Add SuDs to list of infrastructure. This is</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| MoD Sites                    | Basil Hill is no longer a term used by the MoD, the site is known as MoD Corsham.  
2. The land interests of Copenacre and Rudloe are now separated.  
3. Redevelopment of redundant MOD sites should be for uses proportionate to the uses which existed during the active MOD occupation of the site. | 1. Contact MoD (Defence Estates) to confirm and amend if necessary. This appears in a number of places within section 5.7 and should be amended as required.  
2. References to MoD sites in policy text (3rd Para.) should be amended to read; 'Proposals for the redevelopment of MoD sites that are well related to the town will be supported where they ensure the proposed uses are complimentary to existing and surrounding development sites. All major development sites coming forward in the wider Corsham area must clearly demonstrate that the proposal will be well integrated into the existing settlements and enhance the character of the area'  
3. Possible add wording in text that talks about proportional red-development or potentially reference CP24 which should provide the framework for MoD sites. | |
| Leaffield Employment Allocation | Leaffield is not an appropriate site given the inevitable overload on the transport infrastructure especially Potley Bridge.  
2. An improved crossing of the railway line at Valley Road/Potley Lane should be provided to enable and Potley Lane should be widened to provide appropriate access for HGV's and other goods vehicles.  
3. An appropriate alternative access route should be constructed. | 1,2 & 3Contact transport to ascertain whether there is an existing or future issue at Potley Bridge.  
Potentially de-allocated Land East of Leafield or include Potley Bridge improvements in infrastructure requirements/identification of an alternative route. | |
| Spring Technology/Corsham Media Park | Spring Technology Park is actually titled Corsham Media park and all references to it should to be.  
2. Given its size, its potential, its planning status and the scope for early and sustained delivery, it clearly meets any definition of a strategic site. It should be listed in CP11 and this should be reflected in paragraph 5.7.3. | 1&2 Investigate with Economy team whether this should form a saved/allocated site in the Core Strategy. This may depend on the outcome of Leafield site.  
Paragraph 5.7.3 should be amended to reflect the status and expectations of the delivery of this development to ensure the description of the community area reflects these future changes. |
| Number | Officer/D Ent. | Theme          | Issues Raised                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Action / Suggested Changes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | CG             | Air quality    | 1. Poor air quality due to rapid increase in housing.  
2. Air quality exceeds EU safety levels at several locations.  
3. Reduce air pollution before more houses permitted.  
4. Develop a viable plan to reduce air pollution.  
5. Reference to air quality at para 5.8.5 bullet 2 is inaccurate.  
6. No more homes until air quality issues addressed.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Amend para 5.8.5 bullet 2 to reflect the current position and need to consider the affects of all development on air quality.  
Introduce new core policy on air pollution and need to comply with EU regulations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 2.     | CG             | Congestion     | 1. Congestion has an economic impact on the town.  
2. Tourists and shoppers are deterred from coming to Devizes by levels of congestion.  
3. There should be clearer reference in the core strategy to traffic management measures.  
4. Continuing congestion affects air quality.  
5. More investment is needed in changing attitudes and subsidising alternatives.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Amend bullet point re congestion (para 5.8.5) to relate to new transport plan and the need for all development that will increase the number of vehicles on the roads in Devizes to contribute to the implementation of that plan.  
Add new bullet point about the need for future development (para 5.8.5) to contribute to future demand management and prepare a transport assessment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 3.     | Overall        | Overall housing numbers | 1. Housing development has led to a serious traffic and air pollution situation.  
2. Who are the new houses for?  
3. There is no shortage of properties for sale in the area – Devizes has had enough.  
4. Bring empty homes back into use.  
5. Invest in infrastructure before more homes (transport, education, social, health etc)  
6. the number of houses for Devizes town is too great given the existing problems of traffic congestion and poor air quality.  
7. Other areas in east Wiltshire should be considered first eg Marlborough  
8. Availability of ‘windfalls’ should be taken into account.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Retain proposal for 1730 homes in Devizes and no new strategic allocations’.  
Add new bullet point to reduce the rate of annual development and phase development over the plan period to recognise the need to enable infrastructure and traffic congestion to be addressed. (para 5.8.5)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 4.     | CG             | Retail         | 1. The town’s retail success comes from having many independent retailers.  
2. The town has enough chain stores.  
3. Concerns about the type of retailer suitable for Devizes.  
4. New retail development should not be supported on the Central Car Park.  
5. Devizes is mostly liked by shoppers for its range of individual smaller and specialist shops.  
6. Any attempt to bring in larger branches of national retailers would destroy the special character of the town.  
7. New retail development should be concentrated in the town centre.  
8. Should not presume there will be growth in the retail sector. Expert views suggest the reverse is likely because of                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Amend bullet 4, para 5.8.5 to remove reference to the Central Car Park and add reference to recent research (add footnote to original document).  
Add new sentence to core policy 12 re identification of the prime shopping centre as per consultant’s recommendations and introduce greater flexibility as to where new development could go. Add “The prime shopping areas for Devizes are identified on the proposals map …… (check). Within this area, proposals for new comparison retail units will be supported provided the proposal is integrated with and provide enhancement to the existing fabric of the town centre and respects the historic character of the town”.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|   | CG | Poterne sewerage Treatment Works | 1. Parish and residents should be informed when reinforcement of the sewer system to Poterne treatment works take place.  
2. Concerns about HGV movements and noise disturbance that will be created during works.  
3. Question whether the water course through Poterne has capacity for any increase from these sewage works as there has already been some localised flooding. | Ensure Infrastructure Delivery Plan is clear about the process for delivering new infrastructure, who should be consulted and precautions during construction. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | CG | Railway station | 1. Include safeguarding of a site for a new railway station on the main line at either Lavington or Lydeway.  
2. A station will add to the towns ability to attract high value employment opportunities | Add new bullet to para 5.8.5 that relates to the long term aspiration for a railway station to serve Devizes. |
|   | CG | Character of the town | 1. Incremental developments over the past decade have already damaged the special character of the historic town  
2. Devizes is an attractive market town and is fast losing its character with the extra housing.  
3. Protect important green and built spaces within the town.  
4. The new development at Willowbrook has spoilt Horton Road due to the number of new street lights installed, removal of verges and increase in street and road markings. | Add to Core Policy 12, a clear statement that development at Devizes should support its role as a significant service centre but not exacerbate existing constraints within the highway network or undermine the towns rich built, historic and natural environment. |
|   | CG | Landscape setting | 1. Unacceptable pressures on the landscape setting around Devizes and on green spaces within Devizes.  
2. The strategy should specifically identify green buffer zones where there is a likelihood of distinct communities merging through urban sprawl or linear housing development.  
3. Expansion of Devizes is putting pressure on greenfield sites that have no protected status but are vital to the setting of Devizes and the North Wessex Downs AONB.  
4. Take account of landscape character, landscape features and seek to create a more appropriate edge to the town | Add new bullets to para 5.8.5 that highlight the sensitivity of the landscape setting around Devizes, the importance of sites of biodiversity value, the proximity of the AONB and the Avebury World Heritage site.  
Amend supporting text to recognise that the Devizes Urban Area includes the administrative areas of Devizes town, and parts of Bishops Cannings and Roundway parishes. |
|   | CG | Need for an employment allocation | 1. Growth in Devizes should be employment led  
2. Alternative sites should be considered.  
3. Support for the town as a location for strategic employment growth which seeks to further diversify the existing employment offer in the town, ensuring that it remains an area of key economic importance in Wiltshire in the future. | Retain employment allocation |
|   | CG | Employment Allocation, Horton road | 1. Site has potential to damage the setting of the AONB.  
2. There needs to be a detailed landscape and visual impact assessment of the proposal | Include all of these issues in a development template for the Horton Road site that is linked to core policy 21:  
- A landscape and visual assessment of the site; |
3. Development should be supported by a development brief prepared before an application is submitted.
4. Concern over where access will be taken from.

- Consideration of the nature of businesses to be provided and the potential for an incubator workspace function;
- the impact the development will have on the approach to Devizes from the north on the A361 and east on Horton Road;
- proposals to mitigate the impact of the development on the North Wessex Downs AONB and adjoining land uses; and
- appropriate points of access to the site.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>New strategic allocations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Site should be allocated at Hillworth Road (xx homes)</td>
<td>Retain proposal for 1730 homes in Devizes and no new strategic allocations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Site should be allocated at Coate Bridge (400 homes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Site should be allocated NE of Roundway Park (400 homes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Site should be identified East of Laywood (xx homes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>13</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>Green space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Green open space is recognised as being essential for health and well-being.</td>
<td>Add new bullet to para 5.8.5 that recognises the risk to existing greenspace and refers to the role of the Green Infrastructure Strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Devizes now has a very low proportion of such green open spaces. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, woodland areas, broad roadside verges, allotments and private gardens.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Land must be allocated and reserved for such purposes within the strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>Assize Courts and Devizes Wharf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Support proposals for Devizes Wharf</td>
<td>Amend bullet 3, para 5.8.5 to emphasise the regeneration opportunities at the Assize Courts and Devizes Wharf and refer to the ongoing preparation of a planning brief for Devizes Wharf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Need for action at the Assize Courts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Malmesbury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Education** | 1. Malmesbury has a specific infrastructure problem related to primary school capacity and provision in the town. The draft Core Strategy Consultation Document proposed to phase development until after 2016 to enable a solution to be found to the primary school capacity issues in town that did not require children being bussed out to village schools. Further dialogue with the education authority has now provided further evidence and the proposal to phase development until after 2016 is unlikely to make any difference to the primary school issues in the town. Instead alternative solutions to the problem are being sought. | 1. Remove reference to phasing development in Malmesbury until after 2016 because of the primary school capacity issues in the town. Suggest the following changes:  
- Paragraph 5.9.3: remove “Therefore, further development will be phased towards the latter half of the plan period in order to address local educational issues associated with capacity at existing schools”.  
- Remove bullet 1 of paragraph 5.9.4.  
- Insert the following bullet point into paragraph 5.9.4: “The primary schools in the town are close to capacity. Collaborative working will continue as a neighbourhood plan is produced for the area to ensure that satisfactory solutions to primary school capacity are reached”.  
- Paragraph 5.9.6: remove “The phasing of some new homes towards the latter half of the plan period also reflects the level of development that has already occurred and will enable a solution to be found to the current capacity issues in primary schools in the town”.  
- Core policy 13: remove “If new edge of town greenfield development is required, this should be phased and only commence when sufficient provision of primary school places has been forthcoming”.  

Further work is required with the Education Authority to identify satisfactory solutions to primary school capacity issues over the plan period. |
| **Housing growth** | 1. The overall housing figure for Wiltshire has reduced (44,000 to 37,000 dwellings) but the figure for Malmesbury town has increased (730 to 760 dwellings) and the figure for the community area has increased (1100 to 1200 dwellings). A number of comments, particularly at the exhibition, requested a more thorough justification for this. Malmesbury seems to have a disproportionate amount of development compared to other market towns.  
2. Many comments objected to housing growth because it was not felt that appropriate infrastructure is in place or being provided. In particular many comments referred to a lack of primary school capacity in the town and objected to children being bussed out to village primary schools.  
3. It was suggested that housing development should be phased over three time periods rather than the proposed two (2006-2016 and 2016-2026).  
4. Housing should be distributed evenly between Malmesbury and the other villages in the area. | 1. Justification for the increase in the housing requirement is found in Appendix 4 of Topic Paper 17. Suggest the evidence is reviewed for Malmesbury Community Area, including considering new evidence on infrastructure and whether more development can be allocated to the villages.  
2. As above.  
3. Consider whether phasing should change through a review of the housing requirement for Wiltshire.  
4. As 1 above. |
<p>| <strong>Empty homes</strong> | 1. There is the perception that there are a high number of empty homes (&gt;100 homes) on the Filands estate. These should be taken account of in calculating the housing requirement for Malmesbury. | 1. Whilst empty homes do not fall directly under the remit of spatial planning this issue has been raised numerous times and further work should be carried out to assess the number of empty homes in Malmesbury and what is being carried out to address the issue. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Non-strategic sites | 2. A strategic site should be allocated in Malmesbury.  
3. The following sites have been recommended for housing: land at Reeds Farm, land at Park Road, land at Charlton Pk (this could include a new primary school).  
4. The following sites have been recommended for employment or leisure use: Charlton Park Estate, Brokenborough Farm, Brook Farm, Hankerton. | 2. A justification was not found for a strategic site in topic paper 14. Confirm that a strategic site is not required in Malmesbury.  
2. Non-strategic sites will be taken forward through either the neighbourhood planning process or a site allocations dpd. |
| Design              | 1. New housing development must be of high quality design as opposed to the perceived poor quality housing at the Filands Estate. Local materials should be used in new development. | 1. Agree this is a particular issue in Malmesbury.  
Suggest addition of following text to paragraph 5.9.4 bullet 5: “Future development should be of high quality design and well integrated with the existing built form of the town, including using local materials where appropriate” |
| Natural environment | 1. Reference should be made to taking account of the setting of the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.  
2. Greater reference should be made to the historic and landscape assets in the Malmesbury Community Area.  
3. Account should be had of biodiversity issues related to the River Avon floodplain. | 1. Agree reference should be made to the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.  
Suggest addition of following text to paragraph 5.9.4 as bullet point 5: “In planning for new development consideration must be had to the setting of the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)”  
NB: There are comments on AONBs in other community areas – other text may be more appropriate to ensure a consistent approach.  
2. Suggest addition of following text after the last paragraph of core policy 13: “Development must take account of:  
- The setting of the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB).  
- The historic nature of the town including impact on the Abbey and Market Cross and the setting of the town”.  
Check with the landscape and design team that these criteria provide sufficient detail. |
| Employment          | 1. There should be more clarity on the amount of employment land that should be identified in the community area. The level of employment growth proposed is not in line with the level of housing proposed.  
2. There should be more clarity on the type of employment that will be provided in the community area.  
3. Lucent Park should be identified as a Principal Employment Site.  
4. The term ‘economic diversification’ should be defined. | 1. Further work will be carried out on employment requirements to inform the pre-submission draft Core Strategy.  
2. As above.  
3. Further work to find out the current status of this site.  
4. Further work to provide a definition of ‘economic diversification’. Refer to economy workstream. |
| Transport           | 1. Further information should be provided on how traffic congestion will be addressed and on the proposed traffic demand solution.  
2. Is it realistic to expect the M4 and A429 to bring economic opportunities | 1. Further work to provide some details on the proposed traffic demand solution in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Refer to transport and infrastructure work streams.  
2. Check with economic development. |
### Marlborough

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issue(s) Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community facilities / infrastructure</strong></td>
<td>• Protection of hotels</td>
<td>1. Include specific reference to the protection of tourist accommodation within the Marlborough Community Area. Suggested wording – 'Proposals for the change of use of existing bed spaces provided in hotels or public houses to alternative uses will be resisted, unless it can be clearly demonstrated there is no longer a need for such a facility in its current use.'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Vehicle movement** | • Traffic congestion  
• A346 | 1. Include specific reference to the A346. Suggested wording – 'Development proposals should appropriately mitigate against any significant impact on transport users, local communities and the environment.' |
| **Natural environment** | • Protection of the AONB  
• Protection of Savernake Forest SSSI  
• Protection of River Kennet SSSI  
• Protection of Savernake bat roost | 1. Include specific reference to the AONB within CAS CP14. Suggest new heading – Development must ensure that:’ Suggested bullet – ‘due regard is given to the North Wessex Downs AONB including adequate landscape screening where appropriate.’  
2. Include specific references to SSSI within the locality of Marlborough Town. Further bullet – ‘at Marlborough Town development must not conservation...’ |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issue(s) Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World heritage Site</td>
<td>- Protection of the World Heritage Site</td>
<td>1. Include specific reference to the WHS within CAS CP14. Further bullet under Development must ensure that: 'where appropriate development proposals should adequately consider the protection of the world heritage site - Core Policy 40.'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Inclusion of WHS boundary on inset map</td>
<td>2. Include world heritage site boundary on inset map within community area section (page 85).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>- Wording relating to retail provision should align to PPS4 and NPPF (sequential approach)</td>
<td>1. Para 5.10.4 bullet 2 replace, suggested wording – ‘No further retail provision outside of Marlborough town centre will be supported. Any additional comparison retailing should be located within the town centre of Marlborough.’ Add to CP14.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential development</td>
<td>- Inclusion of strategic site</td>
<td>1. Strategic issue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Melksham

#### Housing numbers
- Objection to level of development proposed in Melksham: in combination with level proposed in Chippenham and Corsham this leads to a high concentration of development in a small area and will encroach onto small buffer areas between settlements.
- Objection to extra housing on Greenfield sites.
- Housing numbers in Melksham are too low (representation from Malmesbury Town Council) There seem to be fewer infrastructure and environmental constraints than those affecting other Market Towns, so level of growth should be higher.
- Housing numbers in Melksham are insufficient (Braeman Holdings).
- The number of homes to be provided in the remainder of the Melksham Community Area (110) is not in accordance with the RSS proposed changes and should be reviewed.
- Housing numbers in Melksham and the rest of the Community Area should be increased, having regard to the latest household projections, to address the affordable housing shortfall and contribute towards improved infrastructure in the town. The remainder to be identified in the rest of the community area (35) is wholly inadequate to meet the needs of the 11 identified settlements.
- Need to clarify that the housing numbers proposed in 'Melksham town' will apply to the

#### Suggested Changes
- Add clarification to table 5.19 that the numbers proposed for 'Melksham Town' could also be provided within MWPC boundaries, on land well-related to the town

#### Further work needed
- Comments received to be taken into account in developing housing numbers for submission draft.
- Housing figures to be updated to take account of latest available information (e.g. on East Melksham Strategic Site).
- Consider assessing Bowerhill and Berryfield through settlement strategy methodology (see below) and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Further work needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Melksham urban area, which includes areas within the Melksham Without Parish Council boundaries. | - The text and table of housing numbers should be updated to reflect the increase in the overall number of dwellings to be provided at the East Melksham Strategic Site.  
- Table 5.19 should make it clear if the 'Melksham Town' housing numbers are also intended to cover Bowerhill and Berryfield.                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Amend map 5.14 to remove Bowerhill and Berryfield from the depiction of the 'urban area' of Melksham  
Identify as appropriate. This should make it clear that 'Melksham Town' numbers do not apply to these settlements.                                                                                                                                                                                   | Review list of infrastructure requirements in light of comments received and IDP consultation responses  
Review text on demand management solution to increase road network capacity, depending on details of this set out in IDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Settlemen| Errors in assessment of Keevil for settlement strategy.  
- Bowerhill should be identified as a large village or local service centre, and Beanacre and Berryfield should be identified as small villages. These three settlements are all distinct communities, and should be recognised as such.  
- Shaw and Whitley are linked with shared facilities and should be considered together. Taken together they should be in the large village category. | Amend point 4 of 5.11.7: “Maintenance and enhancement of rights of way and cycle networks both within the town and between the town and rural villages”  
Review assessment of Keevil based on representations received  
Review appropriate classification of Bowerhill, Beanacre and Berryfield  
Consider assessment of Shaw and Whitley as linked settlements rather than separately.                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Review assessment of Keevil based on representations received  
Review appropriate classification of Bowerhill, Beanacre and Berryfield  
Consider assessment of Shaw and Whitley as linked settlements rather than separately.                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Review list of infrastructure requirements in light of comments received and IDP consultation responses  
Review text on demand management solution to increase road network capacity, depending on details of this set out in IDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Infrastructure | - Maintenance and enhancement of rights of way and cycle networks should not only be in the town, but also links from the town to rural villages.  
- Text is unclear as to the sustainable transport provision which will support growth in Melksham. Map should be added.  
- Request for better shelter for passengers at Melksham station  
- Request for passing loop at Melksham station so line can accommodate additional freight as well as more frequent passenger trains  
- A credible TransWilts train service could be part of improved public transport connectivity  
- Cannot achieve an increase in road network capacity by traffic demand management alone.  
- There should be a commitment to establish a transport hub at an improved rail station to tie in improvements to rail and bus services.  
- There should be a requirement for developers to provide light industry/business start-up units as part of their development levy, thus creating a direct link between the development of dwellings and the level of employment.  
- Need to upgrade the A350  
- Need to have regular cross Wiltshire rail services  
- Need more clarity as to what will be delivered in terms of transport (e.g. details of the demand management solution, public transport, cycle network).  
- More detail needed on aspiration to improve Melksham Railway Station and services.  
- Shortage of A&E and inpatient care in Melksham, Chippenham and Trowbridge areas.  
- Concern about congestion on A350 from ASDA roundabout up towards Beanacre.  
- Need to improve bus services from Bowerhill to town centre if building employment development at Bowerhill/ Hampton Park.  
- Demand management measures are not and will not work – more needs to be done to address existing and planned commuter flows.  
- Highway ‘demand management and containment’ needs to take place before any future | Amend point 4 of 5.11.7: “Maintenance and enhancement of rights of way and cycle networks both within the town and between the town and rural villages”  
Review assessment of Keevil based on representations received  
Review appropriate classification of Bowerhill, Beanacre and Berryfield  
Consider assessment of Shaw and Whitley as linked settlements rather than separately. | Review list of infrastructure requirements in light of comments received and IDP consultation responses  
Review text on demand management solution to increase road network capacity, depending on details of this set out in IDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Review list of infrastructure requirements in light of comments received and IDP consultation responses  
Review text on demand management solution to increase road network capacity, depending on details of this set out in IDP.                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Further work needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Economy** | • Town Council supports identification of Melksham as location for strategic employment growth  
  • Link road between the A350 and Bowerhill industrial estate is key factor in promoting additional employment opportunities (Town Council).  
  • Concern that development strategy for Melksham is a work in progress, particularly with regard to identification of employment land, as only 4 ha identified  
  • Wiltshire Workspace and Employment Land Strategy recommends that 12.6 ha of employment land should be provided in Melksham – why is only 4 ha identified?  
  • Concern about small amount of employment land identified – not enough and not in line with neighbouring settlements  
  • All existing land used commercially should be protected for employment uses  
  • Cooper Tyres site in town centre should be protected for employment use  
  • Concern that allowing employment development towards the east of Melksham is as likely to attract workers from Trowbridge as from Melksham  
  • Further strategic employment land should be allocated to the south of Melksham, with good access to the A350.  
  • 7.5 ha site at Upside Park is unsuitable for development solely for employment purposes: designation as Principal Employment Area should be deleted or amended to mixed use.  
  • Objection to more employment as this will generate more heavy traffic, and the traffic strategy outlined is insufficient to cope with this.  
  • Question about type of employment to be provided.  
  • Emphasis should be placed on regenerating established employment sites prior to new development  
  • Detailed comments from MWPC (in response to Wilts 2026) on where employment development will/will not be appropriate. | • Add to list of issues in para 5.11.4: “The regeneration and improvement of existing employment sites such as the Bowerhill Industrial Estate”. | • Consider adding further detail about potential link road between the A350 and Bowerhill IE.  
• Identify further employment sites in Melksham (taking into account comments received) if the new employment study indicates that further sites are needed.  
• Review approach to protection of employment sites in Melksham (including Principal Employment Areas) in light of statements in draft NPPF.  
• Add indication of types of employment development which would be appropriate, depending on outcomes of recent research. |
| **Strategic sites** | • Concern that no strategic housing/mixed use sites are identified in Melksham, including:  
  o Concern from Melksham Town Council that this leaves areas vulnerable to exploitation by developers  
  o Concern from landowners that lack of strategic site and lack of identification of appropriate location for development leaves the impression that the council is not quite sure what it wants to see at Melksham  
  • Request from Melksham Without Parish Council to inform which areas are intended for development.  
  • The lack of a strategic housing site will fail to meet the housing land availability targets set out in the draft NPPF.  
  • There is no clarity in Core Policy 15 as to whether acceptable future growth will include land adjacent to the existing settlement boundary.  
  • More guidance would be helpful on proposals for new residential development; e.g. setting out a sequential approach to redevelop brownfield sites in the town centre in the first instance, followed by more peripheral sites with strong transport links to the town.  
  • Need some guidance on where more housing would be acceptable (e.g. to help deliver Eastern Bypass)  
  • Land north of the A3102 should be identified as the next logical site to continue expansion | • Strengthen text of CP15 to make it clear that any large housing sites will need to be identified through a NP/DPD (i.e. lack of strategic site is not open invitation to developers) | • Consider possibility of identifying strategic site at Melksham, and consider all reasonable alternatives if it is decided that a strategic site should be identified  
• If a strategic site is not identified, consider strengthening text of Community Area Strategy to provide a clearer strategic framework for subsequent NP/DPD (e.g. list of requirements for development, including preservation of historic setting of the Spa, protection of open land between Melksham and ... |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Further work needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| of the town                   | • A strategic site should be identified to the south of Melksham  
• The Highcroft Farm site (8.98 ha immediately to the south of Melksham town boundary) should be included in Core Policy 15.  
• Land to the north of the A3102 (Sandridge Common) should be allocated for future development in Melksham.  
• The potential to enlarge the East Melksham Strategic Site to the south should be investigated. This would offer the opportunity to secure key highway and sustainable linkages at Melksham.  
• Development on the other side of the A3102 is an obvious logical progression from the east of Melksham and could help finance more of a future Melksham Eastern Bypass.  
• Detailed comments from MWPC (in response to Wilts 2026) on where housing/mixed use development will/will not be appropriate. | Bowerhill, etc. Also identify broad area of search – e.g. identified sites must be well-related to Melksham town.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Wilts & Berks Canal           | • The Town Council supports the restoration of the Wilts & Berks Canal in principle.  
• A number of respondents indicated that the Melksham Canal Link Project should be part of the plan and/or there should be policy protection for the proposed route  
• There should be a specific policy commitment to the development and regeneration of the Wilts and Berks Canal  
• Land use in the canal corridor (along the proposed new Melksham Link route) should be considered as an opportunity for enabling development.  
• The council should recognise that the canal restoration will only be achieved through enabling development.  
• Melksham Without Parish Council supports restoration of the canal, but wants assurances that the canal development will not be permitted to have a detrimental effect on existing activities and facilities along its route and will not be allowed to encourage inappropriate development in the countryside, the loss of higher grade land from agricultural use or the coalescence of communities.  
• The restoration of the Wilts & Berks Canal will: contribute to extension of rights of way and cycle networks; provide stimulus for sympathetic river frontage development; provide public access to both banks of the river within the town; reinvigorate Melksham; stimulate the economy and provide a living visitor attraction.  
• The Environment Agency states that the restoration of the Wiltshire and Berkshire canal will need to consider environmental impacts and emphasises that there is a large amount of work to be undertaken to establish the potential environmental risks/barriers, including assessing the potential impact on Water Framework Directive issues, such as water abstraction, water quality, biodiversity, as well as flood risk issues. | Possibility of protecting the proposed route of the Melksham Canal Link project to be considered through GI Topic Paper. New policy?  
• Specific reference to be made to environmental risks/barriers when drafting policy relating to the canal link, or references to be added to text if policy is not added  
• Sites along the proposed canal route to be considered through site selection process if it is considered that a strategic site should be identified for Melksham. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Town centre regeneration / town plan | • A Town Plan for Melksham should consider land use and regeneration opportunities in the River Avon corridor  
• The council should emphasise the link between the canal and regeneration, especially where it will bring additional tourist traffic and general interest, together with an improved environment, to the centre of the town alongside the River Avon.  
• Wiltshire Council should encourage Melksham Town Council and Melksham Without Parish Council to prepare a comprehensive Town Plan  
• Suggestion from the Environment Agency that a Melksham Town Centre Area Action Plan could be produced, incorporating the Wilts & Berks Canal proposals.  
• Paragraph 5.11.6 could be split into two statements, one focussing on regenerating Melksham Town Centre and the other focussing on the restoration of the Wilts and Berks Canal. | Amend first sentence of para. 5.11.6: “Regeneration of Melksham Town Centre is a priority, and this should include a focus on improving the setting of the historic environment and maximising opportunities for regeneration of the River Avon corridor”.  
• Add references to links between the canal and regeneration when redrafting text to take account of possible new canal link protection  
• Add specific reference to canal in context of the Town Plan |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Further work needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Canal |                                                                                                                                                | * Amend 2nd sentence of para. 5.11.6 to state: “The preparation of a ‘town plan’, which could be adopted as a supplementary planning document (SPD), or other similar document (e.g. a neighbourhood plan), may offer an opportunity to assist in the delivery of town centre regeneration, and will be supported by the council. It will be important that both Melksham Town Council and Melksham Without Parish Council are fully involved in this process”. *  
* Split para. 5.11.6 to create separate para. about the canal.  |                                                                                  |
| Lacock| * Core Policy 15 should make reference to Lacock. If the homes proposed for Melksham are provided to the east of the town there is a strong possibility that they will exacerbate the current traffic problem in the Lacock area. | * Add reference in CP15 to the need for a Transport Assessment for certain proposals (as set out in the Local Validation Checklist), and that this should include consideration of traffic impacts in the wider area, including nearby villages such as Lacock  |                                                                                  |
| Retail| * Primary Retail Frontage should be redefined to include Sainsbury’s.  
* Smaller towns such as Melksham should not be held back, from providing retail opportunities needed for their residents in preference to the larger towns of Chippenham, Trowbridge and Salisbury.  
* Larger and medium sized retail units needed in town – larger units could encourage companies such as Wilkinsons and Currys to come to the town.  
* Needs to be a concerted effort to expand Melksham’s retail base, possibly through getting rid of the old 60s Avon Place Precinct and replacing it with fewer, larger units.  
* Need for more balance within the retail base: current imbalance with a plethora of takeaway food outlets and “cheap” shops but very few essential quality retail outlets such as a shoe shop, a men’s clothing shop or a bookshop. | * Consider through retail topic paper.  |                                                                                  |
| Transport| * Should include specific mention of the need for a much improved railway station.  
* Question about timescale for provision of link road between A350 and Bowerhill Industrial  | * Add to list of issues in para. 5.11.4: “The need to improve  | * Consider adding further detail about potential link road.  |
### Tidworth and Ludgershall

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issues Raised</th>
<th>Suggested Changes</th>
<th>Further work needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estate.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Issues Raised</strong></td>
<td><strong>Suggested Changes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Further work needed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concern that existing housing allocations should be given time for consolidation (originally raised by Melksham Without Parish Council in response to Wiltshire 2026 consultation, but MWPC requested that these comments should be read alongside more recent representations).</td>
<td>public transport provision, including improving the railway station and increasing the frequency of train services”.</td>
<td>between the A350 and Bowerhill IE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phasing of development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• If no strategic site is to be identified in Melksham then consider adding phasing requirement, with development to be provided towards the end of the plan period (2016 onwards).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AONB

1. No reference to the setting of the AONB in the core policy or in regards to Drummond Park allocation.  

   Insert reference to setting of the AONB and allocations at Ludgershall in 4” bullet of specific issues. Or, insert reference in 2”th paragraph under table 5.22

### Spatial Strategy/Settlement Strategy

1. ‘Everleigh should be added to the list of small villages in the Tidworth Area.’

   1. Investigation shows that some information is incorrect for Everleigh and it should be included in list of small villages.

### Transport

1. The lack of major highway infrastructure work needs to be addressed urgently with a bypass or link road to alleviate traffic from High Street, Castle Street and Butt Street.

   Provide these comments and detailed work from Georgia Developments to Highways for comment as to whether there is a strategic highway issue that needs to be resolved.

2. Ludgershall’s Memorial Junction is nearing capacity the only way to resolve the problem is to provide a second road that runs parallel with the existing A342 or a bypass for the town.’

### Drummond Park

1. Issues with site boundary at Drummond Park extending beyond current application site.

   Remove section to the northeast of A342. Contact MoD (landowner) and Network Rail to ensure that the extended site is available and to investigate whether a secondary access could be provided if necessary. (See 3 above)

2. The current solutions that have been provided for the Memorial Junction are also not acceptable.

3. MoD response has indicated that some parts of the site may not be available.

### Water Cycle Study

1. No mention of the need to consider foul and surface water disposal and water cycle study. This, along with water supply needs to be identified as it is a possible constraint.

   Include a water cycle study in list of infrastructure requirements. This is noted in current site proforms.

### Sewage

‘Southern Water owns and operates Ludgershall Wastewater Treatment Works (WWTW), which is located approximately 1.5 km southeast of Drummond Park.’… ‘Drummond Park is located within the Veolia inset appointment in this area. The next periodic review is in 2014 to cover the investment period 2015 to 2020. The earliest possible date by which additional capacity could be delivered to serve Drummond Park is 2017.’… ‘There is also limited sewerage capacity in the catchment to convey wastewater from new development to the WWTW. If Drummond Park is proposed to

Contact Veolia and Drummond Park landowners (Zog & MoD) to check both dates and sewage and wastewater connection plans & details.
### Theme Issues Raised

connect to Ludgershall WWTW, an assessment would need to be carried out to establish the impact of additional flows on the sewerage system, and/or the possible route of new infrastructure.

**CP17**

This policy (Core Policy 17) makes no reference of proximity to settlements. Proposed Policy 24 does not comply with Core Policy 17. Any redevelopment of MOD sites will come forward with appropriate community consultation. The needs of the wider community shall be fully considered, however, the operational requirements of the MOD, or Treasury Rules regulating disposal of sites, shall be of paramount importance.

Include reference to proximity to identified settlements when referring to prioritisation of brownfield land in CP17.

---

### Trowbridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP6 General</td>
<td>The policy is considered to be a little vague and the map not easy to decipher. Consideration is needed for strengthening the wording of the policy.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP6 Education</td>
<td>It should be more clearly stated where the proposed new secondary school is to be located.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP6 Wildlife</td>
<td>Consideration is needed for adding further reference to the importance of the River Biss corridor and the Biss Meadows Country Park.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO1 Housing Numbers</td>
<td>The level of housing growth should be reviewed in the light of changes to national policy and updated accordingly.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO8 Transport</td>
<td>Consideration is needed for why Park and Ride facilities are not considered appropriate in Trowbridge</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated. Wiltshire Council Highways Officers have confirmed that Trowbridge is simply not a large enough settlement for park and ride to be a viable option. A transport strategy for Trowbridge is however being developed and will include a comprehensive suite of measures to tackle traffic issues in the town.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO7 Retail</td>
<td>Consideration is needed for updating the Primary Retail Frontage to incorporate the recently constructed Sainsbury’s.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated. Action for Retail Topic Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilperton</td>
<td>Consideration is needed to identify the most appropriate approach to protecting the separate identity of Hilperton.</td>
<td>It is considered appropriate to classify Hilperton as a ‘large village’ which will ensure it is afforded the same level of protection from development as for any other village identified in the WCS. Amend classification for Hilperton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Requirement</td>
<td>A review of the housing requirement for the villages in the Trowbridge Community Area should be undertaken.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>The need for extra child care provision in West Ashton should be reviewed.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps</td>
<td>The maps of proposed development should also include any planned development with permissions including for employment as well as for housing.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwick country park</td>
<td>Southwick Country Park is a valuable asset and is instrumental in providing a green buffer between Trowbridge and Southwick and should be referred to in the Core Strategy securing its future and protecting it as an important green buffer.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>We believe that a further point needs to be added about the quality of design of housing. Developers should be required to adhere to the &quot;Trowbridge style&quot; of brick and stone, varied roof lines and where possible, chimney stacks (used as air conditioning vents). A good example is the recent development at Timbrell Street/Prospect Place, compared with the very poor design at British Row - close to Sainsbury's store.</td>
<td>The comment about the need for high quality design is noted and the proposed policy approach to address this matter will be reviewed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The deliverability of the West Ashton site allocated in the district plan in the short term should be reviewed with appropriate amendments made to the WCS.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilperton relief road</td>
<td>The Hilperton Relief Road should be referred to in the text and annotated on the appropriate map.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity supply</td>
<td>Consideration for electricity power lines is needed in relation to the proposed strategic site.</td>
<td>Noted. Ensure this matter is addressed through the master planning for the proposed strategic site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas liable to flood</td>
<td>We would recommend this policy reiterates the point that development must ensure layouts of housing or employment land avoid the higher flood risk areas associated with the River Biss and tributaries crossing the site. We also note biodiversity improvements to the River Biss will be made and we therefore support Core Policy 7 regarding development and protection of migratory corridors, which should also relate to watercourses. It is currently not totally clear in this policy that that is the case; hence we recommend this latter point is made clearer in the policy.</td>
<td>Noted. Consideration will be given to amending the policy wording to reflect the points raised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional site</td>
<td>Consideration should be given to including SHLAA site 292 in the WCS.</td>
<td>To consider site as part of the review if the strategic sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National policy</td>
<td>A review of the housing requirement is needed which is up to date with emerging national planning policy.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional site</td>
<td>Consideration should be given to including SHLAA site 613 in the WCS.</td>
<td>To consider site as part of the review if the strategic sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Warminster

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP18 Non Strategic Sites</td>
<td>Ensure 44/48 Bath Road is included in the review of the strategic site</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP18 Non Strategic Sites</td>
<td>Ensure land at Folly Lane is included in the review of the strategic site</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP18 Non Strategic Sites</td>
<td>Ensure land off Victoria Road is included in the review of the strategic site</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP18 Landscape</td>
<td>Consideration should be given to whether Cley Hill should also be mentioned in the text.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP18 Strategic Site</td>
<td>Reference to CP 44 should be updated to read CP51.</td>
<td>Agreed/ amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP18 Strategic Site</td>
<td>Revise details relating to FRA requirements during master planning process.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP1 Settlement Hierarchy</td>
<td>The wording of CP 1 should be reviewed to consider adding greater flexibility for development adjacent to the policy limits where appropriate.</td>
<td>Agreed/ action as indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Westbury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP19 Transport</td>
<td>Changes are required to reflect the wider detail of the issues facing Westbury associated with traffic/ congestion.</td>
<td>Agreed/ some additional reference can be added – however this will be addressed through the recognition that additional work is needed as below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP19 Transport</td>
<td>The need for further work to identify long terms approaches to mitigating traffic issues</td>
<td>Agreed/amend as indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Royal Wootton Bassett and Cricklade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Spatial Strategy / Settlement Strategy | 1. Lydiard Millicent should be defined as a small, NOT large village.  
2. Purton has all the necessary services and facilities to be a Local Service Centre.  
3. Bradenstoke should be considered as a large village | 1. Review designation. Consider change to small village.  
2. Disagree, but we should check criteria / justification at Core Policy 20 page 29) and review as this point is likely to be challenged.  
3. Tend to disagree, but review designation at Core Policy 20 page 29) and consider change. |
| Rural Buffer                 | 1. Need a firm rural buffer between Swindon and Purton to prevent coalescence between Swindon and outlying settlements.  
2. The various settlements in the CA all have their own individuality and character. This needs to be protected, especially against growth from Swindon. | There is a great deal of concern over this point and we need to respond.  
1 and 2. a. Strengthen Core Policy 34 – Landscape at point iii.  
‘iii. the separate identity of settlements and the transition between man-made and natural landscapes at the urban fringe, particularly in relation to Swindon and the outlying Wiltshire settlements.’  
b. Amend paragraph 6.5.7;  
‘This is particularly the case at Salisbury, Chippenham, Trowbridge and between Swindon and the neighbouring Wiltshire settlements.’  
c. add reference to these text points at the end of the last bullet point in 5.20.5. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village Boundaries</td>
<td>Need to relax these to allow limited development adjacent.</td>
<td>Consider approach.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Relationship between Cricklade and Wootton Bassett and villages | 1. Emphasis in the CS is on Wootton Bassett at the expense of Cricklade and the rest of the Area Board Area.  
2. Why are WB and Cricklade in the same area? They are different.  
3. Voices of the villages not being heard | 1 and 2. Need to explain / justify this in order to answer this long term complaint. Add line after first line of 5.20.1; ‘The community area is located in the north-east of Wiltshire and contains the historic market towns of Wootton Bassett and Cricklade. Although of differing size and character, both settlements are at the heart of a coherent community area, not least because of the relationship they all have with their much larger neighbour, Swindon’.  
3. Add line to end of 5.20.2 ‘The area also contains numerous villages with varying local character and needs’. |
| Transport                                       | 1. Lack of any apparent overall transport plan                          | 1, 2 and 3. Polices 42 on > (SO 8, paragraph 6.8, page 157) do not specifically mention transport issues in rural areas and how these might be tackled. Consideration should be given to making good this apparent omission, possibly by an extra bullet point in Core Policy 43. ‘that the proposal attempts to address specific rural transport issues such as speed, congestion and vehicle width / weight where applicable.’ Consider acknowledging rural issues such as those above in Core Policy 47. |
|                                               | 2. Need to improve rural transport                                      | 4 and 5. Consider adding references to the proposed bypass schemes (or at least the issues of Wiltshire’s smaller congested towns) in paragraph 6.8.19 (Strategic Transport Network) |
|                                               | 3. Need to tackle traffic and congestion problems – rural ‘rat runs’.   | 6. Expand on latest development in this issue at 5.20.9 |
|                                               | 4. Congestion in Cricklade – especially HGVs. Bypass Cricklade.         | 7.8 and 9. Consider responding to these issues in text at 5.20.9.                           |
|                                               | 5. Congestion in WB. Bypass Wotton Bassett                              | 9. We would look for the Cricklade Country Way, namely the railway and the canal to have a protected corridor to the west of the town of Cricklade to allow expansion north of the town. |
|                                               | 6. Junction 16. Concern at capacity issues. Swindon’s proposed alterations to the junction are not considered to be a good way forward. |  |
|                                               | 7. Re-open WB rail station - feasible as a result of main line electrification.* |  |
|                                               | 8. Support sustainable transport - protection for the proposed route of the Swindon & Cricklade Railway from Mouldon Hill to Moredon Bridge junction with the Swindon to Gloucester railway line. |  |
|                                               | 9. We would look for the Cricklade Country Way, namely the railway and the canal to have a protected corridor to the west of the town of Cricklade to allow expansion north of the town. |  |
## Pewsey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Issue(s) Raised</th>
<th>Action / Suggested Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle movement</td>
<td>• Traffic congestion</td>
<td>2. Include specific reference to the A346. Suggested wording – ‘Development proposals should appropriately mitigate against any significant impact on transport users, local communities and the environment.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• A346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural environment</td>
<td>• Protection of the AONB</td>
<td>3. Include specific reference to the AONB within CAS CP14. Suggest new heading – ‘Development must ensure that:’ Suggested bullet – ‘due regard is given to the North Wessex Downs AONB including adequate landscape screening where appropriate.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafting errors</td>
<td>• Reference to ‘town’</td>
<td>1. Change town to village para. 5.13.3 and para. 5.13.3 bullet 1.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Appendix 12:

**Summary of comments received after the close of consultation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Summary of comments re Coate Bridge developer proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brookes, Anna</td>
<td>I object to the proposed development of 400 homes by MacTaggart and Mickel on the land bordering Coate Road in Devizes Wiltshire. It will increase the amount of traffic on roads which can barely cope with the current volume. Wiltshire Council is already in breach of EU regulations regarding air pollution in Devizes and the increase in traffic is going to have a serious impact on air quality. Devizes does not have the road infrastructure, schools or medical facilities to cope with an increase in population by approximately 10% and as there are no prospects of increased employment opportunities in Devizes most of the occupants of these new houses will have to commute outside of the town for work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devizes Community Area Planning Partnership</td>
<td>The DCAP Housing and Built Environment group are most concerned that the Core Strategy Consultation process has been used by developers to promote new developments. Windsor Drive defines Devizes’ eastern boundary and that all land to the east of this road is, and should remain, countryside. DCAP also considers that farmland to the north of the current Quaker’s Walk development should also be included as countryside adjoining Devizes. Large scale development before there is a significant increase in employment opportunities is likely to increase commuting to Swindon. Housing solutions that avoid the use of the A361 are essential if air quality is to be permanently improved and concerns about traffic congestion addressed. Any development near Coate Road or Quaker’s Walk either falls foul of the need to reduce traffic on London Road or will lead to the undesirable commuting to Swindon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gough, Josephine</td>
<td>I am writing to express my objections to the proposed development of greenfield land along Coate Road/ Windsor Drive in Devizes. Devizes does not have the infrastructure, jobs or local facilities to accommodate more housing. Also the area for the proposed development has varied wildlife including Barn Owls, Little Owls, Badgers and many small birds which would lose their natural habitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinn, Mrs Jennifer</td>
<td>I write to STRONGLY object to the proposed development at Coate Bridge. The London Road is at saturation point and to my mind this development will also make Windsor Drive a rat run. In my opinion the Council need to look at the problem with the pollution aspect and the infrastructure of Devizes before any agreement for future housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helm, Pat and Denis</td>
<td>Devizes already has enough new houses and the London Road can’t cope with the present traffic. 400 more homes at Coate Bridge will add to the already high air pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillier, Mr Ben</td>
<td>I would like to raise a strong objection to the proposed development of 400 houses in Devizes, along Coate Road at the bottom of Windsor Drive. I understand there is a need for new houses but strongly feel that this would be the wrong place/development. Devizes already has inadequate roads to meet the population, adding over 500 cars to the town would significantly increase this, causing visitors to avoid the town, huge congestion issues for the residents of the town, and very worrying health issues. Wiltshire Council is already in breach of EU regulations over air pollution from traffic in Devizes. Other issues are lack of jobs, pressure on schools, lack of medical facilities If more houses are needed in Devizes, surely it would be better to build them in smaller numbers on brownfield sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knight, Mr Tristan</td>
<td>Devizes simply cannot accommodate the increased burden of 400 houses and the associated plethora of socio-economic / transport challenges that come with such extensive development as proposed at Coate Bridge. An additional 400 houses would require significant investment in the woefully inadequate roads and community infrastructure surrounding Devizes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Miss Kate</td>
<td>My name is Kate Lewis, I am 11 years old and I live on Windsor Drive in Devizes, near where you are planning to build some new houses. I emailed to tell you why I am against this. Coate Road is lovely, my dad and I often cycle down there to the farm to get our sausages but new houses would spoil the view completely. A fabulous place would be turned into another identical estate like the others that we already have here in Devizes, adding probably another 1,000 people to our population and over 500 cars to our already very busy roads, meaning there will probably be mayhem towards the bottom of Windsor Drive. I think that until measurements are in place to bring the air pollution in Devizes to legal limits, no more housing developments should take place. I also think that before any more houses are built, better local provision is in need, patients are facing a 40 mile trip to Swindon Hospital, and when there is a life or death emergency that is too far to travel. Is there room for so many more children in our schools? There have been so many new houses in Devizes recently, does there need to be anymore?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrice, Mrs C</td>
<td>I wish to oppose to the proposed building of 400 houses on the land surrounding Coate and Nursteed Road. This development will have a huge impact on the surrounding infra structure which is incapable of dealing with the increased population already. The nearest hospital is approximately 20miles away. Schools are full; the rush hour traffic is backed up London Road in both directions. Already the pollution in Devizes is above the legal limits with consequential effects on health. Not to mention the spoiling of a beautiful part of the town. Having seen Little Owls, deer and nesting buzzards in this area, how do the developers propose to integrate the need for wildlife habituation and protection as it is near a boundary of Outstanding Natural Beauty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilgrim, Mr Jeremy</td>
<td>Unfettered building on greenfield sites will be disastrous to the quality of life in this country. The road network through Devizes has been neglected for years and it is already inadequate for the existing amount of traffic, notably at peak times. Additional homes will make this worse. Air pollution is already at illegal levels according to EU regulations. This will be exacerbated by this development, and indeed any other housing development in Devizes. Additional school places and new medical infrastructure would be needed. Since its construction, Windsor Drive has been regarded as a reasonable limit for the urban development of the town of Devizes, and building on this particular site will open the floodgates for a ribbon of further urban sprawl along the eastern side of Windsor Drive round to Nursteed. Strongly recommend that the Council should not approve any new housing development in Devizes generally and this site in particular, until the air pollution problem has been resolved and a development plan for Devizes generally and this site in particular, until the air pollution problem has been resolved and a development plan for Devizes has been drawn up and approved including improvements to all relevant areas of infrastructure. Also comments on the principle that simply delivering more houses is not the answer to providing homes for young people that they can afford or providing an impetus to the local economy (especially as contractors could be brought in from Scotland).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pull, Jane and Dave</td>
<td>Both my husband and myself strongly object to Coate Bridge proposal. We have no Police Station, no Hospital the roads are so congested through Devizes that it is a nightmare from getting to one side of town to the other. There is little work available. Nothing could be worse for the town than this proposal. Also these are green fields that people are wishing to build on.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russ, Zena</td>
<td>Housing within Devizes has expanded hugely in recent years but no expansion of services or transport provision. Very little change in the road networks, the schools, the medical provisions or the shopping facilities over this period of time. We have lost our local hospital, the schools are over-crowded, the doctor surgeries have not been enlarged, the shops available are limited. Devizes struggles in rush hour now, it will definitely not be able to cope with the extra vehicles that these new residents will probably have. Local employment is another issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Jamie</td>
<td>I wish to strongly object to the proposed 400 houses on the Coate Road in Devizes. This is totally unacceptable for many reasons, air pollution, traffic problems, lack of facilities e.t.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutherland, Mrs</td>
<td>I am writing to protest most strongly against the proposed building of 400 houses on the Coate road Devizes. This will cause even more problems on the London Road in Devizes. There are reports in the local press about air pollution, and more houses and traffic will add to this. Building on the Coate Toad will destroy yet more hedgerow and wild life habitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, Mr Andrew</td>
<td>I am writing to voice my concern about proposals announced by the developers Mactaggart and Mickel to build 400 new homes on a site along Coate Road and the bottom of Windsor Drive in Devizes. There are a number of reasons for my concern: strain on the transport system; an increase in population without large investment in new public facilities would impact on the quality of life of current Devizes residents; local schools at capacity; doctors over subscribed; waiting lists for NHS dentists; lack of economic demand; increase incommuting; pressure on the police service; loss of wildlife habitat and greenfield land. .</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Several developers have clearly indicated intentions for significant developments in Devizes, namely; Merchant Venturers have submitted a planning application for a new residential complex for elderly people at Quakers Walk; Merchant Venturers have proposed that a site to the north east of Roundway Park should be included in the Core Strategy as suitable for around 400 houses; MacTaggart and Mickle, has consulted on a proposal to develop around 300 houses near Coate village. Such proposals raise a number of concerns including a need for essential infrastructure; planned infrastructure such as cyclepaths and footpaths connecting to the centre of town and greenspaces; doctors, dentists, recreation space and community centres; additional local jobs and affordable housing; and the need to address air pollution from traffic congestion; improve community well being; and better design.

In relation to the Merchant Venturers proposal at NE Roundway Park, the concerns are that it would create an extension to unbroken urban sprawl, it would add to traffic problems, it would be particularly visible from the high ground in the adjacent AONB to the detriment of the AONB. Traffic will have to use the already overloaded London Road for access to the town and for journeys to the west.

In relation to the proposal for development in the Coate area it would also extend the Devizes urban sprawl and merge of communities. There is a natural boundary for Devizes at Windsor Drive and green areas beyond that should be preserved. This area is also potentially visible from the AONB to the detriment of views. Again traffic would use the London Road adding to the already serious congestion.

E-petition

In addition to the above individual comments an e-petition signed by 103 people was received together with a paper petition signed by 125 people objecting to the alternative allocation proposed to the North East of Roundway Park. It states ‘We, the undersigned, object to the Society of the Merchant Venturer’s proposal to include the field to the North East of Roundway Park as a Strategic Site for housing development in the Wiltshire Core Strategy for 2026.’ (For more details on the e-petition follow the web link below +web link from e-mail).


Devizes Area Board

At the Devizes Area Board meeting on the 28th November 2011 a motion was submitted concerning the proposed sites at Coate Bridge and NE Roundway Park. The meeting made the following resolutions:

Members of public at the meeting expressed firm opposition to any development by Mactaggart & Mickel, or any other developer, on the site at Coate Bridge in Devizes.

Members of public at the meeting expressed firm opposition to any development by the Merchant Venturers, or any other developer, on the site at Folly Road in Devizes.
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Appendix 13: Overview of main changes to policies in the Wiltshire Core Strategy Consultation Document

The table below indicates how policies have changed as a result of consultation and signposts where the policy can be found in the Wiltshire Core Strategy submission document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy no. consultation document</th>
<th>Policy name</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>Policy no. submission draft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CP1</td>
<td>Settlement strategy</td>
<td>Amended policy to ensure that there is no confusion regarding which policy sets out what. New policy simply states the settlement strategy and the specific settlements which fall within each category. Specific details relating to the type and scale are now presented within core policy 2.</td>
<td>CP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP2</td>
<td>Delivery strategy</td>
<td>Policy has been revised to clearly present the type and scale of development appropriate within the different tiers of settlements identified. Housing requirement expressed as a minimum not maximum. Reference to phasing removed. All strategic allocations are now listed in the policy with links to development templates in an Appendix to the plan that provide more detail on how the sites should be developed.</td>
<td>CP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP3</td>
<td>Infrastructure requirements</td>
<td>Supporting text and policy amended for clarity</td>
<td>CP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial strategy - Amesbury CA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porton Down</td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stonehenge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP4</td>
<td>Chippenham central area</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>CP9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP5</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Chippenham CA</td>
<td>Sites at north Chippenham (750 dwellings/2.5 ha employment), east Chippenham (700 dwellings/6ha employment) and south-west Chippenham (800 dwellings/18ha employment) confirmed. Overall community area housing requirement of 4,500 retained. Series of amendments to support text for clarification.</td>
<td>CP10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no.</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP6</td>
<td>Trowbridge Vision Areas of Opportunity</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>CP28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP7</td>
<td>Spatial Strategy - Trowbridge CA</td>
<td>Saved allocation at West Ashton identified. Overall housing numbers retained but employment element at Ashton Park Urban extension reduced to 15 ha. Hilperton now named as a large village. West Ashton named as a small village not a large village. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to the importance of the River Biss corridor and the Biss Meadows Country Park, by adding reference to Southwick Country Park and by adding reference to Hilperton relief road.</td>
<td>CP29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP8</td>
<td>Trowbridge low carbon renewable energy network</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>CP30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP9</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Bradford on Avon CA</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers and strategic site (Kingston Farm) retained as in June 2011 consultation document, no-longer requiring that housing at strategic site should be phased towards end of plan period, importance of resisting loss of employment land is emphasised in supporting text, transport assessment required for all major applications, including assessment of likely future impacts of Kingston Mill development</td>
<td>CP7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP10</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Calne</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and no new strategic site allocated. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of AONB, River Marden, the adjacent World Heritage Site and proposed campus development</td>
<td>CP8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP11</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Corsham</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and no new strategic site allocated. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of AONB, the adjacent Bradford-on-Avon Bats SAC, cycling links between settlements and the proposed community campus development. Rudloe now named as a large village</td>
<td>CP11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP12</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Devizes</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and no new strategic site allocated. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of the landscape surrounding Devizes, ongoing traffic modelling work and need for developer contributions, implications of the air quality monitoring and the need to protect existing greenspace within the town.</td>
<td>CP12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP13</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Malmesbury</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and no new strategic site allocated. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of the historic environment and building materials, to recognise the sensitivity of the surrounding landscape and the economic opportunities presented by the Costwold Water Park and RAF Kemble. Reference to phasing removed.</td>
<td>CP13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP14</td>
<td>Spatial Strategy - Marlborough</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and a new strategic site allocated. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of the North Wessex Downs AONB, Avebury World Heritage Site and SSSIs within and adjacent to the town. Also add reference to the importance of tourist accommodation, the A346 and for new retail development to be within Marlborough.</td>
<td>CP14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP15</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Melksham</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained as in June 2011 consultation document, no strategic site, District Plan employment allocation to be carried forward (Hampton Business Park), supporting text emphasises need for regeneration of town centre, Melksham and Bowerhill are considered together for purposes of the strategy and the housing growth identified for Melksham town will also serve to meet the needs of Bowerhill, however the supporting text recognises that Bowerhill has important individual characteristics and states that these should be protected, where practicable. Beanacre, Berryfield and Keevil are now named as small villages. Shaw &amp; Whitley have been clustered to one large village.</td>
<td>CP15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Melksham link project</td>
<td>New policy to protect proposed route for Melksham link canal (linking Kennet and Avon Canal with the historic alignment of the Wilt and Berks Canal, via the river Avon)</td>
<td>CP16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Mere</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP16</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Pewsey</td>
<td>Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of the landscape surrounding Pewsey and the A346. Drafting errors corrected.</td>
<td>CP18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Salisbury and Wilton</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maltings/Central Car Park</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salisbury Skyline</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old Sarum airfield</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Southern Wiltshire CA</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Forest National Park</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP17</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Tidworth and Ludgershall CA</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and no new strategic site allocated. Supporting text strengthened by adding reference to sensitivity of the landscape surrounding Ludgershall, the need for a water cycle study and requirements in relation to Drumond Park allocation clarified. Everleigh is now named a small village</td>
<td>CP26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Tisbury</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP18</td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Warminster</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained and allocation of new strategic site confirmed. Supporting text strengthened by further reference to sensitivity of River Avon SAC and the Salisbury Plain SSSI, the need for the early delivery of employment growth and pressure on primary and secondary education in the town.</td>
<td>CP31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP19</td>
<td>Spatial Strategy - Westbury</td>
<td>Overall housing numbers retained, employment allocations retained and new strategic housing allocation at Station Road added. Supporting text strengthened by reference to traffic congestion and the need for transport assessment relating to major development. Requirements relating to the strategic allocations clarified for example landscaping and type of employment at Mill Lane.</td>
<td>CP32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial strategy - Wilton</td>
<td>Introduced from South Wiltshire Core Strategy</td>
<td>CP33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP20</td>
<td>Wootton Bassett and Cricklade</td>
<td>No strategic allocations. Policy remains that no housing outside main settlements (e.g. by expansion of Swindon West) is necessary. Have responded to concerns about protecting open countryside and comments on identity of settlements has been strengthened (effectively a rural buffer policy without a line on the map). Recognition of infrastructure needs and role of development in meeting these added. Now referred to as Royal Wootton Bassett. Lydiard Millicent added as a small village</td>
<td>CP19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP21</td>
<td>Additional employment land</td>
<td>Amended to remove duplication with transport policies.</td>
<td>CP34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP22</td>
<td>Existing employment sites</td>
<td>Strengthening of the supporting text and policy on existing employment land to ensure it is clear to development management and landowners the supporting documentation required to support the policy which protects existing employment sites from other uses</td>
<td>CP35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP23</td>
<td>Economic regeneration</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>CP36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP24</td>
<td>Military establishments</td>
<td>Amended policy wording to include existing military facilities, the policy now puts a focus on economic uses on site and ensuring that the context, landscape and historic value of each site is fully taken into account during redevelopment. Mechanisms for delivery focus on early community involvement and decisions made before the sale of sites by the MoD.</td>
<td>CP37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP25</td>
<td>Rural diversification and enterprise</td>
<td>Subsumed into new supporting rural life policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tourist development</td>
<td>New policy covering tourism to ensure that this important economic sector is supported whilst being suitable in scale for its location</td>
<td>CP39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP26</td>
<td>Sustainable construction and low carbon energy</td>
<td>Minor amendments to improve clarity of the policy</td>
<td>CP41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP27</td>
<td>Standalone renewable energy installations</td>
<td>Minor amendments to improve clarity of the policy</td>
<td>CP42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP28</td>
<td>Providing affordable homes</td>
<td>Policy reworded to reflect the evidence presented within the viability study. Rural exception site element of the policy now stands alone as a policy in its own right.</td>
<td>CP43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural exceptions sites</td>
<td>New policy to allow rural exception sites to come forward. The policy identifies a number of criteria to be met. The exceptions policy also allows for cross-subsidy to take place in exceptional circumstances.</td>
<td>CP44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP29</td>
<td>Meeting housing needs</td>
<td>The section which relates to meeting the needs of 'vulnerable and older people' now stands alone as a policy in its own right. The rest of the policy remains unchanged.</td>
<td>CP45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting the needs of Wiltshire's vulnerable and older people</td>
<td>New policy to assist in the delivery of new housing to meet the specific needs of older and vulnerable people. The policy identifies broad development considerations which proposals should take account of. The policy also sets out exceptional circumstances where development for specialist accommodation will be considered appropriate.</td>
<td>CP46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP30</td>
<td>Lifetime homes standards</td>
<td>Incorporated into the new policy on meeting the needs of Wiltshire's vulnerable and older people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP31</td>
<td>Meeting the needs of Gypsies and Travellers</td>
<td>Policy amended to plan for the period 2011-2021 in line with latest draft guidance. Criteria for the location of sites retained but clarified further and an additional criterion relating to access added.</td>
<td>CP47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supporting rural life</td>
<td>New policy on supporting rural life including rural economic development, improving infrastructure, re-use of redundant buildings and the role of community ownership to ensure that our rural communities are supported</td>
<td>CP48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP32</td>
<td>Protection of services and community facilities</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>CP49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP33</td>
<td>Biodiversity and geodiversity</td>
<td>Potential impacts of development upon wildlife will be addressed and opportunities to enhance biodiversity through sustainable development will be encouraged. Opportunity for biodiversity enhancement highlighted.</td>
<td>CP50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP34</td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td>The unique characteristics of all landscapes (particularly including those outside of protected areas) will be recognised and given weight in planning decisions in order to protect Wiltshire’s countryside from visually intrusive development</td>
<td>CP51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP35</td>
<td>Green infrastructure</td>
<td>Two policies proposed in the June 2011 consultation document have now been merged into one, no major changes to policy content since June 2011, but references added to developer contributions, and new and existing country parks added to list of priorities for the enhancement of the GI network in supporting text.</td>
<td>CP52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP36</td>
<td>Green infrastructure development management policy</td>
<td>New core policy to safeguard the historic alignments of the Wilts and Berks and Thames and Severn Canals, includes requirement that proposals for canal restoration will need to demonstrate that the cultural, historic and natural environment will be protected and enhanced, with no overall adverse effect.</td>
<td>CP53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canal restoration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cotswolds water park</td>
<td>New core policy which supports the provision of outdoor or water-based sports, leisure and recreation facilities in the Cotswold Water Park area, and sets out criteria to be met by such proposals.</td>
<td>CP54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>New policy and supporting text seeking to reduce the impact of development on air quality and highlight mitigation measures that can be used to improve air quality. Reference to the preparation of an SPD incorporating a developers toolkit added</td>
<td>CP55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contaminated land</td>
<td>New policy and supporting text relating to the treatment of contaminated land and measures required to demonstrate that a site is suitable for development.</td>
<td>CP56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP37</td>
<td>Ensuring high quality design and place shaping</td>
<td>Policy has been revised to present more detailed criteria relating to place shaping and design</td>
<td>CP57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP38</td>
<td>Ensuring protection of the historic environment</td>
<td>Policy and text has been revised to be more spatial distinct and proactive.</td>
<td>CP58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP39</td>
<td>Housing density</td>
<td>Incorporated into the design policy. Requirement for a minimum net density removed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP40</td>
<td>Stonehenge and Avebury WHS</td>
<td>This policy has been slightly amended to reflect stakeholder feedback incl. comments received from English Heritage.</td>
<td>CP59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP41</td>
<td>Retail and leisure</td>
<td>Amended to accord with latest guidance.</td>
<td>CP38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP42</td>
<td>Sustainable transport</td>
<td>Elements of policy transferred to supporting text and need to reduce car use emphasised in policy.</td>
<td>CP60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP43</td>
<td>Transport and development</td>
<td>Need to reduce car use emphasised in policy and reference to manual for streets added. Included in explanatory text that a development management DPD would be produced that would include consideration of detailed transport-related matters such as site permeability, transport mode integration and parking standards.</td>
<td>CP61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP44</td>
<td>Development impacts on the transport network</td>
<td>Reference to relationship with primary road network added.</td>
<td>CP62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP45</td>
<td>Transport strategies</td>
<td>Reference to safety added. Drafting errors amended.</td>
<td>CP63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy no. consultation document</td>
<td>Policy name</td>
<td>Changes</td>
<td>Policy no. submission draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP46</td>
<td>Demand management</td>
<td>No change to policy. Added to explanatory text that a development management DPD would be produced that would include local parking policies, including cycle parking standards.</td>
<td>CP64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP47</td>
<td>Movement of goods</td>
<td>No change to policy. Added a reference to the Wiltshire Local Transport Plan 2011-2026 Freight Strategy to explanatory text.</td>
<td>CP65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP48</td>
<td>Strategic transport network</td>
<td>Policy amended to reflect relationship with neighbouring authorities and to protect land to deliver improvements to the strategic road network. Minor amendments for clarification. The strategic transport network is defined in the supporting text.</td>
<td>CP66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP49</td>
<td>Flood risk</td>
<td>No change.</td>
<td>CP67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP51</td>
<td>Pollution and phosphate levels in the water environment</td>
<td>Re-written to relate specifically to the protection of the River Avon SAC. Additional support text to relate to the specific issues in relation to the River Avon SAC and ongoing work on a Nutrients Management Plan.</td>
<td>CP69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This document was published by the Spatial Plans team, Wiltshire Council, Economy and Enterprise.
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