

Working in partnership with



# Sustainability Appraisal/ Strategic Environmental Assessment of the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Site Allocations Development Plan Document

# Pre-Submission Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum

December 2011

Enfusion in association with Centre for Sustainability at TRL



## Sustainability Appraisal/ Strategic Environmental Assessment of the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Site Allocations Development Plan Document

Pre-Submission Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum

December 2011

### Prepared for: Wiltshire Council and Swindon Borough Council

date:	December 2011	
prepared for:	Wiltshire Council and Swindon Borough Council	
prepared by:	Alastair Peattie	Enfusion
quality assurance:	Toney Hallahan Rob Gardner	Enfusion C4S





environmental planning and management for sustainability

Treenwood House Rowden Lane Bradford on Avon BA15 2AU t: 01225 867112 www.enfusion.co.uk

#### SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL / STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT of the Wiltshire & Swindon Waste Site Allocations DPD

Со	ntents	Page
1	Introduction	1
2	Changes to the DPD since Pre-Submission Publication (June 2011)	1
3	How do the changes affect the findings of the Pre- Submission SA Report (April 2011)	2
4	Conclusion	6

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Wiltshire and Swindon Borough Council's Pre-submission draft Waste Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) was published for public consultation in June 2011 for an eight week period. It was accompanied by a Sustainability Appraisal Report produced by consultants, Enfusion Ltd. The Councils are in the process of refining their Submission document, with an aim to submit the DPD and accompanying evidence, which includes the SA to the Secretary of State in February 2012.
- 1.2 The SA/SEA of the Wiltshire and Swindon Waste Development Framework has been an iterative and ongoing process since 2005. In keeping with this, it is necessary to consider the changes made to the DPD since the publication of the Pre-Submission DPD in June 2011. This addendum seeks to outline the changes made to the DPD since the publication of the Pre-Submission DPD (June 2011) and consider how these changes affect the findings of the Pre-Submission SA Report (April 2011). This addendum report should be read in conjunction with the Pre-Submission SA Report (April 2011) for a full account of how the Sustainability Appraisal has influenced the process to date.

## 2.0 CHANGES TO THE DPD SINCE PRE-SUBMISSION PUBLICATION (JUNE 2011)

- 2.1 During the eight week Pre-Submission consultation (13<sup>th</sup> June to 08<sup>th</sup> August 2011) the Councils were made aware of changes in land ownership consent affecting two of the sites<sup>1</sup> listed in the proposed Pre-Submission DPD. In response to the landowner requests for removal of these sites, these sites were effectively deemed 'undeliverable' and removed from the final site allocations list. In light of this, and to refresh the land ownership information collected when the site selection process began in 2005/06, the Councils contacted the remaining landowners in Autumn 2011 to confirm the deliverability of all sites within the proposed plan. The outcome of this exercise confirmed that 35 of the 43 sites contained within the Pre-Submission DPD could be considered deliverable in relation to landowner consent.
- 2.2 The eight sites which have been removed from the site allocations list following the landowner consent exercise are:
  - West Ashton Employment Allocation, Trowbridge
  - Castledown Business Park, Ludgershall
  - Nursteed Road Employment Allocation, Devizes
  - Salisbury Road Business Park, Pewsey
  - Solstice Business Park, Amesbury
  - Sarum Business Centre, Salisbury
  - Thorney Down WTS, Winterslow
  - Salisbury Road Industrial Estate, Downton
- 2.3 Other minor changes to the DPD include:
  - the removal of Housing Recycling Centre (HRC) from the list of potential waste development types at Leafield Industrial Estate, Corsham<sup>2</sup>;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nursteed Road Employment Allocation, Devizes; and West Ashton Employment Allocation, Trowbridge <sup>2</sup> HRC was removed following a discussion between the Council and landowner, who indicated that there isn't enough space on the site to accommodate the queuing traffic associated with this waste development type.

- Chitterne Waste Management Facility has reverted to the name of the farm and is hence now called Land at Valley Farm, Chitterne.
- Wiltshire Waste, Tinkersfield Farm, Devizes has been renamed Wiltshire Waste (Recycling) Ltd, Tinkfield Transfer Station, Devizes.

### 3.0 HOW DO THE CHANGES AFFECT THE FINDINGS OF THE PRE-SUBMISSION SA REPORT (APRIL 2011)

3.1 The key amendments to the Pre-Submission SA Report as a result of the changes outlined above are as follows:

#### Pre-Submission SA Report as a whole

- Chitterne Waste Management Facility should now be referred to as Land at Valley Farm, Chitterne.
- Wiltshire Waste Tinkersfield Farm, Devizes should now be referred to as Wiltshire Waste (Recycling) Ltd Tinkfield Transfer Station, Devizes.

#### Section 5 of the Pre-Submission SA Report

- The summary of the individual appraisals for the eight sites removed from the site allocations list should be disregarded, including the key issues to be considered in cumulative effects for each of these sites.
- Pickpit Hill (Ludgershall) the key issues to be considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment should be disregarded due to the removal of Castledown Business Park (Ludgershall), as there is no longer potential for waste developments at these sites to act cumulatively.
- Wiltshire Waste Tinkersfield Farm, Devizes (now called Wiltshire Waste (Recycling) Ltd Tinkfield Transfer Station, Devizes) - Biodiversity and Geodiversity and Human Health and Amenity within the key issues to be considered in the Cumulative Effects should be disregarded due to the removal of Nursteed Road Employment Allocation (Devizes), as there is no longer potential for waste developments at these sites to act cumulatively.
- HRC has been removed as a potential waste development type at Leafield Industrial Estate, Corsham. The removal of HRC at this site means that there is likely to be less of an impact on levels of atmospheric pollution noise, vibration, odour and nuisance through increased traffic and operations.

#### Section 6 of the Pre-Submission SA Report

- The following sites should be removed from consideration under **air quality** as there is no longer the potential for cumulative effects:
  - Castledown Business Park, Ludgershall;
  - Pickpit Hill, Ludgershall;
  - Nursteed Road Employment Allocation, Devizes; and
  - Wiltshire Waste Tinkersfield Farm, Devizes.
- The following sites should be removed from consideration under **biodiversity** and **geodiversity** as there is no longer the potential for cumulative effects:

- Nursteed Road Employment Allocation, Devizes;
- Wiltshire Waste Tinkfield Farm, Devizes;
- o Sarum Business Park, Salisbury; and
- Salisbury Road Industrial Estate, Downton.
- The following sites should be removed from consideration under **human health and amenity** as there is no longer the potential for cumulative effects:
  - o Castledown Business Park, Ludgershall;
  - Pickpit Hill, Ludgershall;
  - o Nursteed Road Employment Allocation, Devizes; and
  - Wiltshire Waste Tinkersfield Farm, Devizes.
- The following sites should be removed from consideration under **traffic and transportation** as there is no longer the potential for cumulative effects:
  - o Castledown Business Park, Ludgershall;
  - Nursteed Road Employment Allocation, Devizes; and
  - West Ashton Employment Allocation, Trowbridge.

#### Appendix 2

Changes to Appendix 2 as a result of updated waste capacity information (Waste Capacity Gap Report October 2011) are marked in red (additions) and red strikethrough (deletions).

Waste stream	Type of facility	Capacity (tpa)	Capacity (tonnes)	Void space capacity (m <sup>3</sup> )
Municipal	Waste treatment	<del>38,000</del>		
		60,000		
	Outdoor composting	<del>35,050</del>		
		45,050		
	Recycling	<del>38,000</del>		
		28,000		
Industrial and	Waste treatment	<del>122,000</del>		
commercial		82,000		
	Recycling/transfer	91,538		
	Landfill		<del>725,840</del>	<del>604,867</del>
			663,200 <sup>3</sup>	552,666 <sup>4</sup>
Inert	Recycling/transfer	96,730		
	Landfill		988,000	988,000 <sup>5</sup>

#### Permitted additional capacity, by waste stream and type of facility, since 2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Figure takes into account a landfill application which will take in 29% I&C waste (and 71% municipal).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Void space capacity for industrial and commercial waste calculated on conversion ratio of 1.2 tonnes per m<sup>3</sup> (source EA).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Void space capacity for inert waste calculated on conversion ratio of 1 tonne per m<sup>3</sup> (source EA).

Waste Stream	Type of capacity	(A) Capacity to be delivered (2006-2026)	(B) Capacity delivered 2006 – 2010	(A) – (B) Capacity to be delivered (2011-2026)
Municipal	Treatment	54,000 tpa	<del>38,000</del>	<del>16,000 tpa</del>
			60,000	-6,000 tpa
	HRC	3	2	1
	MRF	1	1	1
Industrial	Void space	915,870 m³	<del>604,867</del>	<del>363,003m<sup>3</sup></del>
and			552,666 <sup>3</sup>	363,204m <sup>3</sup>
commercial	Treatment	250,000 tpa	<del>122,000</del>	<del>128,000 tpa</del>
		-	82,000	168,000 tpa
	Recycling	150,000 tpa	91,538	58,462 tpa
Inert	Void space	950,000 m <sup>3</sup>	988,000	-33,000 m <sup>3</sup>
	Recycling/Transfer	90,000 tpa	96,730	-6,730 tpa

#### Calculation for generating the revised capacity figures (2011 – 2026)

#### Revised capacity figures (2011 – 2026)

Waste Stream	Type of capacity	Capacity to be delivered (2011-2026)
Municipal	Treatment	<del>16,000 tpa</del>
		-6,000 tpa
	Recycling	1 HRC
	Materials Recovery	1 MRF
Industrial and commercial	Void space	<del>311,003 m<sup>3</sup></del>
		363,204 m <sup>3</sup>
	Treatment	<del>128,000 tpa</del>
		168,000 tpa
	Recycling	58,462 tpa

#### Non-Technical Summary

 Changes to the Cumulative Effects of Waste Site Allocations table are marked in red (additions) and red strikethrough (deletions).

Cumulative Effects of Waste Site Allocations		
Site Cluster	Cumulative Effects on:	
<ul> <li>Waterside Park, Swindon</li> </ul>	Air Quality - sites are located in close	
Brindley Close / Darby Close	proximity.	
<ul> <li>Land at Kendrick Industrial Estate,</li> </ul>	Biodiversity & Geodiversity - sites are in	
Swindon	close proximity to 3 County Wildlife Sites.	
Rodbourne Sewerage Treatment	Human Health & Amenity - sites are in	
Works	close proximity to employment uses.	
	Traffic & Transportation - potential for	
	negative effects on local transport	
	infrastructure.	
Parkgate Farm, Purton	Air Quality - sites are located in close	

Cumulative Effects	s of Waste Site Allocations
Site Cluster	Cumulative Effects on:
Purton Brickworks Employment	proximity.
Allocation, Purton	Human Health & Amenity - sites are in
	close proximity to a residential area.
	Traffic & Transportation - potential for
	negative effects on local transport
	infrastructure.
•Land East of HRC/WTS at Stanton	Air Quality - sites are located in close
St Quinton	proximity.
•Land West of HRC & WTS, Stanton	Biodiversity & Geodiversity - sites are in
St Quinton	close proximity to 2 Sites of Special
	Scientific Interest.
	Human Health & Amenity - sites are
	located in close proximity to farms and
	businesses.
	Traffic & Transportation - potential for
	negative effects on local transport infrastructure.
Llomaton Ducinese Derk (Dert of)	
<ul> <li>Hampton Business Park (Part of), Melksham</li> </ul>	Air Quality - sites are located in close proximity.
Bowerhill Industrial Estate,	Human Health & Amenity - sites are in
Melksham	close proximity to employment uses.
Meinann	Traffic & Transportation - potential for
	negative effects on local transport
	infrastructure.
West Wilts Trading Estate,	Air Quality - sites are located in close
Westbury	proximity.
Northacre Trading Estate,	Biodiversity & Geodiversity - a number of
Westbury	County Wildlife Sites are within 1km.
	Human Health & Amenity - sites are in
	close proximity to residential and
	employment areas.
	Traffic & Transportation - potential for
	negative effects on local transport
	infrastructure.
•Castledown Business Park,	Air Quality - sites are located in close
Ludgershall Diskerit Hill hardware hall	proximity.
Pickpit Hill, Ludgershall	Human Health & Amenity - sites are in
	close proximity to residential and
	employment areas. Traffic & Transportation - potential for
	negative effects on local transport
	infrastructure and congestion and capacity
	issues with regard to the A3026.
Nursteed Road Employment	Air Quality - sites are located in close
Allocation, Devizes	proximity.
Wiltshire Waste Tinkersfield Farm,	Biodiversity & Geodiversity - sites are in
Devizes	close proximity to Nursteed Farm Woods
	County Wildlife Site.
	Human Health & Amenity - sites are in
	close proximity to employment uses.
Hopton Industrial Estate, Devizes	Traffic & Transportation - potential for
Nursteed Road Employment	negative effects on local transport

Cumulative Effects of Waste Site Allocations		
Site Cluster	Cumulative Effects on:	
Allocation, Devizes • Wiltshire Waste (Recycling) Ltd Tinkersfield Farm Transfer Station, Devizes	infrastructure and congestion and capacity issues with regard to the A361.	
<ul> <li>CB Skip Hire, St Thomas Farm, Amesbury</li> <li>Sarum Business Park, Salisbury</li> <li>Salisbury Road Industrial Estate, Downton</li> <li>Former Imerys Quarry, Quidhampton</li> </ul>	<b>Biodiversity &amp; Geodiversity -</b> sites are in close proximity to the River Avon Special Area of Conservation.	
<ul> <li>Bumpers Farm Industrial Estate</li> <li>Thingley Junction, Chippenham</li> <li>Leafield Industrial Estate, Calne</li> <li>Hampton Business Park (Part of), Melksham</li> <li>West Wilts Trading Estate, Westbury</li> <li>Northacre Trading Estate, Westbury</li> <li>Lafarge Cement Works</li> <li>Bowerhill Industrial Estate, Melksham</li> <li>Canal Road Industrial Estate, Trowbridge</li> <li>West Ashton Employment Allocation, Trowbridge</li> <li>Warminster Business Park, Warminster</li> </ul>	Traffic & Transportation - potential for congestion and capacity issues with regard to the A350.	

## 4.0 CONCLUSION

4.1 The significant change to the DPD since the publication of the Pre-Submission document is the removal of eight of the 43 sites considered suitable to accommodate future waste management uses. The changes do not significantly alter the findings of the individual appraisals for the remaining 35 site allocations. The removal of the eight sites predominantly has impacts on the consideration of the cumulative effects of site clusters. This means that there are fewer clusters of sites with the potential for cumulative negative effects on key receptors, which include Air Quality; Biodiversity & Geodiversity; Human Health & Amenity and Traffic & Transport.